Political Correctness*

Amos 2:4-5 (4) Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Judah, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they have despised the law of the LORD, and have not kept his commandments, and their lies caused them to err, after the which their fathers have walked:
(5) But I will send a fire upon Judah, and it shall devour the palaces of Jerusalem.
Political correctness is demonstrating progressive ideals of, relating to, or supporting real or alleged injustices and discrimination, supports broad social, political, and educational change, especially to redress conceived historical injustices in matters such as race, class, gender, sexual orientation, and yes, the Christian religion. The political correctness group may be apprehensive of the consequences of open discussion, or of making the facts known. It generally has a subversive motivation: it wants something of value (money, jobs, special privileges, power) to which it has a weak claim. Often such claim is stimulated by loss of reverence for God and His Word. So it attempts to enforce its claim by ruling any disagreement as outside the bounds of acceptable or formal discussion. This is unnecessary when the claim is self-evidently strong and right, but may be the only means of getting the claim accepted when it is weak and unrighteous. There are many disturbing statements concerning flaws in political correctness; for your consideration, here are a few;
{*From; (The Obama File)*
[In science, political correctness punishes anyone who criticizes the theory of evolution, the theory of relativity, or liberal dogma about global warming.]
[Cultural Marxism is the radical political philosophy that is transforming America. The primary tool used to leverage this philosophy is Political Correctness. The incubator of this philosophy and its associated tools was the Communist

think-tank, the Frankfurt School.]
[Politically correct restrictions on what we can say and how we say it have been imposed by leftists to restrict debate and silence opposition.]
[Instead of calling out the real threat, Islamic radicalism, American policy is turning to the politically correct and untrue assumption that everyone is a suspected terrorist. Of course, it is not unusual to find enactments of political correctness accompanied by untruths.]
[Americans know that the problem isn't hijacking, the government knows, and the government knows that Americans know. The problem of terrorist threats consists of radical Muslim men.]
[But take comfort, America. Children under the age of 12 are not subject to the search. Radical Muslim men can now bring their children with them, arm them with explosives, and blow us up anyway.]}
I am reminded of the truthfulness that is in; 1Peter 5:8; Be sober, be vigilant; because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devour.
Political correctness is a sure tool to advocate evil for good, darkness for light and bitter for sweet. Since history repeats itself, we should not be surprised to read in the Bible of societal circumstances that bear a remarkable resemblance to our own cultural condition. Like America, Israel was steeped in moral corruption. Social evils pervaded society. The people had become pagan in their daily conduct and in their worship. They were enhancing their standing and their financial status at the expense of others. Judgment was inevitable. Though he was a lowly sheepherder from the little village of Tekoa, the prophet Amos, who lived and labored in the 8th century B.C., traveled to the seat of government of the Northern Kingdom and issued a stern call to repent. He met with stiff resistance and was warned to go back home and never preach in Bethel again (7:12-13). He was even accused of

conspiracy to overthrow the government (7:10).
Yet, his message was right on target, and needs to be heard in America today. Specifically, Amos declared that the nation was suffering the effects of severe famine: "Not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD" (8:11). The vast majority of America's ills have been brought on by its neglect of the spiritual truths revealed in the Bible. Scanning the social landscape of America, one is struck by the number of cultural factors that characterize the present condition of society. America is shifting away from instruction in the Christian religion and respect for the authority of the true Word of God. The public school system and the scientific community are thoroughly entrenched in an anti-religion, evolutionist posture. Statistics show that fewer Americans attend church, read their Bibles, or retain commitment to the precepts of Jesus Christ. Even among those who maintain an affiliation with the aspects of religion, they have become "seeker sensitive" in their thrust by providing centers of entertainment and "feel good" speeches barely resembling Bible-based, soul-strengthening sermons. Yes Israel did and Americans are rejecting religious instruction and authority.
Foolish, ungodly decisions have been perpetrated on the public—from the removal of Ten Commandment monuments from public places and the banning of prayers in city council and school board meetings, to the redefinition of marriage and accommodation of easy abortion. Some Supreme Court justices reinterpretation of the Constitution that results in the expulsion of God from the public square constitutes an illicit tampering with the foundations of the nation. Yes, Americans are recklessly destroying the political constitution that holds us together. In view of these most unfortunate circumstances, consider the words from a speech delivered on February 23, 1852 by second generation American, Daniel Webster, who offered the following chilling prophecy:

If we and our posterity reject religious instruction and authority, violate the rules of eternal justice, trifle with the injunctions of morality, and recklessly destroy the political constitution which holds us together, no man can tell how sudden a catastrophe may overwhelm us that shall bury all our glory in profound obscurity.

Many Americans have been so thoroughly fooled by the self-contradictory notion that "intolerance" is anathema, they thought that granting homosexuals the right to practice their abnormal sexual behavior would be the end of it—with no encroachment on their own rights and lifestyle. Think again! The sweeping changes that are blanketing the nation are numerous and pervasive. Christians are considered to have outdated and bigoted notions about families, and are compelled to end discrimination against same-sex couples. But it's out of love for the warning of homosexuality, and is the glaring proof of the sexual anarchy that prevails in American civilization. What would the Apostle Paul do? Let's turn to;

Romans 1:26-28 (26) For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature:

(27) And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet.

(28) And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient;

To live in dignity, Equal rights, Outdated and bigoted notions about families, Created equal, Discrimination and Social justice are only a few epithets that are completely misguided, irrelevant, and inaccurate assessments of the same-sex situation. The same logic could apply to all behavior deemed criminal and immoral. Such is the absurdity and

self-contradiction inherent in the arguments made by those who wish to justify same-sex marriage. Rejecting same-sex marriage is not an attack on families. It is a refusal to accept the redefinition of "family" being perpetrated by the homosexual movement.
December 2, 2013 Hawaii became the 15th U.S. state to legalize nuptials for gay and lesbian couples, rolling back a 1994 statute defining marriage as a union between a man and a woman.
Political correctness also comes with an admixture of moral indignation. It removes the issue from the ordinary give-and-take of rational discussion or the political process by injecting intense emotion. A current example is the legal privileges of marriage for homosexual couples. Its advocates express their case in terms of "discrimination," a powerful term because it evokes the past history of racial discrimination in America. Use of this term is an attempt, often successful, to make discussion of the genuine moral issues "politically incorrect." Few people want to be accused of supporting "discrimination" even though there is a righteous case to be made on grounds of public health as well as morality, turn with me to;
1Corinthians 6:9-10 (9) Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind,
(10) Nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God.
Abusers of themselves with mankind; are they who champion or submit to same-sex unions, sodomites, working that which is against nature in unrighteousness.
Can God be politically incorrect? Not at all! Without righteousness there will be no entrance into the world of bliss and happiness hereafter. It is not agreeable to the holy nature of God to admit any into heaven without righteousness:

hence a judgment seat is erected, before which, all must stand; and those that will be found without righteousness, will be for ever excluded from the kingdom of heaven. The Corinthians are warned against many great evils, of which they had formerly been guilty. They are reminded what a change the gospel and grace of God had made in them. The blood of Christ, and the washing of regeneration, can take away all guilt. Our justification is owing to the suffering and merit of Christ; our sanctification to the working of the Holy Spirit; but both go together. All who are made righteous in the sight of God, are made holy by the grace of God. As such, God's people must be willing to stand up for righteousness sake and receive whatever condemnation may be heaped upon them, turn with me to;

1Peter 3:14-17 (14) But and if ye suffer for righteousness' sake, happy are ye: and be not afraid of their terror, neither be troubled;

(15) But sanctify the Lord God in your hearts: and be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason of the hope that is in you with meekness and fear:

(16) Having a good conscience; that, whereas they speak evil of you, as of evildoers, they may be ashamed that falsely accuse your good conversation in Christ.

