Witnesses*

Hebrews 12:1-3 (1) Wherefore seeing we also are compassed about with so great a **cloud of witnesses**, let us lay aside every weight, and the sin which doth so easily beset us, and let us run with patience the race that is set before us,
(2) Looking unto Jesus the author and finisher of our faith; who for the joy that was set before him endured the cross, despising the shame, and is set down at the right hand of the throne of God.
(3) For consider him that endured such contradiction of sinners against himself, lest ye be wearied and faint in your minds.
U*ndoubtly the witnesses discribed are in the pervious chapter, however, I wish to consider other witnesses as well, who are contrary to our persons and well being. But first;*
Matthew Henry's Concise Commentary Heb 12:1-3; {There is a proneness in believers to grow weary, and to faint under trials and afflictions; this is from the imperfection of grace and the remains of corruption. Christians should not faint under their trials. Though their enemies and persecutors may be instruments to inflict sufferings, yet they are Divine chastisements; their heavenly Father has his hand in all, and his wise end to answer by all. They must not make light of afflictions, and be without feeling under them, for they are the hand and rod of God, and are his rebukes for sin. They must not despond and sink under trials, nor fret and repine, but bear up with faith and patience. God may let others alone in their sins, but he will correct sin in his own children. In this he acts as becomes a father. Our earthly parents sometimes may chasten us, to gratify their passion, rather than to reform our manners. But the Father of our souls never willingly grieves nor afflicts his children. It is always for our profit. Our whole life here is a state of childhood, and imperfect as to spiritual things; therefore we must submit to the discipline of such a state. When we come to a perfect state, we shall be fully reconciled

to all God's chastisement of us now. God's correction is not condemnation; the chastening may be borne with patience, and greatly promote holiness. Let us then learn to consider the afflictions brought on us by the malice of men, as corrections sent by our wise and gracious Father, for our spiritual good.**}**
But the fact of the matter is; God's children are greatly outnumbered. In the United States of America, the Christian system is under attack; ***{****(Jack Kinsella, History of the ACLU America's Communist Lawyers' Union-The Omega Letter 12-2-04)*
The ACLU's founder, Roger Baldwin, he stated: "We are for SOCIALISM, disarmament, and ultimately for abolishing the state itself... We seek the social ownership of property, the abolition of the propertied class, and the SOLE CONTROL of those who produce wealth. COMMUNISM is the goal."
The ACLU is destructive to the fabric of our society. Christians must recognize Satan as the source - the instigator - when the end results of an organization's efforts are only "to kill, to steal, and to destroy." All we need to do is examine the (rotten) fruit.
Under the guise of 'protecting American civil rights', Baldwin's ACLU has sued to;
- Halt the singing of Christmas Carols in public facilities.
- Deny tax -exempt status for Churches.
- Remove all military chaplains.
- Remove all Christian symbols from public property.
- Prohibit Bible reading in classrooms even during free time.
- Remove In God We Trust from our coins.
- Remove God from the Pledge of Allegiance.
- Deny federal funding for Boy Scouts until they admit gays and atheists.
-championed the gay rights movement.
-In 1986 created its "Lesbian and Gay Rights" project.
-In 1986, 5th grade teacher Kenneth Roberts was ordered following an ACLU suit, to remove his Bible from his classroom.

-In 1997, the ACLU convinced the Supreme Court to protect the rights of pornographers on the Internet.
Ever notice how the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) seems to take on only cases that are anti-Christian - pro-sodomy, pro-abortion, anti-family, pro-pornography, pro-prostitution, pro-euthanasia, pro-homosexual, pro-infanticide, pro-crime, pro-humanism, anti-God -- and, except for atheism, anti-religion?}
This is not the way it was, nor the way it was intended to be;
James Madison, Father of the U.S. Constitution – "We have staked the whole future of American civilization, not upon the power of government, far from it. We have staked the future of all our political institutions upon the capacity of mankind for self-government; upon the capacity of each and all of us to govern ourselves, to control ourselves, to sustain ourselves according to The Ten Commandments of God."
Benjamin Morris, Historian 1818-1867, in one of his books he wrote – "The fundamental objects of the Constitution are in perfect harmony with the revealed objects of the Christian religion. Union, justice, peace, the general welfare, and the blessings of civil and religious liberty, are the objects of Christianity, and always secured under its beneficent reign. The State must rest upon the basis of religion, and it must preserve the basis, or itself must fall … this is a Christian Nation, first in name … The chief security and glory of the United States of America has been, is now, and will be forever, the prevalence and domination of the Christian faith."
A Christian nation does not have pride in 'gay pride' as the Apostle Paul declares in;
Romans 1:26-32 ASV (26) For this cause God gave them up unto vile passions: for their women changed the natural use into that which is against nature:
(27) and likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another, men with men working unseemliness, and receiving in themselves that

recompense of their error which was due.
(28) And even as they refused to have God in [their] knowledge, God gave them up unto a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not fitting;
(29) being filled with all unrighteousness, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, strife, deceit, malignity; whisperers,
(30) backbiters, hateful to God, insolent, haughty, boastful, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents,
(31) without understanding, covenant-breakers, without natural affection, unmerciful:
(32) who, knowing the ordinance of God, that they that practise such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but also consent with them that practise them.
Leviticus 20:13 specified death for homosexuals, but now the Lord is extending repentance (2Pet 3:9). But the ACLU by consent; is just as guilty as the lgbt, including court proceedings filed on behalf of sinful activities, contending that lgbt stands for civil liberty and the opposition is guilty of hate. Hate is not the correct projection, but love, simply giving warning of their folly by teaching them how to avoid spiritual death. All of mankind have been warned of their past folly. This is the way it is intended to be, turn to;
Genesis 2:21-24 (21) And the LORD God caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam, and he slept: and he took one of his ribs, and closed up the flesh instead thereof;
(22) And the rib, which the LORD God had taken from man, made he a woman, and brought her unto the man.
(23) And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man.
(24) Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh.
1John 1:5-9 (5) And this is the message which we have heard from him and announce unto you, that God is light, and in him

is no darkness at all.
(6) If we say that we have fellowship with him and walk in the darkness, we lie, and do not the truth:
(7) but if we walk in the light, as he is in the light, we have fellowship one with another, and the blood of Jesus his Son cleanseth us from all sin.
(8) If we say that we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.
(9) If we confess our sins, he is faithful and righteous to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.
There is another unfriendly witness Christians should be aware of, and which is becoming frightening, Islam. Oh! guess what?, the ACLU finally finds a religion it can tolerate. Surprise--it's Islam. **{(The Muslim Takeover of the ACLU Posted By Daniel Greenfield On October 7, 2012)**
The ACLU now counts at least eight Muslims on its national executive staff alone. In fact, a Muslim runs the ACLU's Center for Democracy, while another heads its National Security Project.
In a 200-page report on jihad fundraising, "Blocking Faith, Freezing Charity," the ACLU argued that "federal law enforcement is engaging in practices that intimidate Muslim donors and create a climate of fear that chills American Muslims' free and full exercise of their religion."
That's the core ACLU mission. Protect the Muslim right to Jihad while completely ignoring free speech. The old ACLU was engaged in promoting a Communist victory but it believed that the best way to do that was through an open society. Now it's promoting an Islamist victory and it no longer sees the open society as its method of choice, but an Islamist supremacist society that gives special rights to Muslims while denying them to everyone else.
This is who's controlling the agenda at the ACLU these days. It was bad enough when the group was run by leftists. Now it's also run by Islamists.**}**

In 1981, President Jimmy Carter, a man I admired and voted for, awarded Baldwin, the ACLU founder, the Medal of Freedom. Needless to say, I feel betrayed. The ACLU Defends the enemy. They have a long history of this one. They defended the P.L.O. in 1985. They defended Quadafi in the 1980's. And they continue today to defend the enemy. They have told Gitmo detainees they have the right to remain silent, as in not talking to interrogators.
According to the Declaration of Independence, rights come from God, not government. When God's presence in the American mindset ceases however, then people no longer look to God as the grantor of rights but to government. But my thought is this, instead of bowing down to the politicans of the day which cannot deliver our country from evil, the United States of America as a country, should bow down to God in prayer for deliverance. He will send deliverance for such a time as this but His blessings will have to be accepted. Our whole system of govenment in the United States of America is based upon the Christian system. Strange as it may seem, the apostate church had taken control of religion in the middle ages and took the Bible from the people leading to one of the most bleak periods in A.D. history.
But during the reformation period the Bible was restored. Modern history has been an era of the open book (Rev 10:8-10). Every blessing of modern civilization is a product of the open Bible. Civil and Religious liberty, popular education, social reform, liberty of conscience and freedom of speech. On these Christian principles the United States of America is founded.The ACLU will fight tooth and nail for civil liberty if one is pro-lgbt, pro-sodomy, pro-abortion, pro-pornography, pro-prostitution, pro-euthanasia, pro-homosexual, pro-infanticide, pro-crime, pro-humanism, or pro-Islam. However if Pro-Christian, the chances are slim to none. If anti-God, most likely ACLU will champion that cause. Concerning Mohammedanism; Christian and Islam values are

