Hate*

John 15:18-23 (18) If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before it hated you.
(19) If ye were of the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you.
(20) Remember the word that I said unto you, The servant is not greater than his lord. If they have persecuted me, they will also persecute you; if they have kept my saying, they will keep yours also.
(21) But all these things will they do unto you for my name's sake, because they know not him that sent me.
(22) If I had not come and spoken unto them, they had not had sin: but now they have no cloke for their sin.
(23) He that hateth me hateth my Father also.
God chose to employ human language—words—to communicate His will to people. Even though this medium of conveyance is quite adequate to achieve such an objective, misunderstanding can and does occur. The devil is responsible. He is walking about seeking out the unsuspecting whom he may devour. And he is quite proficient in slightness of speech in an attempt to alter the meaning of God's word. Through the counsel of the devil's ministers, well meaning people are lead unto a divergence of doctrines.
In logical discussions, a straw man is a weak, illogical position that can be refuted. However if a straw man position is coupled with a more powerful position, both are said to fall or prevail together, yet the stronger position is never connected to the straw man. For example; Salute one another with an holy kiss. The churches of Christ salute you (Romans 16:16).
The straw man position put forth by denominations is that it means all churches because of the plurality of the word. However when Paul wrote to the Romans, there was but one Church. Paul wrote the plural, "Churches", more than once,

there is a reason, turn with me to;
Galatians 1:21-22 (21) Afterwards I came into the regions of Syria and Cilicia;
(22) And was unknown by face unto the churches of Judaea which were in Christ:.
Suppose a person were to address one particular Church of Christ in one particular city, he may address the congregation as the Church of Christ in Oklahoma City for example. However all the congregations in the state of Oklahoma may be addressed as the Churches of Christ in Oklahoma. In Paul's day the plural form, "Churches", did not mean different churches of varied faiths, but meant many congregations of the one Church then in existence. However there likely are times when most denominations and the Churches of Christ may have mutual expressed positions. Such as immoral behavior of same sex couples. The claim of the unlearned that **{**Marriage is honourable in all and the bed undefiled" *includes gays***}** *is a straw man position. Objection of that straw man position could join most denominations and the Churches of Christ together in a common cause. Yet, some denominations go so far as to accept gay priests. What are Christians to do?*
Turn with me to;
2Timothy 4:1-4 (1) I charge thee therefore before God, and the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall judge the quick and the dead at his appearing and his kingdom;
(2) Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and doctrine.
(3) For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;
(4) And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables.
Fables abound concerning marriage as God established. God did not create homosexuals, that is a learned behavior. He

made them male and female to become one flesh, something that two men together or two women together cannot do. Now concerning salvation, Jesus said in Mark 16:16; "He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned." *Listen to this fable and I* ***Quote*****;**
{BAPTISM: Churches of Christ teach that baptism by immersion for believers is essential for the remission of sins and is necessary for salvation. They interpret passages such as Mark 16:16 and Acts 2:38 to substantiate this teaching. Baptism has a threefold purpose
(1) it is necessary for salvation;
(2) it places one in Christ; and
(3) it places one in the church.
RESPONSE: While baptism is important it is not necessary for salvation. The biblical passages quoted by the Churches of Christ to argue for the necessity of water baptism do not prove their point. Mark 16:16 "Whoever believes and is baptized will be saved, but whoever does not believe will be condemned." (NIV). Contrary to the claims of the Churches of Christ this passage does not prove the necessity of water baptism. There are four possible relationships between belief and baptism. One could both believe and be baptized. We are told in the first part of verse 16 that this person will be saved. Second, one could believe and not be baptized. This possibility is not discussed in the passage so we cannot draw any conclusions about whether such an individual will be saved or lost. A third possibility is that one could not believe but be baptized. Fourth, one could both not believe and not be baptized. According to verse 16, one who does not believe is condemned whether he is baptized or not.
If the Churches of Christ really want to speak only when the Bible speaks and be silent when the Bible is silent they will not use Mark 16:16 to argue for the necessity of water baptism for salvation. Mark 16:16 is silent concerning whether the person who believes but is not baptized is saved or lost. The passage

