The Beast*

Revelation 20:7-10 (7) And when the thousand years are expired, Satan shall be loosed out of his prison,
(8) And shall go out to deceive the nations which are in the four quarters of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to battle: the number of whom is as the sand of the sea.
(9) And they went up on the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city: and fire came down from God out of heaven, and devoured them.
(10) And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.
One view here is, that the right to read and obey the Bible is returned to the people without human obstruction: satan's loosing then, would be his regaining in some way the power to at least hinder a person's right of voluntary obedience. The means satan will use to achieve his helish rebellion against God are undoubtly, increasing infidelity, superstition, false claims against Christanity, idolatry and false religions will most likely be contributing factors. Whatever is involved in satan's loosing, wickedness most likely, will prevail as never before.
In satan's 'little time' remaining, his last struggle against the Church will be aided by the beast and the false prophet.
If the beast and false prophet are institutions, rather than persons, they were, to say the least, created and managed by persons, of whose spirit they were expressions.
{Friday September 16, 2016
A newly released report by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights attacks religious freedom and declares that religious liberty must be subordinated to civil rights laws. Martin Castro, named USCCR chairman by President Obama in 2011, says that the words "religious freedom" and "religious liberty" have

become merely code words for intolerance, Christian supremacy, racism, sexism, homophobia, Islamophobia, and therefore must yield before LGBT anti-discrimination laws. Regarding sexual orientation and gender identity, this report also does not support those people who hold to the religious belief that marriage is reserved to one man and one woman as they provide services such as marriage licenses, photography, cake decorating or flower arranging. The focus of the "Peaceful Coexistence" report states that granting religious exemptions to nondiscrimination laws "significantly infringes" on the civil rights of those claiming civil rights protections on the basis of "race, color, national origin, sex, disability status, sexual orientation and gender identity." The report calls for laws that eliminate exemptions or accommodation for religious convictions. Chairman Castro is out of touch with reality and with our Constitution. He and the other members of the Commission who agree with him want to throw out the First Amendment and trash religious freedom whenever faith and practice collides with an intolerant LGBT agenda. The report is literally a declaration of war against religious freedom. George Washington said anyone who works against the twin pillars of religion and morality cannot be called a 'Patriot.' This report is un-American. (Liberty Council).}

Another attack on Christianity is in this article written September 6, 2016;

President Barack Obama made history Tuesday, nominating the first-ever Muslim-American to serve as a federal judge in the U.S. capital, according to a new report.

Farhana Khera, executive director of Muslim Advocates, a legal advocacy organization, told the Huffington Post. “A judiciary that reflects the rich diversity of our nation helps ensure the fair and just administration of the law, and it is vital for American Muslims to be included. **(Tré Goins-Phillips).**

This could be most dangerous and just the beginning of the end for religious freedom, should a muslim ever become a federal

judge.
{Americans are among the most tolerant and patriotic people in the world. As a nation of immigrants, there is a certain appeal to the idea of multiculturalism where people of different backgrounds are accepted in our communities. Our nation was founded on the principles of equality and freedom, and we have invested our resources and blood over and over again to defend those principles. Our constitution guarantees not only the freedom of speech, but also the freedom to practice our religion of choice. So it is not surprising that many Americans see Sharia Law as a Muslim religious prerogative which we should support or at least tolerate.
(Sharia Law and the US Constitution By Louis Palme)**}**
So what is sharia law?
{The term "Sharia" ("way" or "path") is the sacred law of Islam. Sharia law literally means religious code of life. It is used to refer both to the Islamic system of law and the totality of the Islamic way of life. Sharia guides all aspects of Muslim life including politics, daily routines, foods, clothing, amusements, sports, familial and religious obligations, and financial dealings. Traditional Muslims (all mullahs, Mawlanas, Muftis, and Islamic scholars) who understand the Quran and the Hadith believe that sharia (Islamic law) expresses the highest and best goals for all societies on Earth. It is the “law of Allah” and bound to be the best of all. Because of this ardent belief, devout and fanatical Muslims all over the world are in constant struggle (Jihad) with their ruling Government to establish “Islamic Sharia” as the country’s only rule by replacing modern secular democracy. (Islamic Sharia Law in Brief! by Syed Kamran Mirza)**.}** *Altho The United States of America is a Republic, it is often confused as a democracy because the people elect the officials and our government is, 'of the people, by the people, for the people.' However replacing our government with sharia would be a terrible mistake. Perhaps the first item to go would be the Constitution along with the rights and*