greatly different. The unholy koran denies 'God has a Son,' a theory that carries a warning for Christians spoken by John long ago; don't take my word, turn to;
1John 2:18-22 (18) Little children, it is the last time: and as ye have heard that antichrist shall come, even now are there many antichrists; whereby we know that it is the last time.
(19) They went out from us, but they were not of us; for if they had been of us, they would no doubt have continued with us: but they went out, that they might be made manifest that they were not all of us.
(20) But ye have an unction from the Holy One, and ye know all things.
(21) I have not written unto you because ye know not the truth, but because ye know it, and that no lie is of the truth.
(22) Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son.
2John 1:8-11 (8) Look to yourselves, that we lose not those things which we have wrought, but that we receive a full reward.
(9) Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.
(10) If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed:
(11) For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds.
History informs that Mohammedanians long ago; went out from us *becoming enemies. Do not give encouragement to enemies of the truth. From the koran is this chilling falsity;*
Sura 4.171 Allah is only one God; far be It from His glory that He should have a son, whatever is in the heavens and whatever is in the earth is His, and Allah is sufficient for a Protector.
Sura 6.101 Wonderful Originator of the heavens and the earth! How could He have a son when He has no consort, and He (Himself) created everything, and He is the Knower of all

things.
Sura 17.111 And say: (All) praise is due to Allah, Who has not taken a son and Who has not a partner in the kingdom, and Who has not a helper to save Him from disgrace; and proclaim His greatness magnifying (Him).
The teachings of the koran are in stark contrast to the Bible. The koran states that God does not have a son. The Bible states that if one comes to you with this antichrist doctrine, do not invite him into your house, do not bid him Godspeed. Question? Why does the United States of America through its political leaders invite him into our house? Bids him Godspeed to build his antichrist mosques all over our land? The Bible states that all life is in the Lord Jesus, the only begotten Son of God. According to the Bible, if you have the Son, you have eternal life: but if you do not have the Son, then you do not have eternal life. So then, believers in the koran, by rejecting Christ, do not have the Father or the Son, nor eternal life. And now, I want you to turn with me and read;
Revelation 9:1-12 (1) And the fifth angel sounded, and I saw a star from heaven fallen unto the earth: and there was given to him the key of the pit of the abyss.
(2) And he opened the pit of the abyss; and there went up a smoke out of the pit, as the smoke of a great furnace; and the sun and the air were darkened by reason of the smoke of the pit.
(3) And out of the smoke came forth locusts upon the earth; and power was given them, as the scorpions of the earth have power.
(4) And it was said unto them that they should not hurt the grass of the earth, neither any green thing, neither any tree, but only such men as have not the seal of God on their foreheads.
(5) And it was given them that they should not kill them, but that they should be tormented five months: and their torment

was as the torment of a scorpion, when it striketh a man.
(6) And in those days men shall seek death, and shall in no wise find it; and they shall desire to die, and death fleeth from them.
(7) And the shapes of the locusts were like unto horses prepared for war; and upon their heads as it were crowns like unto gold, and their faces were as men's faces.
(8) And they had hair as the hair of women, and their teeth were as [teeth] of lions.
(9) And they had breastplates, as it were breastplates of iron; and the sound of their wings was as the sound of chariots, of many horses rushing to war.
(10) And they have tails like unto scorpions, and stings; and in their tails is their power to hurt men five months.
(11) They have over them as king the angel of the abyss: his name in Hebrew is Abaddon, and in the Greek [tongue] he hath the name Apollyon.
(12) The first Woe is past: behold, there come yet two Woes hereafter.

There are compelling reasons that make it appear the fifth trumpet is predictive of Mohammedanism. These demon locusts are thought, by many commentators, to be a predictive picture of the rise and spread of Mohammedanism;

Locusts (9:3) - *Arabia; pre-eminently is a land of locusts. It was in Arabia that Mohammedanism originated.*

Torment men five months (9:5) - *Five months, the normal stay of Locusts, May to September, is about 150 days; which, on the year-day interpretation would be 150 years. That was approximately the period (AD 630-786), in which Mohammedanism continued in its effort of conquest, the 1st jihad, before seeking peaceable relations with other nations.*

Shapes of Locusts (9:7-10) *is indeed a very good discription of Mohammedan armies, composed of fierce relentless horsemen, famous for their beards, with long hair like women's hair, with yellow turbans that looked like gold, and they had iron coats*

*of armor. Mohammed in Mecca Arabia, declared himself to be the prophet of God, and he set out at the head of an army to propagate his religion by the sword after peaceable methods failed. Soon the whole of Arabia was conquered. Syria fell (AD 634), Jerusalem (AD 637), Egypt (AD 638), Persia (AD 640), North Africa (AD 689). This is the period the Mohammedans call the **1st jihad**, in Revelation 9:12--the first woe.*
***Euphrates (9:14)** From which the sixth trumpet armies of horsemen were loosed. In AD 1057 a vast horde of Turks appeared on the banks of the Euphrates. In their westward march they replaced the Arabians as rulers of Mohammedan lands. Their barbarous treatment of Christians in Palestine led to the Crusades (AD1095-1272), almost 200 years of intermittent war.*
***Out of the horses mouths (9:17)** proceeded fire, smoke, and brimstone. It was at the battle of Constantinople (AD 1453) that gunpower was first used; which gave victory to the Turks. At this battle the last remaining 'third' of the original Roman Empire fell at the hands of the Turks. The Mohammedans call this period the **2nd jihad**. Biblical-the 2nd woe. A few centuries later began the **3rd jihad** and it has not ended, woe to America. The devil in his religious garb of Islam is a noteworthy adversary, however he can be defeated by faith. But what faith? The answer is, Faith in Christ that is the effect of belief in the gospel; listen, only those who first believed the testimony of God, and by faith repent of their sins, and confess Jesus Christ is the Son of God, and are baptized into His death for remission of sins, have the full and explicit testimony of pardon. This is the gospel. It's invitation is fully open, and if there are prayer requests for other reasons, in either case come while we persuade with song.*

This message approved by
Jack Warford
a minister for Christ

Obama, June 28, 2006 (as delivered): Whatever we once were, we are no longer a Christian nation – at least, not just. We are also a Jewish nation, a Muslim nation, a Buddhist nation, and a Hindu nation, and a nation of nonbelievers.

Witnesses Revised; 12042019
{ }=quote-unquote
'Witnesses' presented to; PineMtAr-April 2015/
WestvilleOk-May 2015/LostsheepOk-May 2015/
WestvilleOk-Dec 2019/

THE CLASH OF CIVILIZATIONS AND THE GREAT CALIPHATE (Explanation of the Third Great Jihad) by Larry Abaraham

THE CLASH OF CIVILIZATIONS AND THE GREAT CALIPHATE

The war against terror did not begin on September 11, 2001, nor will it end with the peaceful transition to civilian authority in Iraq, whenever that may be. In fact, Iraq is but a footnote in the bigger context of this encounter, but an important one none the less.

This war is what the Jihadists themselves are calling the "Third Great Jihad." They are operating within the framework of a time line which reaches back to the very creation of Islam in the seventh century and are presently attempting to recreate the dynamics which gave rise to the religion in the first two hundred years of its existence.

No religion in history grew as fast, in its infancy, and the reasons for the initial growth of Islam are not hard to explain when you understand what the world was like at the time of Muhammad's death in 632 AD. Remember that the Western Roman Empire was in ruins and the Eastern Empire, based in Constantinople, was trying desperately to keep the power of its early grandeur while transitioning to Christianity as a de facto state religion.

The costs to the average person were large as he was being required to meet the constantly rising taxes levied by the state along with the tithes coerced by the Church.

What Islam offered was the "carrot or the sword". If you became a convert, your taxes were immediately eliminated, as was your tithe. If you didn't, you faced death. The choice was not hard for most to make, unless you were a very devoted martyr in the making. At the beginning, even the theology was not too hard for most to swallow, considering that both Jewry and Christianity were given their due by the Prophet. There is but one God-Allah, and Muhammad is His Prophet, as was Jesus, and the pre-Christian Jewish prophets of the Torah (Old Testament). Both were called "children of the book"-the book being the Koran, which replaced both the Old and New Testaments for former Christians and Jews. With this practical approach to spreading the "word" Islam grew like wild-fire, reaching out from the Saudi Arabian Peninsula in all directions.

This early growth is what the Muslims call the "First" great Jihad and it met with little resistance until Charles Martel of France, the father of Charlemagne, stopped them in the battle of Tours in France, after they had firmly established Islam on the Iberian Peninsula. This first onslaught against the West continued in various forms and at various times until Islam was finally driven out of Spain in 1492 at the battle of Granada.

The "Second great jihad" came with the Ottoman Turks. This empire succeeded in bringing about the downfall of Constantinople as a Christian stronghold and an end to Roman hegemony in all of its forms. The Ottoman Empire was Islam's most successful expansion of territory even though the religion itself had fractured into warring sects and bitter rivalries with each claiming the ultimate truths in "the ways of the Prophet". By 1683 the Ottomans had suffered a series of defeats on both land and sea and the final, unsuccessful attempt to capture Vienna set the stage for the collapse of any further territorial ambitions and Islam shrunk into various sheikhdoms, emir dominated principalities, and roving tribes of nomads.

However, by this time a growing anti-western sentiment, blaming its internal failures on anyone but themselves, was taking hold and setting the stage for a new revival known as Wahhabism, a sect which came into full bloom under the House of Saud on the Arabian Peninsula shortly before the onset of WWI.

It is this Wahhabi version of Islam which has infected the religion itself, now finding adherents in almost all branches and sects, especially the Shiites. Wahhabiism calls for the complete and total rejection or destruction of anything and everything which is not based in the original teachings of The Prophet and finds its most glaring practice in the policies of the Afghani Taliban or the Shiite practices of the late Ayatollah Khomeini in Iran. Its Ali Pasha (Field Marshall) is now known as Osama bin Laden, the leader of the "Third Jihad", who is Wahhabi as were his 9/11 attack teams, 18 of which were also Saudi.