(17) For it is better, if the will of God be so, that ye suffer for well doing, than for evil doing.

Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter! (Isaiah 5:20). *The sin which is denounced here is that of "perverting and confounding" the distinctions of morality and religion. They prefer erroneous and fake doctrines to the true; they prefer an evil to an upright course of conduct. That put darkness for light - "Darkness," in the Scriptures, is the emblem of ignorance, error, false doctrine, crime etc. Light denotes truth, knowledge, piety. This clause, therefore, expresses in a*

figurative, but more emphatic manner, what was said in the previous member of the verse; Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil, *that is, call evil men good, and good men evil. What would James do? Turn with me to;*
James 5:19-20 (19) Brethren, if any of you do err from the truth, and one convert him;
(20) Let him know, that he which converteth the sinner from the error of his way shall save a soul from death, and shall hide a multitude of sins.
The enmity existing rendered reconciliation necessary. Christ died to save us, not in our sins, but from our sins; and we were yet sinners when he died for us. Therein is the love of God toward us, and not only to us, but to the "politically correct" also, who cry "discrimination" toward Christians teaching the evils of homosexually, abortion on demand, and a host of other immoralities, they should do as Levi recorded in;
Luke 5:27-32 (27) And after these things he went forth, and saw a publican, named Levi, sitting at the receipt of custom: and he said unto him, Follow me.
(28) And he left all, rose up, and followed him.
(29) And Levi made him a great feast in his own house: and there was a great company of publicans and of others that sat down with them.
(30) But their scribes and Pharisees murmured against his disciples, saying, Why do ye eat and drink with publicans and sinners?
(31) And Jesus answering said unto them, They that are whole need not a physician; but they that are sick.
(32) I came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance.
True Christians do not seek to commend themselves, or to brag of what he does, even when it is done for the Son of God. He seeks delight in the consciousness of doing well, rather than its being known; and it leaves its good deeds to be spoken of, if spoken of at all, by others. This feast, by Matthew, was

made expressly for our Lord, and was attended by many publicans, possibly people of wicked character; and it is not improbable that Matthew got them together for the purpose of bringing them into contact with our Lord to do them good. Our Saviour did not refuse to go, and to go at the risk of being accused of being a gluttonous man and a winebibber, a friend of publicans and sinners. It was politically incorrect. But It was a wonder of Christ's grace, that He would call a publican to be His disciple and follower. It was a wonder of His grace, that the call was made so effectual. It was a wonder of His grace, that He came to call sinners to repentance, and to assure them of pardon. It was a wonder of His grace, that He so patiently bore the contradiction of sinners against Himself and His disciples. It was a wonder of His grace, that He fixed the services of His disciples according to their strength and standing. Turn to;

Romans 12:3-8 (3) For I say, through the grace given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith.
(4) For as we have many members in one body, and all members have not the same office:
(5) So we, being many, are one body in Christ, and every one members one of another.
(6) Having then gifts differing according to the grace that is given to us, whether prophecy, let us prophesy according to the proportion of faith;
(7) Or ministry, let us wait on our ministering: or he that teacheth, on teaching;
(8) Or he that exhorteth, on exhortation: he that giveth, let him do it with simplicity; he that ruleth, with diligence; he that sheweth mercy, with cheerfulness.