does not prove the necessity of water baptism for salvation. *North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist*.}
Such a slight variance from the word, but perhaps just enough to cause many to miss the salvation promise. Notice, in the quote it states; "One could both believe and be baptized. We are told in the first part of verse 16 that this person will be saved." *They got that part right, now their argument;* "Second, one could believe and not be baptized. This possibility is not discussed in the passage so we cannot draw any conclusions about whether such an individual will be saved or lost." *I disagree and I will show you why, (1);* Thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble (James 2:19). *(2)* The like figure whereunto even baptism doth also now save us (not the putting away of the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience toward God,) by the resurrection of Jesus Christ: (1Petet 3:21), *eliminating all other possibilities. One cannot minimize baptism without "rejecting the counsel of God for his life." To quote Mark 16:16 verbatim is not at fault, but are the words of Jesus and they are spirit and they are life, but hazardous to those who alter and disobey the word.*
"Baptism is not necessary" could have been argued without casting a fault accusation, but then that argument alone would have been nothing more than a straw man argument. But to piggyback a straw man position onto a fault accusation gives the allusion of a more persuasive argument. Remember Jesus said; If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before it hated you (John 15:18). *Al-tho fault accusations are mild now, compared to persecutions of the past, what I disagree most about them is this, the salvation message is disrupted. Yes we respond to Biblical questions, but have been instructed,* If it be possible, as much as lieth in you, live peaceably with all men (Romans 12:18). *I have heard it said by some members that the name of another religious organization should not even be mentioned in a sermon because someone may be*

offended and never come back.
I can relate to that, but the accusation under consideration was placed on the world wide web. Surely Christians have the right to rebuff such accusations. I'm not talking about a scathing rebuttal, just a simple reply by simply applying scripture honestly without alteration. Our purpose should be directing people into the strait gate. Paul did not give up easily on those he came into contact with. He would use any means allowed within the gospel urging them to obey the gospel, turn with me to;
Romans 10:1-2 (1) Brethren, my heart's desire and prayer to God for Israel is, that they might be saved.
(2) For I bear them record that they have a zeal of God, but not according to knowledge.
Yes, Paul had Israel in mind when he said these words, but would not the same words be applicable for others who have a zeal of God but not according to knowledge, listen to this; The Lord is not slack concerning his promise, as some men count slackness; but is longsuffering to us-ward, not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance (2Peter 3:9). *Therefore the proponents of "baptism is important but not necessary for salvation" are persuaded to fear for their place and are invited to pursue God with all their hearts, minds, and souls that they might come unto the security of the grace of God and believe;* The like figure whereunto even **baptism doth also now save us** (not the putting away of the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience toward God,) by the resurrection of Jesus Christ: (1Peter 3:21). *What could be more soothing to the soul than the answer of a good conscience toward God? And then there is this statement*--For as many of you as have been baptized into Christ have put on Christ (Galatians 3:27). *If the doctrine "*baptism is important, but not necessary for salvation*" were true, then would not "Christ be important, but not necessary for salvation" seeing it is baptism that places one into Christ*

where salvation abides? Scripture states it is by the operation of baptism that one puts on Christ, how could one put Him on any other way? Brethren, there are some that believe what the Bible says is true, but do not believe what it says. And we in an attempt to persuade others to follow God's will, may receive reprimand but do not be dissuaded. The Lord has been in this fight much longer than we. He does offer consolation to those in the fight for righteousness who are suffering for well doing. Turn to;

2Peter 2:1-3 (1) But there were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction.

(2) And many shall follow their pernicious ways; by reason of whom the way of truth shall be evil spoken of.

(3) And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.