The strategy for this "holy war" did not begin with the planning of the destruction of the World Trade Center. It began with the toppling of the Shah of Iran back in the late 1970[1]s. With his plans and programs to "westernize" his country, along with his close ties to the U.S. and subdued acceptance of the State of Israel, the Shah was the soft target. Remember "America Held Hostage"? Thanks, in large part to the hypocritical and disastrous policies of the Jimmy Carter's State Department, the revolution was set into motion, the Shah was deposed, his armed forces scattered or murdered and stage one was complete. The Third Jihad now had a base of operations and the oil wealth to support its grand design or what they call the "Great Caliphate".

What this design calls for is the replacement of all secular leadership in any country with Muslim majorities. This would include, Egypt, Turkey, Pakistan, Indonesia, all the Emirates, Sudan, Tunisia, Libya, Algeria, Morocco, Yemen, Syria, Lebanon, Jordan, Malaysia, Indonesia and finally what they call the "occupied territory" Israel.

As a part of this strategy, forces of the jihad will infiltrate governments and the military as a prelude to taking control, once the secular leadership is ousted or assassinated. Such was the case in Lebanon leading to the Syrian occupation and in Egypt with the murder of Anwar Sadat, along with the multiple attempts on the lives of Hussein in Jordan, Mubarak of Egypt and Musharraf in Pakistan. Pakistan is a particular prize because of its nuclear weapons.

The long-range strategy of the Third Jihad counts on three strategic goals. First, the U.S. withdrawing from the region just as it did in Southeast Asia, following Vietnam. Second, taking control of the oil wealth in the Muslim countries, which would be upwards to 75% of known reserves; third, using nuclear weapons or other WMDs to annihilate Israel. A further outcome of successfully achieving these objectives would be to place the United Nations as the sole arbiter in East/West negotiations and paralyze western resistance, leading to total withdrawal from all Islamic dominated countries.

Evidence of the Bush Administration awareness of this plan is found in the events immediately following the 9/11 attack. The administration's first move was to shore up Pakistan and Egypt, believing that these two would be the next targets for al Qaeda, while Americans focused on the disaster in New York. The administration also knew that the most important objective was to send a loud and clear message that the U.S. was in the region to stay, not only to shore up our allies but to send a

message to the Jihadists. The attack on Afghanistan was necessary to break-up a secure al Qaeda base of operations and put their leadership on the run or in prison.

The war in Iraq also met a very strategic necessity in that no one knew how much collaboration existed between Saddam Hussein and the master planners of the Third Jihad or Hussein's willingness to hand off WMDs to terrorist groups including the PLO in Israel. What was known were serious indications of on-going collaboration as Saddam funneled money to families of suicide bombers attacking the Israelis and others in Kuwait. What the U.S. needed to establish was a significant base of operations smack dab in the middle of the Islamic world, in a location which effectively cut it in half. Iraq was the ideal target for this and a host of other strategic reasons.

Leadership of various anti-American groups both here and abroad understood the vital nature of the Bush initiative and thus launched their demonstrations, world-wide, to "Stop The War". Failing this, they also laid plans to build a political campaign inside the country, with the War in Iraq as a plebiscite, using a little known politician as the thrust point-Howard Dean. This helps to explain how quickly the Radical Left moved into the Dean campaign with both people and money, creating what the clueless media called the "Dean Phenomenon".

What surprised the Jihadists following the 9/11 attack was how American sentiment mobilized around the president and a profound sense of patriotism spread across the country. They were not expecting this reaction, based on what had happened in the past, nor were they expecting the determined resolve of the President himself. I also believe this is one of the reasons we have not had any further attacks within our borders. They are content to wait, just as one of their tactical mentors; V.I. Lenin admonished..."two steps forward, one step back".

Lastly, we should not expect to see any meaningful cooperation from Western Europe, especially the French. Since failing to protect their own interests in Algeria (by turning the country over to the first of the Arab terrorists, Ammad Ben Bella), the country itself is now occupied by Islamic immigrants totaling twenty percent of the population.

We are in the battle of our lives, a battle which will go on for many years possibly even generations. If we fail to understand what we are facing or falter in the challenge of "knowing our enemy" the results will be catastrophic. Imagine a world where al Qaeda regimes control 75% of the world's oil, have at their disposal nuclear weapons, legions of willing suicide soldiers, and our national survival is dependent on the good graces of Kofi Annan and the United Nations.

(Publisher's Note: This is an edited excerpt from the original essay.)

The Real History of the ACLU

Posted on September 19, 2010 in Communism with 3 Comments. Printer Friendly

"I am for socialism, disarmament and ultimately for abolishing the state itself as an instrument of violence and compulsion. I seek the social ownership of property, the abolition of the propertied class and sole control by those who produce wealth. Communism is, of course, the goal." ACLU founder Roger Baldwin, 1935

The left wing bias prevalent on college history faculties colors just about everything that shows up in mainstream history books. Textbook portrayals of Joseph McCarthy, for example, are very negative because liberals, including the great majority of university history professors, view McCarthy with hostility. The beneficiaries of this bias are persons and groups whom liberals view with favor.

One such group is the American Civil Liberties Union. The ACLU's leaders and admirers are always claiming that the group exists to protect the individual rights of all Americans, without any political bias; but the claim is disingenuous. In reality the agenda of the ACLU is very similar to that of any other left wing group.

The group fights tooth and nail for abortion rights, for example, despite the lack of any clearly stated right to abortion in the Constitution, because the Democratic Party and the left in general are pro-abortion. They refuse to support gun rights, even though a citizen's right to keep and bear arms is clearly spelled out in the Second Amendment, because Democrats and liberals opposed gun rights.

Defending Socialists and Inventing New Rights

In his widely used textbook Give Me Liberty, Columbia Professor Eric Foner introduces the ACLU this way:

The arrest of antiwar dissenters under the Espionage and Sedition acts inspired the formation in 1917 of the Civil Liberties Bureau, which in 1920 became the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU). For the rest of the century, the ACLU would take part in most of the landmark cases that helped bring about a "rights revolution." Its efforts helped give meaning to traditional civil liberties like freedom of speech and invented new ones, like the right to privacy.

What Dr. Foner doesn't say is that ACLU founder Roger Baldwin, and charter members like Elizabeth Gurley Flynn, were ardent left wingers who identified with the Communist movement from the start of the Bolshevik revolution in 1917.

Professor Foner does, however, display admirable candor when he credits the ACLU with helping to "invent new rights, like the right to privacy." He's referring to the 1965 US Supreme Court decision in Griswold v Connecticut, in which the Court overturned a stupid, but perfectly constitutional, Connecticut law against contraception. Eight years later this chimerical "right to privacy" would form the basis of the Court's Roe v Wade decision, overturning state laws against abortion.

Most liberals claim that the "right to privacy" cited in the Griswold decision was based in the Constitution, despite the absence of any language to that effect in the actual document. Professor Foner is much more accurate and honest when he credits the ACLU with urging to court to "invent" such a right.

Radical Origins

Roger Baldwin was a leftist radical long before he founded his famous "civil rights" union. Biographer Robert C. Cottrell admiringly describes him as "one of the nation's leading figures in left-of-center circles." Baldwin was a friend and admirer of Anarchist leader Emma Goldman, who once conspired with self-described "Communist Anarchist" Alexander Berkman to murder a businessman who was hiring strikebreakers to replace union workers.

Other charter members of the ACLU included Socialist Crystal Eastman and Communists Elizabeth Gurley Flynn; and William Z. Foster, who would later serve as General Secretary of the Communist Party USA.

The ACLU and Atheism

One of the ACLU's proudest moments, according to many history texts, is its success in 1925 in persuading a Tennessee schoolteacher named John Scopes to violate a state law against

teaching Darwinian evolution. The freshman textbooks America's Promise and Nation of Nations, along with Dr. Foner's book Give Me Liberty, all cite the so-called "Scopes Monkey Trial" as a triumph of modern science over primitive superstition.

Atheism was the prevailing religious belief among the ACLU's leadership then as now, and is widely popular among history faculties today, so it's not surprising that history profs would give the Scopes trial prominence in their textbooks.

Labor vs Management

Organized labor is another darling of both history professors and the ACLU. In Give Me Liberty Professor Foner tells us how the ACLU began defending the proletarian victims of property-owning capitalists in the 1930's. "By 1934," said Foner, the ACLU had "concluded that the 'masters of property' posed as great a danger to freedom of speech and assembly as political authorities."

Stalin Loses Roger Baldwin

In 1939 Roger Baldwin suddenly renounced Communism and kicked everyone with Stalinist sympathies, including charter member Elizabeth Gurley Flynn, out of the organization.

It wasn't Josef Stalin's genocide in the Ukraine that alienated Baldwin; that had happened back in 1932 and '33, and Baldwin had managed not to notice. The thing that turned Roger Baldwin against Stalinist Russia was the ironically named "non-aggression pact" that Stalin and Adolf Hitler signed, in which they agreed to stay out of each other's way while conquering and enslaving different parts of Europe. Flynn and Foster were willing to support Hitler if it helped Stalin; Baldwin was not.