2Timothy 2:24-26 (24) And the servant of the Lord must not strive; but be gentle unto all men, apt to teach, patient,
(25) In meekness instructing those that oppose themselves; if

God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth;
(26) And that they may recover themselves out of the snare of the devil, who are taken captive by him at his will.
The servant of Christ should be a man of peace. He should not indulge in the feelings which commonly give rise to contention, and which commonly characterize it. He should not struggle for mere victory, even when endeavoring to maintain truth; but should do this in all cases, with a kind spirit, and a mild temper; with entire candor; with nothing designed to provoke and irritate an adversary; so that whatever may be the result of the discussion, "the bond of peace" may if possible be preserved. The servant of the Lord must not strive, but be gentle unto all men; not only to troubled minds, and wounded consciences, by supplying them with the precious promises and truths of the Gospel; and to backsliders, by restoring them in a spirit of meekness. To those who contradict the truth and themselves, and the reproaches and persecutions of profane men in the world; but also the contradictions and oppositions of the adversaries of truth, address them by mild and kind instructions, please turn your Bibles to;
2Timothy 4:2-4 (2) Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and doctrine.
(3) For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;
(4) And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables.
They will add one teacher to another, running and gadding about after any, to find out those who insist not on the necessity of bearing the cross, of being crucified to the world, and of having the mind that was in Jesus. In this disposition they set up and widen and strew with flowers all way down to

eternal ruin, taking care to soothe the passions and flatter the vices of a trifling, superficial people. Some will turn away from the truth, they will grow weary of the plain gospel of Christ, they will be greedy of fables, and take pleasure in them. People do so when they will not endure that preaching which is searching, plain, and to the purpose. The souls that do accept the plain gospel must be ever watchful, must venture and bear all the painful effects of their faithfulness, and take all opportunities of making known the pure gospel, but at the expense of politically incorrectness.
Some will not endure sound doctrine; the Gospel which contains the wholesome words of Christ, and is sound itself, having no corruption in it. But after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers; not being content with the ministry of one man only, the man Jesus Christ. They shall turn away their ears from the truth that strips them of their vices, destruction of their passion, thwarts their darling besetting sins, and absolutely requires a conformity to a crucified Christ. He, therefore, who neglects the life of his soul and refuses to live to God, squanders all that he has.
Believers rejoice, Jesus established the ordinance of baptism consisting of faith that cometh by belief in the testimony of God, by faith repenting of their sins, by faith confessing Jesus Christ is the Son of God, and by faith being baptized for remission of sin. In this ordinance is the full and explicit testimony of pardon. This is the gospel. Come repenting, confess Jesus Christ and submit to baptism by faith in Christ. Also prayer requests for other reasons, in either case come while we persuade with song.

This message approved by
Jack Warford
a minister for Christ

The Frankfurt School and Political Correctness
The purpose of Political Correctness is to end the discussion
In Depth to help fight the media

What Is Political Correctness

Political Correctness (PC) is a principal tool of the political left. Its purpose is to intimidate and to end the debate.

It is not politically correct to say, "the women's movement has harmed the American family." That statement is sexist. There can be no debate on whether the statement is true or false.

It is not politically correct to say, "African-Americans commit crimes far beyond their relation to the overall population." That statement is racist. There can be no debate on whether the statement is true or false.

It is not politically correct to say, "the AIDS epidemic was a result of the personal behavior of homosexuals." That statement is homophobic. There can be no debate on whether the statement is true or false.

These "good" cultural groups within our society can not be examined openly or faulted -- only the authoritarian, white male and non-feminist, white female are subject to criticism.

There is the African-American Studies Department that has banned Othello, because it is racist and the radical feminist professor who lectured a Modern Language Association meeting on the witches as the true heroines of Macbeth.

These atrocities occur because the perpetrators are able to plausibly demonstrate that Shakespeare's intent is irrelevant. What is important, is the racist or phallocentric subtext of which Shakespeare was unconscious when he wrote. Any criticism of these views is immediately labeled as racist or sexist -- debate over.

When the local Women's Studies or Third World Studies Department organizes students to abandon the classics in favor of modern Black and feminist authors, it is not that these modern writers are better, but they are somehow more truthful because their alienated prose reflects the modern social problems of which the older authors (white men) were ignorant.