freedoms it provides. The reason for this is because the Constitution and sharia are not compatible. Sharia allows lawlessness, the Constitution does not. Some examples are;
-Jihad defined as "to war against all non-Muslims to establish the religion" is the duty of every Muslim and Muslim head of state (Caliph). Muslim Caliphs who refuse jihad are in violation of Sharia and unfit to rule.
-A percentage of Zakat (alms) must go towards jihad.
-A Muslim will not get the death penalty if he kills a non-Muslim.
-Rebelliousness on the part of the wife nullifies the husband's obligation to support her, gives him permission to beat her and keep her from leaving the home.
-A Muslim man is forgiven if he kills his wife caught in the act of adultery. However, the opposite is not true for women since he "could be married to the woman he was caught with.
- A Muslim will be forgiven for murder of : a) an apostasy b) an adulterer c) a highway robber. Making vigilante street justice and honor killing acceptable.
-Under Sharia law, women, girls, apostates, and "blasphemers" are all denied equality under the law.
-There is no age limit for marriage of girls under Sharia. The marriage contract can take place anytime after birth and consummated at age 8 or 9.
Would you allow this kind of horror against your 8 year old daughter? Of course not! There are many more examples of non-compliance to our constitution and human rights, so, the next time someone suggests we should be more understanding of Sharia Law, it would be fair to ask him/her what parts of our US Constitution would he/she be willing to abandon in order to accommodate Sharia Law? In my opinion, such a fundamental change to the United States of America would infringe upon our right to life as a Christian. The reason is clear, listen to this;
{"Islam wishes to destroy all states and governments anywhere

on the face of the earth which are opposed to the ideology and program of Islam regardless of the country or the nation which rules it. The purpose of Islam is to set up a State on the basis of its own ideology and program." SEYED ABUL A'ALA MAUDUDI (A Guide to Shariah Law vs. the Constitution)}

Revelation is a book of symbols for the most part, however with a little study, apparently Islam can be found within its pages, turn with me to;

Revelation 9:7-12 (7) And the shapes of the locusts were like unto horses prepared unto battle; and on their heads were as it were crowns like gold, and their faces were as the faces of men.
(8) And they had hair as the hair of women, and their teeth were as the teeth of lions.
(9) And they had breastplates, as it were breastplates of iron; and the sound of their wings was as the sound of chariots of many horses running to battle.
(10) And they had tails like unto scorpions, and there were stings in their tails: and their power was to hurt men five months.
(11) And they had a king over them, which is the angel of the bottomless pit, whose name in the Hebrew tongue is Abaddon, but in the Greek tongue hath his name Apollyon.
(12) One woe is past; and, behold, there come two woes more hereafter.

This host of horrible monsters, with complex appearance of locusts, horses, scorpions, lions, and humans, came out of the bottomless pit, led by Abaddon, or Apollyon, who is the devil, or one of his angels. This indicates the hellish origin of the Woes to follow. Of what he is now about to do in the locust plague, we are warned, "Woe, Woe, Woe," hinting its frightfulness. {These demon locusts are thought, by many commentators to be a predictive picture of the rise and spread of mohammedanism. (Halley's Bible Handbook).}