It's hard to find a negative word about the ACLU in modern history books, but Baldwin's 1939 rejection of Communism did rub historian Howard Zinn the wrong way. In his widely used textbook A People's History of the United States, Professor Zinn (himself an undercover Communist, according to his FBI file) accuses the ACLU of a lack of resolve:

Even the American Civil Liberties Union, set up specifically to defend the liberties of Communists and all other political groups, began to wilt in the cold war atmosphere. It had already started in this direction back in 1940 when it expelled one of its charter members, Elizabeth Gurley Flynn, because she was a member of the Communist party.1

It's worth noting that the ACLU was not the only organization expelling Communists during that period. The Hitler-Stalin pact, which was in effect from August of 1939 to June of 1941, caused a backlash against Communism all around the US.

In 1940 the federal government passed the Smith Act, which made it a crime to "teach, advocate, or encourage" the violent overthrow of the US government. State legislatures in California, New York, Oklahoma, and Texas all established committees to screen public employees for loyalty to the United States. Twenty one states quickly passed laws requiring public school teachers to sign loyalty oaths.

The New York anti-subversion law forced colleges and universities around the state to fire some sixty faculty members with Communist or Fascist sympathies, fully two thirds of them from one campus. City College of New York was such a hotbed of Communism in those days that the new state law forced CUNY to dismiss forty faculty members, one of whom was Jack Foner, the father of the modern day history professor who wrote Give Me Liberty.

The younger Professor Foner addresses the 1940 New York law in his textbook, portraying it as an immoral product of anti-Communist "hysteria," but he fails to mention that his father was one of the fired Communist sympathizers.

The ACLU Today

On its homepage, the modern day ACLU boasts of "90 YEARS OF PROTECTING YOUR LIBERTY," but the group's consistently leftist bent results in some interesting definitions of the term "liberty."

They bring lawsuits to force state governments to give bigger welfare checks to the prolific poor. They call on the federal government to regulate the compensation businesses pay their employees.

When malcontent feminists tried to force a privately owned country club to change its

admission policies through public protests, media campaigns, and punitive lawsuits targeted at the club's members, a truly non-partisan civil rights group would have defended the club's right of association. The ACLU apparently values political correctness over Constitutional rights; they joined the feminists in trying to pressure the club to change its policies.

It is easy to understand why college professors and other leftists would admire the ACLU. It stands for all the same values that liberals hold dear.

Al Fuller

1 Howard Zinn, A People's History of the United States (2003 edition), p. 436

History of the ACLU
Written on Friday, May 27, 2011 by Ann-Marie Murrell
3Share 0Tweet 0 0Reddit 0Email

image:
http://patriotupdate.wpengine.netdna-cdn.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/aclu-300x180.jpg aclu

We've all heard about the crazy, hypocritical things the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) has done over the years. My own mayor, Los Angeles Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa is a former board member and president of the ACLU Southern California affiliate.

And most recently, the ACLU has bullied a high school with a 70-year tradition of holding commencement ceremonies in a Methodist-owned building. They have forced the school to take down a large cross out front and also they must replace any signs or banners inside that have anything to do with Christianity.

According to Fox News, "Instead of the sign, 'Holiness to the Lord,' a banner will be posted over it saying, 'Neptune Township School District … A Community of Learners.' In addition, a banner with the words 'So Be Ye Holy' will be covered with another banner saying, 'Neptune High School: A School of Excellence and No Excuses.'"

At first the school rejected the ACLU demands, but ultimately they caved.

So as with my reports about Socialism and Eric Holder, I personally wanted to find out more about this organization: where it began, who founded it—and more importantly, why they only seem to target Christians and victims instead of the 'bad guys' of the world.

EARLY HISTORY: Roger Nash Baldwin

As expected, the ACLU was founded in 1920 by an anarchist/Socialist/Communist-leaning man named Roger Nash Baldwin.

Roger was born in Massachusetts in 1884. He earned his bachelor's and master's degree at Harvard University and soon after moved to St. Louis Missouri where he taught sociology at Washington University. He later became a social worker.

While in St. Louis, Baldwin became obsessed by the radical anarchist Emma Goldman (founder of anarchist journal Mother Earth) and he joined the Industrial Workers of the World.

By now, Baldwin was a full-on radical. He was a member of the American Union Against Militarism (AUAM), which opposed American involvement in World War I, and spent a year in jail as a conscientious objector as a draft dodger.

In order to protect other radicals like him, Baldwin and the AUAM created the Civil Liberties Bureau (CLB) in 1917, which later branched off to be the National Civil Liberties Bureau. Finally in 1920, NCLB was renamed the American Civil Liberties Union with Baldwin continuing as the ACLU's first executive director.

In 1927, Baldwin took an inspirational trip to the Soviet Union and later wrote a book called Liberty Under the Soviets.

Baldwin claims his original intent for the ACLU was flat-out Communism:

My chief aversion is the system of greed, private profit, privilege and violence which makes up the control of the world today, and which has brought it to the tragic crisis of unprecedented hunger and unemployment…Therefore, I am for Socialism, disarmament and ultimately, for the abolishing of the State itself…I seek the social ownership of property, the abolition of the propertied class and sole control of those who produce wealth. Communism is the goal.

However, when both Baldwin and the American public became more aware of Stalin and the Soviet Union, he later condemned Communism and referred to it as "A New Slavery".

As testament to his newfound anti-Communistic stance, in the 1940's Baldwin tried to ban Communists from being in the ACLU. (Baldwin's anti-Communist ban was lifted in 1967 when the ACLU allowed Communist Party members to rejoin their group.)

The ACLU first gained public notoriety in 1925 (under Baldwin's directorship) when the state of Tennessee banned the teaching of evolution. The ACLU worked with biology teacher John T. Scopes to challenge the law by having him continue teaching the banned subject. Scopes was

arrested and was then represented by attorney Clarence Darrow (with the help of the ACLU, of course).
The famous “Scopes Trial” ultimately found Scopes guilty (although the verdict later overturned because of a sentencing error). But the publicity that ensued helped launch ACLU as a major force in America.
One of the good things the ACLU did in their early years was to abolish President Franklin Roosevelt’s Japanese Americans ‘war relocation camps after World War II.
In 1947 and 1948, General Douglas MacArthur invited Baldwin to help set up similar Civil Liberties Unions in Japan, Germany and Austria.
Baldwin retired from the ACLU in 1950 but he continued to be active in politics and co-founded the International League for Human Rights.
President Jimmy Carter (of course) awarded Baldwin the Medal of Freedom in 1981. Seven months later, Baldwin died of a heart attack in New Jersey.
ACLU: After Baldwin Through 2011
One of the better things the ACLU has done is that they were instrumental in challenging racial segregation in 1954 with Brown v. Board of Education. This important move ended the era of ‘separate but equal’.
But things started going South in the 1970’s, beginning with the ACLU’s much-publicized involvement in the abortion fight Roe v. Wade and Doe v. Bolton.
And in 1978 the ACLU “defended a Nazi group that wanted to march through the Chicago suburb of Skokie, Illinois where many Holocaust survivors lived.” The ACLU fought for the Nazi’s 1st Amendment rights “to march and express their views.”
Regarding their defense of the Nazi’s, the ACLU website proudly says, “Many now consider this one of the ACLU’s finest hours.”
Although the ACLU has done a few good things for America, the bad far outweighs the good—and all under the misleading guise of “Freedom”. From the 1970’s through 2011, the ACLU has been notorious for defending extremists (such as Nazis and atheists) while trying to remove all traces of Christianity in America by forcing churches and cemeteries to take down crosses, eliminating the word “Christmas” from almost every walk of life and much more.
I thought I’d end with an excerpt from an article called “Top Ten Reasons to Stop the ACLU”. They summarized everything very nicely.
10. The ACLU was founded by Communist, with communist ideals, communist goals, and they continue to impose a Communist like agenda on America daily.
9. The ACLU does not believe in the Second Amendment.
ACLU POLICY “The ACLU agrees with the Supreme Court’s long-standing interpretation of the Second Amendment [as set forth in the 1939 case, U.S. v. Miller] that the individual’s right to bear arms applies only to the preservation or efficiency of a well-regulated militia. Except for lawful police and military purposes, the possession of weapons by individuals is not constitutionally protected. Therefore, there is no constitutional impediment to the regulation of firearms.”ACLU Policy #47
8. Their outright hatred of the Boy Scouts. They are currently doing everything in their power to hurt this organization. They attacked their free speech right to exclude gays, and are threatening schools, and fighting in court to get their charters shut down. They oppose the military supporting them, and will sue the pants off any school that attempts to charter them.
7. The ACLU is pro-death. Not only is the ACLU Pro-abortion, it’s the ACLU’s top priority. It most definitely takes a backseat to free speech for the ACLU. As a matter of fact, the ACLU has fought against the free speech rights of those that oppose it. If its abortion or euthanasia, as long as its pro-death you can count on the ACLU to support it. The only exception to the ACLU’s pro-death stance, is if it is a convicted criminal; in this case they are against death.
6. The ACLU advocate open borders. Not only have the ACLU opposed the Minute Men, a group who are simply exercising their freedom of speech, protesting and stepping up where the government is failing, but they have helped illegals cross the border.
5. President Jimmy Carter (of course) awarded Baldwin the Medal of Freedom in 1981.