Students are being taught that language itself is merely a conglomeration of false names foisted upon society by its oppressors, and are warned against logocentrism, the bourgeois over-reliance on words.
PC's Roots

Cultural Marxism is the radical political philosophy that is transforming America. The primary tool used to leverage this philosophy is Political Correctness. The incubator of this philosophy and its associated tools was the Communist think-tank,

the Frankfurt School.

The Frankfurt School was formed in Germany as the Institute of Social Research (Institut für Sozialforschung) at Frankfurt University in 1923 by a group of German intellectuals who shared a common belief in Karl Marx's theory of Historical Materialism.

Influenced by the failure of working-class revolutions in Western Europe after World War I and by the rise of Nazism, these men set out to select the parts of Marx's thought that might apply to social conditions that Marx had never experienced. They then drew on other schools of thought, including Sigmund Freud, to fill in what they perceived to be Marx's omissions, resulting in the concept of the "Cultural Revolution," first postulated by the Italian Marxist, Antonio Gramsci.

Gramsci observed that the traditional concept of revolution and revolutionary strategy were dead. Marxists needed to wage a cultural revolution -- one directed toward the cultural establishment, including the morality of the existing society, with its goal being the complete disintegration of the system.

A modern utopia could then be constructed by idealistic intellectuals, the elites, who would turn Western civilization upside down. This utopia would be a product of their imagination and one not open to criticism.a measure of what would work in a modern society. These ideas came to be known as Critical Theory.
Critical Theory
Their emphasis on the "critical" component of theory was a strategy to overcome the positivism and materialism of their new homeland, with an emphasis on negation and contradiction. In a word, criticize everything.

An example of this exercise is the vilification of the Founding Fathers as "sexist, slave-owning, 18th century white men in wigs and breeches."

Critical theory rejected the ideal of Western Civilization in the age of modern science. Validating theory by empirical evidence was condemned as vastly overrated. Only the elite were able to fashion truths from the observation of the evidence. There would be no need to test hypotheses against observation or experience. The superior mind of the elite would decide which truths were true -- and which were not.

One of the basic tenets of Critical Theory was the need to break down the contemporary family. The Institute scholars preached that even a partial breakdown of parental authority in the family might tend to increase the readiness of a coming generation to accept social change. The "generation gap" of the 1960's and the "gender gap" of the 1990's are two of the elite's successes in transforming American culture into their Marxist utopia.
The Quiet Revolution

The quiet revolution is one that could be diffused throughout a culture, over a period of time, to destroy it from within. Since the civilized world had been thoroughly

saturated with Christianity for 2,000 years, a culture based on this religion could only be captured from within. Applying psychology to break these traditions, beliefs, morals, and will of a people, could be accomplished quietly and without the possibility of resistance.

Gramsci insisted that alliances with other leftist groups would be essential to victory. These would include radical feminist groups, extremist environmental organizations, so-called civil rights movements, homosexual activists, anti-police associations, internationalist-minded groups, liberal church denominations, and others. Working together, these groups could create a united front working for the destructive transformation of the Judeo-Christian culture of the West.

By winning "cultural hegemony," Gramsci pointed out that they could control the deepest wellsprings of human thought through the medium of mass psychology. Indeed, men could be made to "love their servitude." In terms of the gospel of the Frankfurt School, resistance to cultural Marxism could be completely negated by placing the resister in a psychic iron cage. The tools of mass psychology could be applied to produce this result.

The essential nature of Gramsci's revolutionary strategy is reflected in a 1990's book, "The Greening of America." In this book, Charles Reich writes, "There is a revolution coming. It will not be like revolutions of the past. It will originate with the individual and the culture, and it will change the political structure as its final act. It will not require violence to succeed, and it cannot be successfully resisted by violence. This is the revolution of the New Generation." Of course this New Generation would be Reich's elite Boomer generation and the mantra for these New Age "foot soldiers" of the Frankfurt School prophets, would be "have the courage to change."