There is a saying that some people jokingly use to describe teaching methods in the Church. "Preaching to the choir." First let us clear the air. The Church of Christ does not have a choir in the sense that some religious organizations do, that is, a select few for the purpose of entertaining the remainder. The entire congregation is the choir in the Churches of Christ. However the saying does not go unnoticed. Preaching to the choir simply means teaching things that are familiar and readily accepted within a particular group. In this sense, I am preaching to the choir things that are true, without addition, subtraction, or alteration. So here is the deal, our teaching must be scriptural whether preaching to the choir or to the unlearned. And we must remember that at one time we all were unlearned. Notice what Paul had to say in regard to teaching; Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and doctrine

(2Timothy 4:2). *There is a reason we "preach to the choir" as the saying goes, because you are the choir, all of you. Now, would preaching what is untrue for convenience sake be acceptable? How would the congregation be able to answer the questions of the unlearned if the Church is in doctrinal disarray. But if we preach to the choir, that is, preach the word without alteration, then we would all speak the same thing. It is not without difficulty we go about spreading the word because of unbelief in the world. The Jews believed most firmly that all that Moses had said was true, but yet they did not believe what Moses said; for he spoke of Christ whom they continued to reject. In like manner most men in a Christian land believe what the Bible says is true, but they do not believe the things which the Bible says. The Bible says,* He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved. *How few believe it! The Bible says,* Except a man be born of water and the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God. *How few believe it! The Bible says,* baptism doth also now save us. *How few believe it! Jesus taught in parables, something that is familiar, akin to preaching to the choir to bring out a scriptural point, turn with me to;*

Luke 8:11-15 (11) Now the parable is this: The seed is the word of God.

(12) Those by the way side are they that hear; then cometh the devil, and taketh away the word out of their hearts, lest they should believe and be saved.

(13) They on the rock are they, which, when they hear, receive the word with joy; and these have no root, which for a while believe, and in time of temptation **fall away**.

(14) And that which fell among thorns are they, which, when they have heard, go forth, and are choked with cares and riches and pleasures of this life, and bring no fruit to perfection.

(15) But that on the good ground are they, which in an honest and good heart, having heard the word, keep it, and bring forth

fruit with patience.
The seed parable Jesus is teaching certainly plays havoc with the "once saved always saved" concept doesn't it. Actually, there are scriptures that teach the opposite. Let's turn to;
Hebrews 6:4-6 (4) For it is impossible for those who were once enlightened, and have tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers of the Holy Ghost,
(5) And have tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come,
(6) **If they shall fall away**, to renew them again unto repentance; seeing they crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put him to an open shame.
"Preaching to the choir" it is a preventive measure toward righteousness sake. Listen to this; I will therefore put you in remembrance, though ye once knew this, how that the Lord, having saved the people out of the land of Egypt, afterward destroyed them that believed not (Jude 1:5). *Isn't preaching to the choir the same as to* "put you in remembrance," *though ye once knew. I speak of baptism quite often? One reason I do is that baptism is hated. Oh, I do not mean that people are regarding baptism with contempt, but with disdain and without righteous endeavor. The popular doctrine, "baptism is important but is not necessary for salvation" is such an example. But this I question; why should one respond to something that is unnecessary? Through the counsel of the devil's ministers, well meaning people are lead unto a divergency of doctrines. In contrast;* Then said Jesus unto them again, Verily, verily, I say unto you, I am the door of the sheep. *(John 10.7). Are there other ways? Listen to this;* Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that entereth not by the door into the sheepfold, but climbeth up some other way, the same is a thief and a robber (John 10:1). *Now turn to;*
Hebrews 1:1-2 (1) God, who at sundry times and in divers manners spake in time past unto the fathers by the prophets,
(2) Hath in these last days spoken unto us by his Son, whom he