4. The ACLU Opposes National Security. The ACLU has opposed almost every effort in the arena of national security. From the bird flu to bag searches, the ACLU has been against it. No matter what kind of search someone tries to do to protect people, the ACLU has proved they are against them across the board. It's kind of ironic that they don't practice the principles they preach. (Take a walk into the NYCLU's Manhattan headquarters – which it shares with other organizations – and you'll find a sign warning visitors that all bags are subject to search.)
3. The ACLU Defends the enemy. They have a long history of this one. They defended the P.L.O. in 1985. They defended Quadafi in the 1980's. And they continue today. They have told Gitmo detainees they have the right to remain silent, as in not talking to interrogators. One issue that really disturbs me is their refusal of funds from organizations such as the United Way that were concerned the money would be used to support terrorism.
In October of 2004, the ACLU turned down $1.15 million in funding from two of its most generous and loyal contributors, the Ford and Rockefeller foundations, saying new anti-terrorism restrictions demanded by the institutions make it unable to accept their funds. 'The Ford Foundation now bars recipients of its funds from engaging in any activity that "promotes violence, terrorism, bigotry, or the destruction of any state.' The Rockefeller Foundation's provisions state that recipients of its funds may not "directly or indirectly engage in, promote, or support other organizations or individuals who engage in or promote terrorist activity.
2. The ACLU supports child porn distribution and child molesters like NAMBLA.
As legislative counsel for the ACLU in 1985, Barry Lynn told the U.S. Attorney General's Commission on Pornography (of which Focus on the Family President Dr. James C. Dobson was a member) that child pornography was protected by the First Amendment. While production of child porn could be prevented by law, he argued, its distribution could not be. There is no doubt the ACLU is perverting the Constitution.
1. The ACLU fulfills its agenda using my tax money. What more can I say on this one? There are many very active groups working to combat the domination and influence the ACLU has held on the United States.
I urge you to support and donate to the following groups that are the TRUE victim's advocates in America today. Unlike the ACLU–who consider people saying the words "Merry Christmas" a hate crime–these groups are working to ensure we will truly be able to hang on to our Constitutional rights, according to our Founder's beliefs.
ACLJ
Alliance Defense Fund
Thomas More Law Center
Join the Stop The ACLU Coalition; Help us write Churches to get involved.
Tell your Congress to support the Public Expression of Religion Act of 2005. This legislation seeks to limit attorney's fees in Establishment Clause cases to injunctive relief only.

Revealing FACTS on the ACLU
from its own writings by Diane Dew

Ever notice how the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) seems to take on only cases that are anti-Christian - pro-sodomy, pro-abortion, anti-family, pro-pornography, pro-prostitution, pro-euthanasia, pro-homosexual, pro-infanticide, pro-crime, pro-humanism, anti-God -- and, except for atheism, anti-religion?

It calls itself the American Civil Liberties Union, but the ACLU is not American; it is uncivil (to the unborn, which are shredded mercilessly to pieces without anesthetic); and it knows nothing of true liberty, which can only be found in Jesus Christ, when one is set free from the bondage of all the SIN this evil organization PROMOTES!

Stated Goals

The ACLU's founder, Roger Baldwin, stated: "We are for SOCIALISM, disarmament, and ultimately for abolishing the state itself... We seek the social ownership of property, the abolition of the propertied class, and the SOLE CONTROL of those who produce wealth. COMMUNISM is the goal." (Source: Trial and Error, by Geo. Grant)

The ACLU is destructive to the fabric of our society. Christians must recognize Satan as the source - the instigator - when the end results of an organization's efforts are only "to kill, to steal, and to destroy." All we need to is examine the (rotten) fruit.

Following are some of the stated goals of the ACLU, from its own published Policy Issues:
the legalization of prostitution (Policy 211);
the defense of all pornography, including CHILD PORN, as "free speech" (Policy 4);
the decriminalization and legalization of all drugs (Policy 210);
the promotion of homosexuality (Policy 264);
the opposition of rating of music and movies (Policy 18);
opposition against parental consent of minors seeking abortion (Policy 262);
opposition of informed consent preceding abortion procedures (Policy 263);
opposition of spousal consent preceding abortion (Policy 262);
opposition of parental choice in children's education (Policy 80)
-- not to mention the defense and promotion of euthanasia, polygamy, government control of church institutions, gun control, tax-funded abortion, birth limitation, etc. (Policies 263, 133, 402, 47, 261, 323, 271, 91, 85).

Following is a case in point (from David Barton's "America: To Pray or Not to Pray").

In 1988, California was considering adopting legislation on sex education for public schools requiring that course material and
instruction should stress that monogamous heterosexual intercourse within marriage is a traditional American value.

The Senator promoting the bill received a letter of protest from the ACLU dated April 18, 1988 stating:

> "It is our position that monogamous, heterosexual intercourse within marriage as a traditional American value is an unconstitutional establishment of religious doctrine in public schools.... We believe [this bill] violates the First Amendment."

Truth is, liberals are unwilling to simply let others be, but rather seek to impose their UNgodliness upon Christians. It is a mission to
them and other atheists to pervert the freedoms of others. The ACLU does not run to the defense of those who are harmed; it aggressively seeks out opportunities to corrupt pure freedoms.

Finances How does the ACLU pay for its activities?

George Grant, author of "Trial and Error," puts the ACLU's annual budget (1993) at $14 MILLION (FOURTEEN MILLION DOLLARS) - much of which is "SUPPLIED BY THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER through the Federal program mandated by the Civil Rights Attorneys' Fee Awards Act of 1976. If the ACLU wins a case that involves a public institution, for instance, the organization collects the full legal fees of its attorneys even though those attorneys offered their services pro bono (without charge).

America's Communist Lawyers' Union
The Omega Letter ^ | 12-2-04 | Jack Kinsella
Posted on 12/2/2004, 6:29:13 PM by hope
Omega Letter Christian Intelligence Digest
Jack Kinsella America's Communist Lawyers' Union
Prophecy - Signs
Thursday, December 02, 2004
- Omega Letter Editor
The ACLU's holy war against the Boy Scouts, the LA County Official Seal (containing a tiny Cross), holidays like Thanksgiving and Christmas -- and even the Constitution itself -- has unmasked the ACLU as a tyrant less interested in civil rights than imposing judicial restraints that amount to suppression of majority rights by a tiny minority.
The ACLU claims to be an unbiased, “neither conservative or liberal” organization devoted exclusively to protecting the civil liberties of all Americans. But their record proves just the opposite.
ACLU Founder Roger Baldwin admitted as much, saying for the record that; “Civil liberties, like democracy, are useful only as tools for social change.”
Although they claim to defend constitutional rights, they don’t even believe in the document as written. They say that, “The Constitution as originally conceived was deeply flawed.” They even go so far as to brag, “The ACLU was the missing ingredient that made our constitutional system finally work.”
Roger Baldwin was a student of communist Emma Goldman who tutored him in subversive ideology of Lenin, together with secular humanism. He claimed Emma as “one of the chief inspirations of his life”.
During World War 1 Baldwin worked in the Bureau of Conscientious Objectors, a division of AUAM, to help draft dodgers with resistance and provide legal and financial aid.
This resulted in controversy and Baldwin renamed the organization The Civil Liberties Bureau to avoid some of the flack. Roger refused to tone down his liberal talk and the AUAM sought a split, which resulted in the bureau renaming again; The National Civil Liberties Bureau.
One paper Baldwin wrote for the Bureau was called “unmailable” by the Post Office because of “radical and subversive views” which resulted in a FBI raid on their offices. Shortly thereafter he was drafted and upon resisting and openly spouting social reform propaganda, was imprisoned for a year.
In 1920 he moved his offices in with the Communist Party’s paper, New Masses and renamed the group a final time to the ACLU. He developed many ties with the communist movement and even wrote a book, "Liberty Under the Soviets", which bragged about the “liberty won for anti-religion”.
Baldwin admitted in his book; "I joined. I don’t regret being a part of the Communist tactic, which increased the effectiveness of a good cause. I knew what I was doing. I was not an innocent liberal. I wanted what the Communists wanted…”
The ACLU was founded at a party attended by Socialist Party notable Norman Thomas, future Communist Party chairman Elizabeth Gurley Flynn, and Soviet agent Agnes Smedley.
In 1920, Rev. Harry Ward, the 'Red Dean' of the Union Theological Seminary was Chairman, Baldwin was director, and Communist publisher Louis Budenz, who would later go on to testify against Communism, director of publicity.
Other Communist and radical founders included William Z. Foster, author of “Toward Soviet America,” Harold J. Laski, Morris Hilquit, A.J.Muste, Scott Nearing, Eugene V. Debs, and John Dewey.
The 1930’s membership would include such radicals and change agents as Vito Marcantonio, Haywood Broun, Corliss Lamont, and Bishop G. Bromley Oxnan.
The 1940’s roll would include George S. Counts, Norman Cousins, Melvyn Douglas, Robert M. Hutchins, and Freda Kirchwey.
Most prominent American luminaries of the left were, and are, members of the ACLU.