Herbert Marcuse, who was greatly influenced by the writings of Gramsci, was one of the most prominent Frankfurt School promoters of social revolution among college and university students in the 1960s. It was Marcuse that originated the "make love, not war" slogan.

In 1919, Marxist theorist Georg Lukacs said, "Who will save us from Western Civilization?" Just a few years ago, on college campuses, students chanted "Hey hey, ho ho, Western Civ has got to go." Where do you think they got that?

Most Americans do not yet realize that they are being led by social revolutionaries who think in terms of the destruction of the existing social order in order to create a new social order in the world. These revolutionaries are the New Age elite Boomers, the New Totalitarians. They now control every public institution in the United States of America. Their quiet revolution, which began with the counter-culture revolution of their youth, is nearly complete. It is based on the intellectual foundation of the cultural Marxists of the Frankfurt School. Its completion depends on keeping the American male and non-feminist female in their psychic iron cage.

Cultural Marxism

Cultural Marxism is a marriage of Marx and Freud and was introduced into

mainstream American life over a period of thirty years.

In classical Marxism, workers and peasants, are good, and the bourgeoisie and capital owners are evil. In Cultural Marxism, feminist women, blacks, Hispanics, homosexuals and other minorities are good.

These "victim groups" have replaced the peasant and are automatically "good," regardless of what any of them do. Similarly, white males and non-feminist females are determined automatically to be "evil," thereby becoming the equivalent of the bourgeoisie in economic Marxism.

The confluence of radical feminism and cultural Marxism within the span of a single generation has imposed this yoke on the American male and non-feminist female. It remains to be seen whether or not they will continue their voluntary submission to a future of slavery in a new American matriarchy, the precursor to a state of complete anarchy.

The Authoritarian Personality

Central to the Frankfurt School's studies was the concept of the Authoritarian Personality. This concept describes a number of qualities, which according to the theories of Theodor Adorno and his colleagues, predict one's potential for fascist and antidemocratic leanings and behaviors.

The Frankfurt School theorized that the "authoritarian personality" is a product of the patriarchal family. This idea is in turn directly connected to Frederich Engels' "The Origins of the Family, Private Property and the State," that promotes matriarchy. It was Karl Marx who wrote about the radical notion of a "community of women" in the Communist Manifesto and who wrote disparagingly about the idea that the family was the basic unit of society in "The German Ideology" of 1845.

The concept of the "Authoritarian Personality" prepared the way for the subsequent warfare against the masculine gender promoted by Herbert Marcuse and his band of social revolutionaries under the guise of "women's liberation" and the New Left movement in the 1960s.

Matriarchal Theory

The transformation of American culture envisioned by the Cultural Marxists is based on matriarchal theory. That is, they propose transforming American culture into a female-dominated one. This is a direct throwback to Wilhelm Reich, a Frankfurt School member who considered matriarchal theory in psychoanalytic terms. In 1933, he wrote in "The Mass Psychology of Fascism" that matriarchy was the only genuine family type of natural society.

Eric Fromm, another charter member of the Institute, was also one of the most active advocates of matriarchal theory. Fromm was especially taken with the idea that all love and altruistic feelings were ultimately derived from the maternal love necessitated by the extended period of human pregnancy and postnatal care. Love was thus not dependent on sexuality, as Freud had supposed. In fact, Fromm observed, sex was more often tied to hatred and destruction.

Masculinity and femininity were not reflections of essential sexual differences, as the romantics had thought. They were derived instead from differences in life functions, which were in part socially determined. This dogma was the precedent for today's radical feminist pronouncements.

Art of Facilitation

Abraham Maslow, a member of the Frankfurt school, was the author of "The Art of Facilitation," a manual used during sensitivity' training. Teachers were indoctrinated not to teach but to facilitate. This manual describes the techniques developed by Kurt Lewin and others to change a person's world view via participation in small-group encounter sessions.