hath appointed heir of all things, by whom also he made the worlds;
In the world there are three major religions, Judaism, Islam and Christian. But God hath spoken unto us in these last days by His Son, Jesus Christ. Not Moses, a prophet of an earlier dispensation. For Moses truly said unto the fathers, A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me; him shall ye hear in all things whatsoever he shall say unto you (Acts 3:22). *Therefore;* Christ is become of no effect unto you, whosoever of you are justified by the law; ye are fallen from grace (Galatians 5:4).
God has spoken to us in these last days by his Son, Not by Mohammad, a self proclaimed prophet. Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world (1John 4:1). *Unlike Moses, the name Mohammad does not appear in the Bible. But that does mean Mohammad is not there. Turn with me to;*
Revelation 9:7-11 (7) And the shapes of the locusts were like unto horses prepared unto battle; and on their heads were as it were crowns like gold, and their faces were as the faces of men.
(8) And they had hair as the hair of women, and their teeth were as the teeth of lions.
(9) And they had breastplates, as it were breastplates of iron; and the sound of their wings was as the sound of chariots of many horses running to battle.
(10) And they had tails like unto scorpions, and there were stings in their tails: and their power was to hurt men five months.
(11) And they had a king over them, which is the angel of the bottomless pit, whose name in the Hebrew tongue is Abaddon, but in the Greek tongue hath his name Apollyon.
{*From* Halley's Bible Handbook, 24th edition. Mohammedan armies were composed of fierce relentless horsemen. Famous

for their beards and long hair like women's hair. Yellow turbans on their heads that looked like gold, and they had iron coats of armor. The King of the host of locusts is Apollyon, which means, the destroying angel of the bottomless pit, a name sometimes given to the Devil. Arabia is known as the land of locusts and Arabia is where Islam began.**}** *I urge you, choose carefully. The "way of man" or "Jesus Christ the way."*
While on earth Jesus established the ordinance of baptism (Mark 16:16) and on the 1st Pentecost after His death the ordinance of baptism was bound on earth. Because of the peerless value of the death of the Lord, God is offering to everyone the blessings of salvation on a grace basis by faith in Christ. How does one acquire faith? Faith in Christ is the effect of belief in His gospel. What is the effect of faith? Repentance, confession and baptism for the remission of sins is the effect of faith; only those who first believe the testimony of God, by faith repent of their sins, confess Jesus Christ is the Son of God, and are baptized into Him for remission of sins, have the full and explicit testimony of pardon. This is the gospel. It's invitation is calling now, do not delay. And if one needs prayer for other reasons, in either case come while we persuade with song.

This message approved by
Jack Warford
a minister for Christ

MANDATING THE KORAN REVIEW & OUTLOOK

The ACLU finally finds a religion it can tolerate. Surprise--it's Islam.

When a state university requires students to immerse themselves in the study of a particular religion, it can expect trouble. That's exactly what the University of North Carolina got for its summer reading requirement that all incoming freshmen read portions of the Koran and commentary by a religious scholar. The school now faces a lawsuit from a group of students and alumni, charging violations of the First Amendment.

This university exercise in compulsory religious study is strange enough. But no less so than the acquiescent response of those civil liberties watchdogs usually ever alert to the danger that somebody, somewhere, might be sneaking a prayer into some school program or graduation ceremony. The American Civil Liberties Union, normally busy saving the nation's schools from the smallest whiff of religious influence, has leapt to university's defense. Finally, it seems, the ACLU has found a religion it can tolerate.

The University of North Carolina program requires students to read Koran portions and also listen to a CD offering recitals in Arabic, including the chant calling the faithful to prayer. Students are then asked to write a paper on their responses. The point, explains university Chancellor James Moeser, is to seek understanding and bring home to students the reasons Islam has a billion followers across the globe.

If that's the case, it's a highly selective understanding since "Approaching the Qur'an," by Haverford College Professor Michael Sells, omits those portions of the Koran that terrorists have used to justify their actions. Mr. Sells explains this away by drawing an analogy to courses in Western Civilization, where students are more likely to read Biblical passages from Exodus than the bloody accounts in Joshua. The next time a terrorist cites Joshua as his rationale for murdering thousands of innocent civilians, let us know.