Today the world is experiencing what muslims call the third

jihad, perhaps relating to the third and final woe in Revelation.
Christian preachers must not be blinded by, wolves in sheep's clothing, or we may all fall into the ditch together. Some reasons why the fifth trumpet most likely refer to Mohammedans are; Arabia, pre-eminently, was a land of locusts. It was in Arabia that Mohammedanism originated. Mohammedan armies were composed of fierce, relentless horsemen, famous for their beards, with long hair like women's hair, with yellow turbans on their heads that looked like gold, and they had iron coats of armor. Now let's consider another example of sharia law, listen to this;
-It is obligatory for a Muslim to lie if the purpose is obligatory and is known as Taqiyya (Islamic Deception). That means that for the sake of abiding with Islam's commandments, such as jihad, a Muslim is obliged to lie and should not have any feelings of guilt or shame associated with this kind of lying. The above is a clear-cut law in Islam decided by great Imams after years of examination and interpretation of the Quran, Hadith and Mohammed's life. So, do you really think you can trust a Muslim? They are taught to lie if it furthers their cause. Both passive and the aggressive Muslims are taught from the same sharia Islam law, so who can you trust? So, the next time someone suggests that we should be more understanding of Sharia Law, it would be fair to ask him/her what would he/she be willing to abandon in order to accommodate Sharia Law. However in the final analysis Islam will be defeated by the word of God because; Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is Antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son (1John 2:22).
Islam and Christianity do NOT Worship the Same God!
Anyone who denies the fundamental doctrines of the Gospel of Christ, and teaches heresy, is a deceiver. All Muslims are deceivers. 1st John 2:22 *plainly calls such,* "liars" and "antichrists." *Here is what John said;* "Who is a liar but he

that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son." *Muslims outright deny the Sonship of Jesus Christ; therefore Islam is of the Devil. Islam is a damnable religion, who denies Jesus Christ. Don't believe the lie, not for one second--that Muslims and Christians worship the SAME God. No, we don't! The Koran clearly states in,* Surah 4:171*, that God has NO Son.*
"O People of the Book! Commit no excesses in your religion: Nor say of Allah aught but the truth. Christ Jesus the son of Mary was (no more than) an apostle of Allah, and His Word, which He bestowed on Mary, and a spirit proceeding from Him: so believe in Allah and His apostles. Say not "Trinity" : desist: it will be better for you: for Allah is one Allah. Glory be to Him: (far exalted is He) above having a son. To Him belong all things in the heavens and on earth. And enough is Allah as a Disposer of affairs."
In sharp contrast, John 3:16 *in the Word of God declares that God DOES have a Son,* "For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life." *The Koran even goes as far as to claim that Jesus was never killed, nor was He crucified,* Surah 4:157; That they said (in boast), "We killed Christ Jesus the son of Mary, the Messenger of Allah.;- but they killed him not, nor crucified him, but so it was made to appear to them, and those who differ therein are full of doubts, with no (certain) knowledge, but only conjecture to follow, for of a surety they killed him not:
Clearly, Islam and Christianity are DIAMETRICALLY opposed. Even former President George W. Bush, mistakenly claimed that Christians and Muslims worship the SAME God. Allah is a god of conjecture, who has no son, therefore not the same God who has a Son. Listen, Jesus Christ is God (John 10:36), the second Person of the Godhead (Matthew 28:19), the Christ (Luke 2:11), and He is the Son of God (John 3:16)! 1st John 4:14 *declares,* "And we have seen and do testify that the Father

sent the Son to be the Saviour of the world." *Devout Muslims do not believe in Jesus Christ as deity. One must remember the Islam locust plague came from the bottomless pit lead by the devil or one of his angles. No! Mohammed's and Christians do not worship the same God. Christian's have as their head, Jesus Christ the Lord. Mohammed's have as their head the devil or one of his angels. But what I need to ask you is, are you willing to risk your life for your faith in Jesus Christ our Lord? Yes I know that is a hard question. But Islam can be hazardous to your health. Perhaps the best solution would be preventing Islam from taking over our country, our government, our constitution, our laws, our religion and our life. God is love, however He does expect rejection of anti-christ, but retains vengeance to Himself, turn to;*
2John 9-10 (9) Whosoever trangresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.
(10) If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed.
God speed is a salutation bidding success in ones endeavor. There is also warning of false prophets in sheeps clothing, Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are revening wolves (Matt 7:15).
Saul Alinsky is perhaps best known for his influential book 'Rules for Radicals,' a virtual manifesto for organizers on the Left. In his dedication at the beginning of that book, Alinsky pays homage to one particular idol, "Lest we forget, at least an over the shoulder acknowledgement to the very first radical: from all of our legends, mythology, and history ... the first radical known to man who rebelled against the establishment and did it so effectively that he at least won his own kingdom. That radical was Lucifer." *Alinsky's chilling rules outlined in Rules for Radicals can be found in it's pages. Alinsky's theories espouse Marxist and socialist ideologies, and this is the man on whom Hillary Clinton wrote her Wellesley College*

thesis and where her political views are based. (Letter From Hillary Clinton to Saul Alinsky Reveals Close Relationship by Raven Clabough)

Radical religion, radical government. There is no security in ideas of man, however there is one person to whom one can go to find life and security in abundance, and that person is Jesus Christ, turn with me to;

Mark 8:31-38 (31) And he began to teach them, that the Son of man must suffer many things, and be rejected of the elders, and of the chief priests, and scribes, and be killed, and after three days rise again.