Teachers were to become amateur group therapists. The classroom became the center of self-examination, therapeutic circles where children, and later on, military personnel, talked about their own subjective feelings. This technique was designed to convince children they were the sole authority in their own lives.

Maslow, who was the founder of Third Force Humanist Psychology and a promoter of the psychotherapeutic classroom, wrote, "...the next step in personal evolution is a transcendence of both masculinity and femininity to general humanness."

Breakdown of the Culture

But how can we claim that the causes of the breakdown in our schools, our universities, indeed, the very fiber of our culture were a product of a tiny group of intellectuals who immigrated from Germany in 1933? Given all of the special-interest groups involved in these activities, how can we trace these causes to the Frankfurt school? Look at some of the evidence.

As an example, postmodern reconstruction of the history of Western Civilization (now prevalent in our universities) has its roots in the Critical Theory of the Frankfurt School. This rewriting of history by the postmodern scholars in America has only recently come under attack. Keith Windschuttle, in his book, "Killing of History," has severely criticized the rush to relativism by historiographers. What is truly astonishing, however, is that relativism has largely supplanted the pursuit of truth as a goal in historical study.

How did this situation come about in America's universities?

Gertrude Himmelfarb has observed that it slipped past those traditional academics almost unobserved until it was too late. It occurred so quietly that when they looked up, postmodernism was upon them with a vengeance. They were surrounded by a tidal wave of faddish multicultural subjects such as radical feminism, deconstructed relativism as history and other courses which undermine the perpetuation of Western Civilization. Indeed, this tidal wave slipped by just as Antonio Gramsci and the Frankfurt School had envisioned -- a quiet revolution. A revolution that could not be resisted by force.

It is important to realize that this movement, Cultural Marxism, exists, to understand where it came from, and what its objectives were and are -- the complete destruction

of Western Civilization in America. These cultural Marxists aim to destroy, slowly but surely, from the bottom up, the entire fabric of American Civilization.

Toleration had never been an end in itself for the Frankfurt School, and yet the non-authoritarian (utopian) personality, insofar as it was defined, was posited as a person with a non-dogmatic tolerance for diversity. This thought is dominant in today's power elite of the Boomer generation, the New Totalitarians.

If we allow this subversion of American values and interests to continue, we will (in future generations) lose all that our ancestors suffered and died for. We are forewarned. A reading of history -- it is all in mainstream historical accounts -- tells us that we are about to lose the most precious thing we have -- our individual freedoms.

Now that these elite Boomers are in positions of power in the United States, they are completing their work of destroying every institution that has been built up over 200 years of American history.
A History of "Political Correctness"

New Zeal blog has a history of "political correctness" -- or more accurately Cultural Marxism. Trevor Loudon comments, "Not complete and a little unsynchronized, but a must watch."

How Political Correctness Gave America A Con-Man President
Kelly O'Connell says "The Human Stain," Philip Roth's seminal novel regarding Political Correctness (PC), racism, and the insipid hypocrisy of leftist morality, is a fitting backdrop to Barack Obama's improbable presidency. In the story, Professor Coleman Silk, a half-Black Jewish professor, posing as a White man, is taken down by the mechanical application of PC rules to an accidental insult he gave to two African-Americans.

Now, we can introduce the 800-pound donkey in the room. Let's ask a simple question: Could Obama have been elected without the doctrine known as Political Correctness?

Or is simply to ask the question an unforgivable act of racism? In fact, the vast majority of Americans realize no person as inexperienced, untested, wildly liberal, or of such questionable past could ever have hoped to be elected without some kind of uncanny boost. But what is the result of this presidency based on nonsensical ideological doctrine? And what can be done to fight off the rise of the unscrupulous, unethical, incompetent and unqualified spore of the PC movement?

This is the question addressed in this essay . . .
Political Correctness Exposed

www.theobamafile.com

This message ‘Political’ presented to; BaronOk-012014/