Students who object to their assignment have been given the option of writing a paper explaining why they refuse it, and how it offends their religious values. Some option. We are speaking here of the most vulnerable and easily cowed members of a school population--the freshman class. Young people setting foot in a university for the first time aren't in much of a position to say no to their first assignment, whatever they may feel, and the university administrators doubtless know that. Members of the incoming class who do refuse it are still required to show up and explain themselves at group discussions led by faculty later this month--a teach-in, of sorts.

Still the watchdogs haven't uttered a sound. Apparently the guardians of the Establishment Clause decided that compulsory religious study is OK if it helps a university promote the politically correct view of Islam. As an ACLU lawyer explained on National Public Radio, requiring the readings from the Koran isn't a problem because its aim is educational, and won't be, as long as it is presented as belief and not fact. It's hard to imagine the ACLU exhibiting the same large-mindedness toward a state university that demanded all freshmen read the New Testament or the Torah and meditate on the teachings and liturgical music.

The same organization ready to wage war to the death against all forms of religious expression in the schools has apparently decided it's all right to exempt Islam and the Koran. Fine with us. Maybe we can look forward to an extension of this tolerance, whereby the ACLU may even someday desist from filing lawsuits over Nativity scenes and Christmas carols in the schools, or the posting of the Ten Commandments. We are, of course, not holding our breath.

Copyright © 2000 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

The Koran Directly Attacks Christianity

There is a move in the United States and the entire Western World to block anything from being said negatively against Islam. Exposing Islam and its doctrines that are contrary to Western culture is called Hate Speech. In both Europe and Canada , Christians have been heavily fined and threatened with jail for speaking negatively about Islam. Telling the truth accurately means nothing, as it is classified as Hate Speech. There are forces working in the United States to also promote that speaking against Islam is hate speech. Islam is being packaged and sold to the West as a peaceful religion.

Based on this concept of speaking out about Islam being hate speech, an examination of the Koran shows this book itself is hate speech, specifically, against Christianity. The Bible was written 500 years before Muhammad and therefore has nothing to say about Islam. In fact, the Bible only directly condemns pagan worship. It has nothing directly to say about Hinduism or the other ancient Eastern religions. By doctrine and teachings, the Bible disagrees with the eastern religions, but it does not condemn them.

The Koran directly attacks the major doctrines of Christianity. The Christian faith has several key beliefs. The teaching that is unique to the Christian faith is that there is one God who eternally manifests Himself in three persons: the Father, Son and Holy Spirit. This is known as the Godhead or the blessed Trinity. The second critical doctrine is that Jesus of Nazareth is the only begotten Son of God; therefore He is unique from everyone else. His Father was not human, but the holy God of Israel. The third key doctrine is that the Lord Jesus died on the cross to pay the penalty for man's sin and then rose from the dead. There are other important doctrines, but these three really comprise the very heart of the Christian faith.

With this foundation, let us examine the Koran to see what it states about the fundamental doctrines of Christianity. A chapter in the Koran is referred to as Sura, so when reviewing the Koran the word Sura with numbers after it gives the location of the verse. I use the Koran on line for research. This is found at:

http://quod.lib.umich.edu/k/koran/index.html

The Blessed Holy Trinity

The Koran clearly states that Christians lie when claiming God is in the form of a Trinity. These are strong words; however this is exactly what the Koran states. The Koran claims that the Lord Jesus is only an apostle and for Christians to desist from teaching the blessed Trinity! In this same Sura, the Koran denies the Lord Jesus is the Son of God.

In one Sura the Koran attacks the very heart of Christianity by denying the blessed Trinity and that the Lord Jesus is the only begotten Son of God! It calls Christians liars and demands that the preaching of the Trinity and Jesus being the Son of God stop. The Koran identifies Christians sometimes as "followers of the book," but when they proclaim Christian doctrine, the Koran then calls them liars. Muhammad writes that it is acceptable to believe the Bible, but not Christian doctrine!