(32) And he spake that saying openly. And Peter took him, and began to rebuke him.

(33) But when he had turned about and looked on his disciples, he rebuked Peter, saying, Get thee behind me, Satan: for thou savourest not the things that be of God, but the things that be of men.

(34) And when he had called the people unto him with his disciples also, he said unto them, Whosoever will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross, and follow me.

(35) For whosoever will save his life shall lose it; but whosoever shall lose his life for my sake and the gospel's, the same shall save it.

(36) For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?

(37) Or what shall a man give in exchange for his soul?

(38) Whosoever therefore shall be ashamed of me and of my words in this adulterous and sinful generation; of him also shall the Son of man be ashamed, when he cometh in the glory of his Father with the holy angels.

Satan accomplished his purpose in the garden by contradicting the saying of God. Muslims contradict the sayings of God in rejecting His Son, and Marxist ideas reject the Christian religion and therefore all these serve satan. Two kinds of life are considered; whoever seeks to save his temporal life at the

expense of righteousness will lose his spiritual life. And of course the opposite is true of the man who puts his spiritual life above everything else. Profit means to obtain from an investment more than was put into it. If a man buys the whole world with the price of his soul, he is a loser, because the price he paid is worth more than the world he purchased. It is compared to a man pawning his soul for the pleasures of this world. When he would wish to redeem his soul from the pawn shop of the world he will not be able, because the things of the world will then be gone out of existence. Since a wasted life will have nothing with which to redeem the soul, it is folly to disregard Jesus in this life. Such a man will be disregarded by Jesus when he comes into His presence and that of His Holy Angels. In our study today, the most important thing learned is
the gospel is the good news and that God now forgives sin because Jesus lived a sinless perfect life and then suffered sin's penalty in man's place. His sinless perfect life teaches us that He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved. *Now faith in Christ is the effect of belief, and baptism is the effect of faith. So, only those who have first believed the testimony of God, and by faith repent of their sins, and by faith confess Jesus Christ is the Son of God, and by faith are baptized into His death for remission of sins, have the full and explicit testimony of pardon. This is the gospel. Will you obey the gospel plea? If so please come and make your desire known. And if a brother or sister needs prayer please come while we gently persuade with song.*

This message approved by
Jack Warford
a minister for Christ

Study Aids; Halley's Bible Handbook, page 716, 717, 736

BREAKING: Obama administration declares war on religious liberty

BREAKING NEWS! Friday September 16, 2016

A newly released report by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights attacks religious freedom and declares that religious liberty must be subordinated to civil rights laws. The report is a declaration of war against religious freedom.

Please see my important update below – Mat.

Jack & Darlene,

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) report, "Peaceful Coexistence: Reconciling Nondiscrimination Principles with Civil Liberties," is a shocking example of the war against religious freedom in America. The recently released Commission's report is a shameful anti-American and anti-God document that trashes religious freedom.

Martin Castro, named USCCR chairman by President Obama in 2011, says that the words "religious freedom" and "religious liberty" have become merely code words for intolerance, Christian supremacy, racism, sexism, homophobia, Islamophobia, and therefore must yield before LGBT anti-discrimination laws. Regarding sexual orientation and gender identity, this report also does not support those people who hold to the religious belief that marriage is reserved to one man and one woman as they provide services such as marriage licenses, photography, cake decorating or flower arranging.The focus of the "Peaceful Coexistence" report states that granting religious exemptions to nondiscrimination laws "significantly infringes" on the civil rights of those claiming civil rights protections on the basis of "race, color, national origin, sex, disability status, sexual orientation and gender identity." The report calls for laws that eliminate exemptions or accommodation for religious convictions.