On January 16, 1981, President Jimmy Carter awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom to ACLU founder Roger Nash Baldwin, calling him 'a champion of human and civil rights.'
Under the guise of 'protecting American civil rights', Baldwin's ACLU has sued to;
- Halt the singing of Christmas Carols in public facilities.
- Deny tax -exempt status for Churches.
- Remove all military chaplains.
- Remove all Christian symbols from public property.
- Prohibit Bible reading in classrooms even during free time.
- Remove In God We Trust from our coins.
- Remove God from the Pledge of Allegiance
- Deny federal funding for Boy Scouts until they admit gays and atheists

The ACLU championed the gay rights movement and Roe v. Wade. In 1977, the ACLU created its "Reproductive Freedom Project" that, over the next 16 years, utilized 17 full-time employees and a budget of $2 million.
In 1986 the ACLU created its "Lesbian and Gay Rights" project.
Some other causes adopted by the ACLU include the rights of AIDS patients to keep their diseases confidential and denying freedom of worship in public places.
In 1986, 5th grade teacher Kenneth Roberts was ordered, following an ACLU suit, to remove his Bible from his classroom. (In 2001, it sued the Anahein public school system for refusing to put pro-homosexual propaganda in the district's high school libraries.)
In 1988, it barred a doctor from telling a Kansas man's former wife that her ex-husband had tested positive for AIDS. In the words of the director of the ACLU's Privacy and Technology Project, "The benefits of confidentiality outweigh the possibility that somebody may be injured."
In 1997, the ACLU convinced the Supreme Court to protect the rights of pornographers on the Internet - including the right to show their images to children.
In May 2000, Arizona Governor Jane Hull issued a proclamation celebrating the birth of Buddha. An ACLU spokesperson said, "Although we may think proclamations are inappropriate, they may not violate the Constitution."
(But two years earlier, when Governor Hull issued a proclamation declaring a "Bible Week," the ACLU sued, claiming a violation of the so-called "separation of church and state.")
Among the ACLU's pantheon of victories are cases involving the defense of Communists, anarchists, Ku Klux Klansmen, and those who sought to overthrow American government.
In order for the ACLU to tear down constitutional barriers to governmental power, they must extinguish America's fundamental belief in God, since such a belief is an essential denial of the supreme power of government.
According to the Declaration of Independence, rights come from God, not government. When God's presence in the American mindset ceases, however, people no longer look to God as the grantor of rights but to government.
Therefore, the ACLU argues that the more power the government has, the better off the people under it are. If one looks at the history of the Soviet Union and any other Communist country, one will be apt to find Communist leaders who predicated their form of government on atheism and a secular state religion.
The ACLU has been so successful that even the Declaration of Independence can be interpreted as unconstitutional, if the argument is framed properly.
A San Franscisco suburban teacher was forbidden to give out copies of the Declaration of Independence to his students by the school's principal, Patricia Vidmar, because it refers to God. Principal Vidmar has also required that Mr. Williams clear all his lessons first with her. This has led to other materials that refer to God or Christianity being rejected, such as George Washington's journal, John Adams' diary, Samuel Adams' "The Rights of the Colonists," and William Penn's "The Frame of Government of Pennsylvania."
The Barna Research Group found in a recent poll that 40% of all Americans claimed to have read the Bible in the week preceding the poll. Fully 80% admitted to praying to God in the

previous week, while 83% said that religion had 'changed their behavior'.
Two-thirds of Americans claimed they attend church at least once a month. Interestingly, more Americans believe in God than Israelis do. The National Opinion Research Center found that 62.8% of Americans believe in God, as compared with only 43% of Israelis.
Given these numbers, how can it be that the ACLU can impose such a 'through the looking-glass' worldview on the majority of Americans?
In the natural, there are plenty of reasons; the careful installation of anti-Christian activists judges, ninety years of brainwashing, the imposition of secular humanism as America's state religion, and the domination of professions like the law and teaching by secularists and homosexual activists.
But the Bible says that it is more than that.
Romans 1:28 says, "And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient."
2nd Timothy 3:5,8,13 say that, "Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof", "evil men and seducers will wax worse and worse" eventually resulting in their becoming "men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith."
Note that Paul begins this passage by warning, "This know also, that in the last days perilous times shall come." (2nd Timothy 3:1)
The word 'reprobate' means 'without moral scruples' and carries with it the sense of being morally blinded to the degree that one is unable to think clearly, even when it is in one's own best interests.
Clearly, espousing the idea that the Declaration of Independence is unconstitutional because it mentions God, particularly in the context of PROTECTING religious liberties, cannot logically be squared with the concept that religious liberty is guaranteed by God.
Webster's 1913 Dictionary defines 'reprobate' theologically as "Abandoned to punishment; hence, morally abandoned and lost; given up to vice; depraved."
Romans 1:28 says God 'gave them over' to a reprobate mind.
2nd Thessalonians says that the antichrist (that 'Wicked' v.2:8) would be revealed after the restraining influence of the Holy Spirit is 'taken out of the way' (v.7) after which, Paul writes, "God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie," with the ultimate result that, "they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness." (v. 12)
The ACLU exists, openly and it all its glory, for the express purpose of propagating the lie that God does not exist, and therefore the worship of God is a crime, even though, apart from God, there is no guarantor of the civil liberties the ACLU claims to protect.
Do you follow? The 'reprobate mind' suffering from the 'strong delusion'.
It is here, it is now and it is in your face. And it will continue to get worse.
Until He comes.
"But we are bound to give thanks alway to God for you, brethren beloved of the Lord, because God hath from the beginning chosen you to salvation through sanctification of the Spirit and belief of the truth . . . Therefore, brethren, stand fast, and hold the traditions which ye have been taught, whether by word, or our epistle." (2nd Thessalonians 2:13,15)
How is it that so many Americans can be deceived into allowing themselves to by tyrannized by the minority? A reprobate mind.
Why hasn't it affected the True Church?
"For God hath not given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a SOUND MIND." (2nd Timothy 1:7)
Which is why the Red States earned the nickname, "JesusLand."
Excerpted from the Omega Letter Daily Intelligence Digest, Volume 38: Issue: 26

MANDATING THE KORAN REVIEW & OUTLOOK

The ACLU finally finds a religion it can tolerate. Surprise--it's Islam.

When a state university requires students to immerse themselves in the study of a particular religion, it can expect trouble. That's exactly what the University of North Carolina got for its summer reading requirement that all incoming freshmen read portions of the Koran and commentary by a religious scholar. The school now faces a lawsuit from a group of students and alumni, charging violations of the First Amendment.

This university exercise in compulsory religious study is strange enough. But no less so than the acquiescent response of those civil liberties watchdogs usually ever alert to the danger that somebody, somewhere, might be sneaking a prayer into some school program or graduation ceremony. The American Civil Liberties Union, normally busy saving the nation's schools from the smallest whiff of religious influence, has leapt to university's defense. Finally, it seems, the ACLU has found a religion it can tolerate.

The University of North Carolina program requires students to read Koran portions and also listen to a CD offering recitals in Arabic, including the chant calling the faithful to prayer. Students are then asked to write a paper on their responses. The point, explains university Chancellor James Moeser, is to seek understanding and bring home to students the reasons Islam has a billion followers across the globe.

If that's the case, it's a highly selective understanding since "Approaching the Qur'an," by Haverford College Professor Michael Sells, omits those portions of the Koran that terrorists have used to justify their actions. Mr. Sells explains this away by drawing an analogy to courses in Western Civilization, where students are more likely to read Biblical passages from Exodus than the bloody accounts in Joshua. The next time a terrorist cites Joshua as his rationale for murdering thousands of innocent civilians, let us know.

Students who object to their assignment have been given the option of writing a paper explaining why they refuse it, and how it offends their religious values. Some option. We are speaking here of the most vulnerable and easily cowed members of a school population--the freshman class. Young people setting foot in a university for the first time aren't in much of a position to say no to their first assignment, whatever they may feel, and the university administrators doubtless know that. Members of the incoming class who do refuse it are still required to show up and explain themselves at group discussions led by faculty later this month--a teach-in, of sorts.

Still the watchdogs haven't uttered a sound. Apparently the guardians of the Establishment Clause decided that compulsory religious study is OK if it helps a university promote the politically correct view of Islam. As an ACLU lawyer explained on National Public Radio, requiring the readings from the Koran isn't a problem because its aim is educational, and won't be, as long as it is presented as belief and not fact. It's hard to imagine the ACLU exhibiting the same large-mindedness toward a state university that demanded all freshmen read the New Testament or the Torah and meditate on the teachings and liturgical music.

The same organization ready to wage war to the death against all forms of religious expression in the schools has apparently decided it's all right to exempt Islam and the Koran. Fine with us. Maybe we can look forward to an extension of this tolerance, whereby the ACLU may even someday desist from filing lawsuits over Nativity scenes and Christmas carols in the schools, or the posting of the Ten Commandments. We are, of course, not holding our breath.

Copyright © 2000 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

The Muslim Takeover of the ACLU

Posted By Daniel Greenfield On October 7, 2012 @ 10:55 am In The Point |

Yesterday I mentioned that the ACLU had completely discredited itself with its non-response to the Nakoula case, now IBD offers some insight into the state of affairs at the ACLU.

The ACLU now counts at least eight Muslims on its national executive staff alone. In fact, a Muslim runs the ACLU's Center for Democracy, while another heads its National Security Project.

The irony is not lost on Steve Emerson, director of the Investigative Project on Terrorism. "The ACLU was founded on the basis that there shouldn't be any blasphemy laws," said Emerson, who's airing a new documentary, "Jihad in America: The Grand Deception." "Yet in the last 10 years, they've appointed (to their boards) members of the Muslim Brotherhood who believe in blasphemy laws."

While ignoring the Nakoula case, the most important free speech case of our time, the ACLU\Muslim Brotherhood is hard at work protecting terrorist funding.

In a 200-page report on jihad fundraising, "Blocking Faith, Freezing Charity," the ACLU argued that "federal law enforcement is engaging in practices that intimidate Muslim donors and create a climate of fear that chills American Muslims' free and full exercise of their religion."

That's the core ACLU mission. Protect the Muslim right to Jihad while completely ignoring free speech. The old ACLU was engaged in promoting a Communist victory but it believed that the best way to do that was through an open society. Now it's promoting an Islamist victory and it no longer sees the open society as its method of choice, but an Islamist supremacist society that gives special rights to Muslims while denying them to everyone else.