The Sura to show this follows:

Sura 4.171 O followers of the Book! do not exceed the limits in your religion, and do not speak (lies) against Allah, but (speak) the truth; the Messiah, Isa son of Marium is only an apostle of Allah and His Word which He communicated to Marium and a spirit from Him; believe therefore in Allah and His apostles, and say not, Three. Desist, it is better for you; Allah is only one God; far be It from His glory that He should have a son, whatever is in the heavens and whatever is in the earth is His, and Allah is sufficient for a Protector.

The Lord Jesus is the Only Begotten Son of God

One the central doctrines of Islam as clearly presented in the Koran is that God does not have a son. An exhaustive search of the Koran shows that in at least 11 Suras, the Koran states that God does not have a Son! This is clearly aimed at Christianity because no other faith claims that God has a literal Son. The Koran even states in Sura 9:30 that those that believe Allah has a son should be destroyed by Allah! The Koran is very clear that it was written to attack this Christian doctrine.

The following are the Suras claiming that God does not have a Son:

Sura 4.171 Allah is only one God; far be It from His glory that He should have a son, whatever is in the heavens and whatever is in the earth is His, and Allah is sufficient for a Protector.
Sura 6.101 Wonderful Originator of the heavens and the earth! How could He have a son when He has no consort, and He (Himself) created everything, and He is the Knower of all things.
Sura 9.30 And the Jews say: Uzair is the son of Allah; and the Christians say: The Messiah is the son of Allah; these are the words of their mouths; they imitate the saying of those who disbelieved before; may Allah destroy them; how they are turned away!
Sura 10.68 They say: Allah has taken a son (to Himself)! Glory be to Him: He is the Self-sufficient: His is what is in the heavens and what is in the earth; you have no authority for this; do you say against Allah what you do not know?
Sura 17.111 And say: (All) praise is due to Allah, Who has not taken a son and Who has not a partner in the kingdom, and Who has not a helper to save Him from disgrace; and proclaim His greatness magnifying (Him).
Sura 18.4 And warn those who say: Allah has taken a son.
Sura 19.35 It beseems not Allah that He should take to Himself a son, glory to be Him; when He has decreed a matter He only says to it "Be," and it is.
Sura 19.88 And they say: The Beneficent God has taken (to Himself) a son. (91) That they ascribe a son to the Beneficent God. (92) And it is not worthy of the Beneficent God that He should take (to Himself) a son.
Sura 21.26 And they say: The Beneficent God has taken to Himself a son. Glory be to Him. Nay! they are honored servants
Sura 23.91 Never did Allah take to Himself a son, and never was there with him any (other) god-- in that case would each god have certainly taken away what he created, and some of them would certainly have overpowered others; glory be to Allah above what they describe!
Sura 25.2 He, Whose is the kingdom of the heavens and the earth, and Who did not take to Himself a son, and Who has no associate in the kingdom, and Who created everything, then ordained for it a measure.
The Lord Jesus Died on the Cross
The Koran literally says the Lord Jesus did not die on the cross. It claims that He appeared to have died on the cross, but He was not killed nor was He crucified. Allah just took Jesus to heaven. The Koran directly attacks the vicarious death of Jesus of Nazareth on the cross, and thus rejects His redemption of man from sin. The Koran goes on to state that on the day of resurrection Jesus Christ will be a witness against Christians!
The Suras from the Koran to prove this follow:
Sura 4.157 And their saying: Surely we have killed the Messiah, Isa [Jesus] son of Marium, the apostle of Allah; and they did not kill him nor did they crucify him, but it appeared to them so (like Isa) and most surely those who differ therein are only in a doubt about it; they have no knowledge respecting it, but only follow a conjecture, and they killed him not for sure
158 Nay! Allah took him up to Himself; and Allah is Mighty, Wise.
159 And there is not one of the followers of the Book but most certainly believes in this before his death, and on the day of resurrection he (Jesus) shall be a witness against them.
Association
According to Islam the worst sin a person can commit is shirk. This sin is also called association. This means associating Allah with a man. The very heart of Christianity that the Lord is the Son of God is the worst sin in Islam! According to the Koran, Allah forbids heaven to anyone who commits this sin and their abode is hell without any help.
The Koran and Islam by their very nature are antichristian, and therefore in our modern world's way of thinking, it is hate speech. There can never be reconciliation between Islam and Christianity because the very heart of Islam is antichristian.
The Sura to show this follows:
Sura 5.72 Certainly they disbelieve who say: Surely Allah, He is the Messiah, son of Marium; and the Messiah said: O Children of Israel! serve Allah, my Lord and your Lord. Surely whoever associates (others) with Allah, then Allah has forbidden to him the garden, and his abode is the

fire; and there shall be no helpers for the unjust.
The teachings of the Koran are in stark contrast to the Bible. The Koran states that God does not have a son. The Bible states that all life is in the Lord Jesus as the only begotten Son of God. With the Bible, if you have the Son, you have eternal life: if you do not have the Son, then you do not have eternal life. A person that does not believe this makes God a liar. The Koran written 500 years after these verses denies the Son, and therefore makes the God of the Bible a liar. The Bible is written in the positive stating God has a Son. The Koran is written in the negative attacking this fundamental doctrine of Christianity.
When Muhammad wrote the Koran, he knew exactly what he was doing. He was creating a new religion which rivaled Christianity. He wanted to make sure there was no connection between the God of Islam and the holy God of Israel. The warning of the Bible is same today as it was at the time of Muhammad:
1 John 5:9 If we receive the witness of men, the witness of God is greater: for this is the witness of God which he hath testified of his Son.
(10) He that believeth on the Son of God hath the witness in himself: he that believeth not God hath made him a liar; because he believeth not the record that God gave of his Son.
(11) And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son.
(12) He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life.
(13) These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God.
In summary, the God of Islam has no relationship to the God of the Bible. In fact, the God of Islam is at war with the Bible as the Koran calls anyone believing in the blessed Trinity a liar. The very origin of the Koran was to refute the authority of the Bible and reject the holy God of Israel. The Koran and Islam, at its very foundation, is hatred of Christianity, and therefore has no place in America . The Koran, as given by Muhammad, is hate speech and should be exposed as such.
Note: America was the only nation in all of history that was founded on the blessed holy Trinity. There were three documents which created the United States of America . Most people are very familiar with the first and third documents: The Declaration of Independence and the Constitution. It was the second document that ended the Revolutionary War and granted America nationhood. The Paris Peace Treaty of 1783 formally ended the Revolution and granted the United States independence from Great Britain . This document can be found at: http://www.law.ou.edu/hist/paris.html In a real sense, the United States formally became a nation on September 3, 1783.
When the United States became a nation, it was done “In the name of the most holy and undivided Trinity.” The preamble to this Treaty states that it is based upon the “Holy and undivided Trinity!” The concept of the holy Trinity is unique to Christianity. This statement means the United States was founded on the Christian faith. The Preamble is very short and follows:
“In the name of the most holy and undivided Trinity”
The Treaty then ends just like the Constitution with a statement it is being signed in the “Year of our Lord.” The witnesses representing the United States were John Adams, Benjamin Franklin, John Jay and D. Hartley. The section in part follows:
“… In witness whereof we the undersigned, their ministers plenipotentiary, have in their name and in virtue of our full powers, signed with our hands the present definitive treaty and caused the seals of our arms to be affixed thereto. Done at Paris , this third day of September in the year of our Lord, one thousand seven hundred and eighty-three”
D. HARTLEY
JOHN ADAMS, B. FRANKLIN, JOHN JAY, By John McTernan
Defend and Proclaim the Faith
Blog: John McTernan’s Insights at www.johnmcternan.name
Copyright 2009 by John McTernan: All rights reserved.