This is who's controlling the agenda at the ACLU these days. It was bad enough when the group was run by leftists. Now it's also run by Islamists.

Jaffer, who now heads the ACLU's Center for Democracy after heading its National Security Project, happens to be pals with Tariq Ramadan, the grandson of the Egyptian founder of the radical Muslim Brotherhood who was denied a visa in 2004 after allegedly raising funds for Hamas terrorists.

Jaffer has lobbied the Justice Department to remove CAIR and other Brotherhood and Hamas front groups from its blacklist of groups complicit in a criminal conspiracy to raise money for terrorists.

He's also pressured the FBI to purge names of Muslim terrorist suspects from the no-fly list.

What's more, Jaffer wants to deny the feds one of its most effective weapons in the war on terror — freezing the assets of terrorist front groups. He's also sued to kill the government's drone program, perhaps its most effective weapon of all.

Article printed from FrontPage Magazine: http://www.frontpagemag.com
URL to article:
http://www.frontpagemag.com/2012/dgreenfield/the-muslim-takeover-of-the-aclu/

The Koran Directly Attacks Christianity

There is a move in the United States and the entire Western World to block anything from being said negatively against Islam. Exposing Islam and its doctrines that are contrary to Western culture is called Hate Speech. In both Europe and Canada , Christians have been heavily fined and threatened with jail for speaking negatively about Islam. Telling the truth accurately means nothing, as it is classified as Hate Speech. There are forces working in the United States to also promote that speaking against Islam is hate speech. Islam is being packaged and sold to the West as a peaceful religion.

Based on this concept of speaking out about Islam being hate speech, an examination of the Koran shows this book itself is hate speech, specifically, against Christianity. The Bible was written 500 years before Muhammad and therefore has nothing to say about Islam. In fact, the Bible only directly condemns pagan worship. It has nothing directly to say about Hinduism or the other ancient Eastern religions. By doctrine and teachings, the Bible disagrees with the eastern religions, but it does not condemn them.

The Koran directly attacks the major doctrines of Christianity. The Christian faith has several key beliefs. The teaching that is unique to the Christian faith is that there is one God who eternally manifests Himself in three persons: the Father, Son and Holy Spirit. This is known as the Godhead or the blessed Trinity. The second critical doctrine is that Jesus of Nazareth is the only begotten Son of God; therefore He is unique from everyone else. His Father was not human, but the holy God of Israel. The third key doctrine is that the Lord Jesus died on the cross to pay the penalty for man's sin and then rose from the dead. There are other important doctrines, but these three really comprise the very heart of the Christian faith.

With this foundation, let us examine the Koran to see what it states about the fundamental doctrines of Christianity. A chapter in the Koran is referred to as Sura, so when reviewing the Koran the word Sura with numbers after it gives the location of the verse. I use the Koran on line for research. This is found at:

http://quod.lib.umich.edu/k/koran/index.html

The Blessed Holy Trinity

The Koran clearly states that Christians lie when claiming God is in the form of a Trinity. These are strong words; however this is exactly what the Koran states. The Koran claims that the Lord Jesus is only an apostle and for Christians to desist from teaching the blessed Trinity! In this same Sura, the Koran denies the Lord Jesus is the Son of God.

In one Sura the Koran attacks the very heart of Christianity by denying the blessed Trinity and that the Lord Jesus is the only begotten Son of God! It calls Christians liars and demands that the preaching of the Trinity and Jesus being the Son of God stop. The Koran identifies Christians sometimes as "followers of the book," but when they proclaim Christian doctrine, the Koran then calls them liars. Muhammad writes that it is acceptable to believe the Bible, but not Christian doctrine!

The Sura to show this follows:

Sura 4.171 O followers of the Book! do not exceed the limits in your religion, and do not speak (lies) against Allah, but (speak) the truth; the Messiah, Isa son of Marium is only an apostle of Allah and His Word which He communicated to Marium and a spirit from Him; believe therefore in Allah and His apostles, and say not, Three. Desist, it is better for you; Allah is only one God; far be It from His glory that He should have a son, whatever is in the heavens and whatever is in the earth is His, and Allah is sufficient for a Protector.

The Lord Jesus is the Only Begotten Son of God

One the central doctrines of Islam as clearly presented in the Koran is that God does not have a son. An exhaustive search of the Koran shows that in at least 11 Suras, the Koran states that God does not have a Son! This is clearly aimed at Christianity because no other faith claims that God has a literal Son. The Koran even states in Sura 9:30 that those that believe Allah has a son should be destroyed by Allah! The Koran is very clear that it was written to attack this Christian doctrine.

The following are the Suras claiming that God does not have a Son:

Sura 4.171 Allah is only one God; far be It from His glory that He should have a son, whatever is in the heavens and whatever is in the earth is His, and Allah is sufficient for a Protector.
Sura 6.101 Wonderful Originator of the heavens and the earth! How could He have a son when He has no consort, and He (Himself) created everything, and He is the Knower of all things.
Sura 9.30 And the Jews say: Uzair is the son of Allah; and the Christians say: The Messiah is the son of Allah; these are the words of their mouths; they imitate the saying of those who disbelieved before; may Allah destroy them; how they are turned away!
Sura 10.68 They say: Allah has taken a son (to Himself)! Glory be to Him: He is the Self-sufficient: His is what is in the heavens and what is in the earth; you have no authority for this; do you say against Allah what you do not know?
Sura 17.111 And say: (All) praise is due to Allah, Who has not taken a son and Who has not a partner in the kingdom, and Who has not a helper to save Him from disgrace; and proclaim His greatness magnifying (Him).
Sura 18.4 And warn those who say: Allah has taken a son.
Sura 19.35 It beseems not Allah that He should take to Himself a son, glory to be Him; when He has decreed a matter He only says to it "Be," and it is.
Sura 19.88 And they say: The Beneficent God has taken (to Himself) a son. (91) That they ascribe a son to the Beneficent God. (92) And it is not worthy of the Beneficent God that He should take (to Himself) a son.
Sura 21.26 And they say: The Beneficent God has taken to Himself a son. Glory be to Him. Nay! they are honored servants
Sura 23.91 Never did Allah take to Himself a son, and never was there with him any (other) god-- in that case would each god have certainly taken away what he created, and some of them would certainly have overpowered others; glory be to Allah above what they describe!
Sura 25.2 He, Whose is the kingdom of the heavens and the earth, and Who did not take to Himself a son, and Who has no associate in the kingdom, and Who created everything, then ordained for it a measure.
The Lord Jesus Died on the Cross
The Koran literally says the Lord Jesus did not die on the cross. It claims that He appeared to have died on the cross, but He was not killed nor was He crucified. Allah just took Jesus to heaven. The Koran directly attacks the vicarious death of Jesus of Nazareth on the cross, and thus rejects His redemption of man from sin. The Koran goes on to state that on the day of resurrection Jesus Christ will be a witness against Christians!
The Suras from the Koran to prove this follow:
Sura 4.157 And their saying: Surely we have killed the Messiah, Isa [Jesus] son of Marium, the apostle of Allah; and they did not kill him nor did they crucify him, but it appeared to them so (like Isa) and most surely those who differ therein are only in a doubt about it; they have no knowledge respecting it, but only follow a conjecture, and they killed him not for sure
158 Nay! Allah took him up to Himself; and Allah is Mighty, Wise.
159 And there is not one of the followers of the Book but most certainly believes in this before his death, and on the day of resurrection he (Jesus) shall be a witness against them.
Association
According to Islam the worst sin a person can commit is shirk. This sin is also called association. This means associating Allah with a man. The very heart of Christianity that the Lord is the Son of God is the worst sin in Islam! According to the Koran, Allah forbids heaven to anyone who commits this sin and their abode is hell without any help.
The Koran and Islam by their very nature are antichristian, and therefore in our modern world's way of thinking, it is hate speech. There can never be reconciliation between Islam and Christianity because the very heart of Islam is antichristian.
The Sura to show this follows:
Sura 5.72 Certainly they disbelieve who say: Surely Allah, He is the Messiah, son of Marium; and the Messiah said: O Children of Israel! serve Allah, my Lord and your Lord. Surely whoever associates (others) with Allah, then Allah has forbidden to him the garden, and his abode is the