Revelation Chapter 9:1-11 The Fifth Trumpet *The Army of Demon Locusts*

This host of Horrible Monsters, with complex appearance of Locusts, Horses, Scorpions, Lions and Humans, came out of the Abyss (9:1,2,11), ("Bottomless Pit,' AV), led by Abaddon, or Apollyon, who was the Devil, or one of his Angels. This indicates the Hellish Origin of the Woes to follow. Satan has already been mentioned as Persecuting and Corrupting the Churches of Smyrna, Pergamum, and Thyatira (2:9, 10, 13, 14, 24); and is named as instigator of Rome's Imperial Persecutions of the Church (12:13-16). Of what he is now about to do in the Locust Plague, we are warned, "Woe, woe, woe," hinting its Frightfulness.

These Demon Locusts are thought, by many commentators, to be a predictive picture of the Rise and Spread of Mohammedanism; or, of the Tribulation Period of the Last Days; or, Both.

HALLEY'S BIBLE HANDBOOK Twenty-Fourth Edition

The Third Jihad

Partly because of a new and indiscriminate tolerance, and partly because of a huge influx of jizya, Orthodox Islam has grown into a "third jihad." The term refers to the murder of non-Muslims around the world (for the crime of being non-Muslims), but includes much more than that. There are many ways to wage jihad, and nearly every Muslim can play a part.

For example, orthodox Muslims have deliberately emigrated into foreign lands in order to fulfill Allah's command to make the whole world submit to Islamic law. In those new countries, they are organizing and building up their political power. Orthodox Muslims are recruiting new Muslims into their ranks every day. Some have gained vast wealth and are using it to build mosques and madrassas all over the world. Islamic supremacists have infiltrated the political machinery in Western nations, they've successfully manipulated the press and disabled and silenced resistance against them, and of course, they are also killing non-Muslims around the world. This is the third jihad.

Jihad means "struggle," but a particular kind of struggle. Jihad means to struggle to make Islam dominant over all other religions and governments. This is one of the religious duties of all devout Muslims, and according to Mohammad, it is the most important obligation a Muslim must fulfill.

The first jihad started with Mohammad. His armies conquered all of Arabia. In the hundred years after his death, his armies conquered most of the Middle East, North Africa and Spain. The first jihad lasted from 622 AD until 750 AD. Read more about that here.

The second major jihad started in 1071 AD. Islamic armies toppled Constantinople and spread into Europe, India, and further into Africa. The second jihad began to decline when the Muslim army was stopped on September 11th, 1683 at the gates of Vienna, Austria. Read more about the second jihad here.

The Islamic push to dominate — all other cultures, all other religions, and all other governments — has never stopped. But we are referring to large waves of success for the Islamic expansion; periods where vast new territories were brought under the control of Sharia law.

Now we are in the third jihad, the third great wave.

Non-Muslims in the past have fought back, of course (otherwise the whole world would already be ruled by Islam). Some successfully resisted subjugation.

But many countries and cultures took too long to recognize the threat or organized their resistance too slowly, and they were swallowed up by Islam's relentless aggression. So we have 57 countries today who are Islamic enough to be members of the OIC.

Orthodox Muslims are waging jihad in subtler and more clever ways (waging jihad by gaining concessions, for example), in addition to simple violent aggression, but non-Muslims around the world are beginning to notice the pattern and are rousing themselves to defend their cultures, their religions, their governments, and their lives.

I hope you join us in defeating the third jihad. We need you to help others recognize the threat. We need your participation in organizing an effective resistance. And we need to move quickly. Here's how to get started.

The message 'Hate' Revised 04172018
Study Aids; Halley's Bible Handbook
North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Alpharetta, Georgia
This message presented to; BaronOk-Sep2010/