fire; and there shall be no helpers for the unjust.
The teachings of the Koran are in stark contrast to the Bible. The Koran states that God does not have a son. The Bible states that all life is in the Lord Jesus as the only begotten Son of God. With the Bible, if you have the Son, you have eternal life: if you do not have the Son, then you do not have eternal life. A person that does not believe this makes God a liar. The Koran written 500 years after these verses denies the Son, and therefore makes the God of the Bible a liar. The Bible is written in the positive stating God has a Son. The Koran is written in the negative attacking this fundamental doctrine of Christianity.
When Muhammad wrote the Koran, he knew exactly what he was doing. He was creating a new religion which rivaled Christianity. He wanted to make sure there was no connection between the God of Islam and the holy God of Israel. The warning of the Bible is same today as it was at the time of Muhammad:
1 John 5:9 If we receive the witness of men, the witness of God is greater: for this is the witness of God which he hath testified of his Son.
(10) He that believeth on the Son of God hath the witness in himself: he that believeth not God hath made him a liar; because he believeth not the record that God gave of his Son.
(11) And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son.
(12) He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life.
(13) These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God.
In summary, the God of Islam has no relationship to the God of the Bible. In fact, the God of Islam is at war with the Bible as the Koran calls anyone believing in the blessed Trinity a liar. The very origin of the Koran was to refute the authority of the Bible and reject the holy God of Israel. The Koran and Islam, at its very foundation, is hatred of Christianity, and therefore has no place in America . The Koran, as given by Muhammad, is hate speech and should be exposed as such.
Note: America was the only nation in all of history that was founded on the blessed holy Trinity. There were three documents which created the United States of America . Most people are very familiar with the first and third documents: The Declaration of Independence and the Constitution. It was the second document that ended the Revolutionary War and granted America nationhood. The Paris Peace Treaty of 1783 formally ended the Revolution and granted the United States independence from Great Britain . This document can be found at: http://www.law.ou.edu/hist/paris.html In a real sense, the United States formally became a nation on September 3, 1783.
When the United States became a nation, it was done “In the name of the most holy and undivided Trinity.” The preamble to this Treaty states that it is based upon the “Holy and undivided Trinity!” The concept of the holy Trinity is unique to Christianity. This statement means the United States was founded on the Christian faith. The Preamble is very short and follows:
“In the name of the most holy and undivided Trinity”
The Treaty then ends just like the Constitution with a statement it is being signed in the “Year of our Lord.” The witnesses representing the United States were John Adams, Benjamin Franklin, John Jay and D. Hartley. The section in part follows:
“… In witness whereof we the undersigned, their ministers plenipotentiary, have in their name and in virtue of our full powers, signed with our hands the present definitive treaty and caused the seals of our arms to be affixed thereto. Done at Paris , this third day of September in the year of our Lord, one thousand seven hundred and eighty-three”
D. HARTLEY,JOHN ADAMS,B. FRANKLIN,JOHN JAY
By John McTernan
Defend and Proclaim the Faith
Blog: John McTernan’s Insights at www.johnmcternan.name
Copyright 2009 by John McTernan: All rights reserved.

The Third Jihad

Partly because of a new and indiscriminate tolerance, and partly because of a huge influx of jizya, Orthodox Islam has grown into a "third jihad." The term refers to the murder of non-Muslims around the world (for the crime of being non-Muslims), but includes much more than that. There are many ways to wage jihad, and nearly every Muslim can play a part.

For example, orthodox Muslims have deliberately emigrated into foreign lands in order to fulfill Allah's command to make the whole world submit to Islamic law. In those new countries, they are organizing and building up their political power. Orthodox Muslims are recruiting new Muslims into their ranks every day. Some have gained vast wealth and are using it to build mosques and madrassas all over the world. Islamic supremacists have infiltrated the political machinery in Western nations, they've successfully manipulated the press and disabled and silenced resistance against them, and of course, they are also killing non-Muslims around the world. This is the third jihad.

Jihad means "struggle," but a particular kind of struggle. Jihad means to struggle to make Islam dominant over all other religions and governments. This is one of the religious duties of all devout Muslims, and according to Mohammad, it is the most important obligation a Muslim must fulfill.

The first jihad started with Mohammad. His armies conquered all of Arabia. In the hundred years after his death, his armies conquered most of the Middle East, North Africa and Spain. The first jihad lasted from 622 AD until 750 AD. Read more about that here.

The second major jihad started in 1071 AD. Islamic armies toppled Constantinople and spread into Europe, India, and further into Africa. The second jihad began to decline when the Muslim army was stopped on September 11th, 1683 at the gates of Vienna, Austria. Read more about the second jihad here.

The Islamic push to dominate — all other cultures, all other religions, and all other governments — has never stopped. But we are referring to large waves of success for the Islamic expansion; periods where vast new territories were brought under the control of Sharia law.

Now we are in the third jihad, the third great wave.

Non-Muslims in the past have fought back, of course (otherwise the whole world would already be ruled by Islam). Some successfully resisted subjugation.

But many countries and cultures took too long to recognize the threat or organized their resistance too slowly, and they were swallowed up by Islam's relentless aggression. So we have 57 countries today who are Islamic enough to be members of the OIC.

Orthodox Muslims are waging jihad in subtler and more clever ways (waging jihad by gaining concessions, for example), in addition to simple violent aggression, but non-Muslims around the world are beginning to notice the pattern and are rousing themselves to defend their cultures, their religions, their governments, and their lives.

I hope you join us in defeating the third jihad. We need you to help others recognize the threat. We need your participation in organizing an effective resistance. And we need to move quickly. Here's how to get started.

Why Stop Muslim Immigration Thursday, November 27, 2014

The following is adapted from Dr. Peter Hammond's book: Slavery, Terrorism & Islam: Historical Roots and Contemporary Threat.

When politically correct, tolerant, and culturally diverse societies agree to Muslim demands for their religious privileges, some of the other components tend to creep in as well. Here's how it works. As long as the Muslim population remains around or under 2% in any given country, they will be for the most part be regarded as a peace-loving minority, and not as a threat to other citizens. This is the case in:

United States — Muslim 0.6%
Australia — Muslim 1.5%
Canada — Muslim 1.9%
China — Muslim 1.8%
Italy — Muslim 1.5%
Norway — Muslim 1.8%

At 2% to 5%, they begin to proselytize from other ethnic minorities and disaffected groups, often with major recruiting from the jails and among street gangs. This is happening in:

Denmark — Muslim 2%
Germany — Muslim 3.7%
United Kingdom — Muslim 2.7%
Spain — Muslim 4%
Thailand — Muslim 4.6%

From 5% on, they exercise an inordinate influence in proportion to their percentage of the population. For example, they will push for the introduction of halal (clean by Islamic standards) food, thereby securing food preparation jobs for Muslims. They will increase pressure on supermarket chains to feature halal on their shelves — along with threats for failure to comply. This is occurring in:

France — Muslim 8%
Philippines — Muslim 5%
Sweden — Muslim 5%
Switzerland — Muslim 4.3%
The Netherlands — Muslim 5.5%
Trinidad & Tobago — Muslim 5.8%

At this point, they will work to get the ruling government to allow them to rule themselves (within their ghettos) under Sharia, the Islamic Law. The ultimate goal of Islamists is to establish Sharia law over the entire world.

When Muslims approach 10% of the population, they tend to increase lawlessness as a means of complaint about their conditions. In Paris , we are already seeing car-burnings. Any non-Muslim action offends Islam, and results in uprisings and threats, such as in Amsterdam , with opposition to Mohammed cartoons and films about Islam. Such tensions are seen daily, particularly in Muslim sections, in:

Guyana — Muslim 10%
India — Muslim 13.4%
Israel — Muslim 16%
Kenya — Muslim 10%
Russia — Muslim 15%

After reaching 20%, nations can expect hair-trigger rioting, jihad militia formations, sporadic killings, and the burnings of Christian churches and Jewish synagogues, such as in:

Ethiopia — Muslim 32.8%

At 40%, nations experience widespread massacres, chronic terror attacks, and ongoing militia warfare, such as in:

Bosnia — Muslim 40%
Chad — Muslim 53.1%
Lebanon — Muslim 59.7%

From 60%, nations experience unfettered persecution of non-believers of all other religions (including non-conforming Muslims), sporadic ethnic cleansing (genocide), use of Sharia Law as a weapon, and Jizya, the tax placed on infidels, such as in:
Albania — Muslim 70%
Malaysia — Muslim 60.4%
Qatar — Muslim 77.5%
Sudan — Muslim 70%
After 80%, expect daily intimidation and violent jihad, some State-run ethnic cleansing, and even some genocide, as these nations drive out the infidels, and move toward 100% Muslim, such as has been experienced and in some ways is on-going in:
Bangladesh — Muslim 83%
Egypt — Muslim 90%
Gaza — Muslim 98.7%
Indonesia — Muslim 86.1%
Iran — Muslim 98%
Iraq — Muslim 97%
Jordan — Muslim 92%
Morocco — Muslim 98.7%
Pakistan — Muslim 97%
Palestine — Muslim 99%
Syria — Muslim 90%
Tajikistan — Muslim 90%
Turkey — Muslim 99.8%
United Arab Emirates — Muslim 96%
100% will usher in the peace of 'Dar-es-Salaam' — the Islamic House of Peace. Here there's supposed to be peace, because everybody is a Muslim, the Madrasses are the only schools, and the Koran is the only word, such as in:
Afghanistan — Muslim 100%
Saudi Arabia — Muslim 100%
Somalia — Muslim 100%
Yemen — Muslim 100%
Unfortunately, peace is never achieved, as in these 100% states the most radical Muslims intimidate and spew hatred, and satisfy their blood lust by killing less radical Muslims, for a variety of reasons.
"Before I was nine I had learned the basic canon of Arab life. It was me against my brother; me and my brother against our father; my family against my cousins and the clan; the clan against the tribe; the tribe against the world, and all of us against the infidel." — Leon Uris, "The Haj"
It is important to understand that in some countries, with well under 100% Muslim populations, such as France, the minority Muslim populations live in ghettos, within which they are 100% Muslim, and within which they live by Sharia Law. The national police do not even enter these ghettos. There are no national courts nor schools nor non-Muslim religious facilities. In such situations, Muslims do not integrate into the community at large. The children attend madrasses. They learn only the Koran. To even associate with an infidel is a crime punishable with death. Therefore, in some areas of certain nations, Muslim Imams and extremists exercise more power than the national average would indicate.
Learn more about Islamic doctrine: What Makes Islam So Successful?
Email this • Email the author • • Share on Facebook • Stumble It!
Print
Posted by Citizen Warrior at 4:23 PM 11 comments
Labels: Muslim immigration