Chairman Castro is out of touch with reality and with our Constitution. He and the other members of the Commission who agree with him want to throw out the First Amendment and trash religious freedom whenever faith and practice collides with an intolerant LGBT agenda. The report is literally a declaration of war against religious freedom. George Washington said anyone who works against the twin pillars of religion and morality cannot be called a 'Patriot.' This report is un-American.

+ + Liberty Counsel is fighting on behalf of our First Amendment rights on many fronts. As one of the nation's leading public interest law firms, Liberty Counsel is on the leading edge of this battle for our liberties.

•We have invested thousands of hours in our efforts to defend the religious liberty of Kim Davis and other public officials, as well as that of private-sector business owners and Christian leaders.

•Liberty Counsel is currently working pro bono with 22 different states to stop Barack Obama's onerous "Bathroom Edict."

•The Virginia Supreme Court agreed to take up Liberty Counsel's challenge to the Fairfax County School Board case regarding its illegal policy in which the board added "sexual orientation," "gender identity," and "gender expression" to its anti-discrimination policy and student handbook - contrary to Virginia law. This case is a matter of statewide and national concern.

We have gone "all in" to ensure that these battles for our nation, our culture, and our Constitution is won both in public forums and, when necessary, in courts throughout the

land!
That's why we need your help now as we build the war chest to defend religious freedom against an unprecedented and calculated assault by radical extremists - and our own government.
Jack & Darlene, in the next two weeks, as we close out September, we need an additional $20,000 over and above our normal operating expenses just to keep up with our burgeoning caseload. Every bit of support possible - both prayer and financial - will help tremendously.
Mat Staver, Founder and President
Liberty Counsel
P.S. The Obama administration's report issued by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is undeniably a declaration of war against religious freedom. At Liberty Counsel, we daily confront the administration's high-handed assaults on the religious liberties of people of faith. Your generous support makes our work possible!
+ Note: Please do not reply directly to this email. This address is not designed to receive your personal messages. To contact Liberty Counsel with comments, questions or to change your status, see the link below.
+ +
+ + Comments? Questions?
Liberty Counsel, with offices in Florida, Virginia and Washington, D.C., is a nonprofit litigation, education, and policy organization dedicated to advancing religious freedom, the sanctity of human life, and the family. Liberty Counsel . PO Box 540774 . Orlando, FL 32854 . 407-875-1776
Liberty Counsel
PO 277
Maxwell,IA 50161 You are signed up as: grannydw@gmail.com.
Click here to change your email address.

Soros group attacks pastor!

Friday Afternoon
Jack & Darlene,
I need your help in a critical way.
As I write, Liberty Counsel's litigation team is defending a pastor who is being charged for "crimes against humanity" in violation of "international law" ─ akin to genocide and war crimes ─ simply because he traveled to Uganda and shared his biblical views on homosexuality
A homosexual rights group from Uganda is suing in U.S. court against our client, Pastor Scott Lively. And guess who is working in the shadows to attack this pastor: George Soros! The Soros-funded Center for Constitutional Rights is behind this outrageous lawsuit that seeks to charge Pastor Lively with "crimes against humanity." As I told FOXNews: This lawsuit is a gross attempt to use a vague international law to silence, and eventually criminalize, speech by U.S. citizens on homosexuality and moral issues.

This month, Liberty Counsel filed our second brief (150 pages) calling for the dismissal of this lawsuit against Pastor Lively. My litigation team is deeply engaged in this fight, and we are bracing for even more attacks in the coming weeks.
Please understand what we are up against.... This Soros-backed attack against Pastor Lively has already encompassed four years, hundreds of hours of depositions and some 40,000 pages of legal documents. Their goal is clear ─ to criminalize Christianity!
That's why I'm asking for your help.
I urgently need an additional $20,000 from our online team for our litigation fund before the end of this month so we can fight for Pastor Lively and so many others against deep-pocketed groups funded by George Soros and others.Please go here now to help replenish our litigation fund so we can fight for Pastor Lively and so many other people of faith who are coming under hostile attack in courtrooms across America:

We know these groups are spending tens upon hundreds of thousands of dollars to strip people of faith of our rights. At times, the battle can feel overwhelming, but we have the TRUTH on our side, along with faithful friends like you who are standing with us.
Will you help?
can defend the rights of Pastor Lively and so many others whose faith and freedoms are coming under vicious assault.
Again, we know that these anti-faith groups have a clear goal -- to criminalize Christianity! This attack on Pastor Lively seeks to make our biblical views a "crime against humanity."
I must fight back. I must stop this attack.
Please help.
In advance, thank you so much, and may God bless you.Mat
P.S. My team will be in federal court in November defending Pastor Lively and seeking dismissal of this outrageous attack. As I noted, we need $20,000 from our online team by the end of this month so we can fight for Pastor Lively and so many others as we stand for faith and liberty in our courts. Please go here to make your tax-deductible contribution today.+ +
Note: Please do not reply directly to this email. This address is not designed to receive your personal messages. To contact Liberty Counsel with comments, questions or to change your status, see the link below.
+ +
+ + Comments? Questions?
Liberty Counsel, with offices in Florida, Virginia and Washington, D.C., is a nonprofit litigation, education, and policy organization dedicated to advancing religious freedom, the sanctity of human life, and the family. Liberty Counsel . PO Box 540774 . Orlando, FL 32854 . 407-875-1776
Liberty Counsel
PO 277
Maxwell,IA 50161

You are signed up as: grannydw@gmail.com.

Click here to change your email address.

Obama Nominates First Muslim American to Be a Federal Judge Sep. 6, 2016 10:30pm Tré Goins-Phillips

President Barack Obama made history Tuesday, nominating the first-ever Muslim-American to serve as a federal judge in the U.S. capital, according to a new report. Abid Riaz Qureshi, who the commander in chief nominated to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, is a partner in a Washington law firm that specializes in false claims, health care fraud and securities violations.

"I am pleased to nominate Mr. Qureshi to serve on the United States District Court bench," Obama said in a statement, the Huffington Post reported. "I am confident he will serve the American people with integrity and a steadfast commitment to justice."

However, it is unlikely Qureshi's nomination will get off the ground. Senate Republicans have promised not to hold any hearings on nominations since the president's nomination of Judge Merrick Garland to the U.S. Supreme Court in March. The nomination was intended to fill the seat vacated by late Justice Antonin Scalia, who died earlier this year.

Regardless, Obama's nomination of Qureshi brings with it historic value, given the Muslim attorney was tapped for a seat on the federal judiciary during a particularly tense presidential election in which race has played a central role. "I commend President Obama for taking this important step in continuing to pick the best and brightest from every community to serve as part of our nation's judiciary," Farhana Khera, executive director of Muslim Advocates, a legal advocacy organization, told the Huffington Post. "A judiciary that reflects the rich diversity of our nation helps ensure the fair and just administration of the law, and it is vital for American Muslims to be included. Mr. Qureshi's profound commitment to the rule of law and justice for people of all backgrounds makes him an exceptional nominee." Donald Trump, the Republican nominee for president, has been frequently criticized for his immigration policy, which calls for the barring of certain Muslims from entering the United States.

Chapter Twenty-three Answering Islam

Criminal Punishments Preface and Comparison with the Bible The Qur'an itself lists few specific criminal punishments. Only eighteen verses are collected in this chapter. Other texts the Muslim's respect, the Hadith, list extensive details about how to punish those that break Islamic law. The punishments listed in the Qur'an seem barbaric, severe and primitive to many non-Muslims. In response, some Muslims claim that these compare with criminal punishments commanded by the prophets in the Old Testament of the Bible. It is true that Yahweh prescribed strict punishments for sin among the people of Israel, but ancient Bible scholars used wisdom in their interpretation and application. For example, metaphors like "an eye for an eye" were never taken literally. The ancient elders knew it was Yahweh's poetic way of insuring equal justice before a court of law. Even though capital punishment was prescribed in the Law of Moses, there are significant differences between the Bible and the Qur'an. The Bible does not promote torture such as crucifixion or the beating of women. There is discipline within the church but it does not include physical punishment. The New Testament leaves the punishment of evildoers to the civil authorities (Romans 13:1-7) The Qur'an Says ... Adulterers 100 Lashes Both the male and female who are guilty of adultery or premarital sex are to be flogged with one hundred lashes. Absolutely no mercy is to be given. It is to be witnessed by a group of Muslims. The adulterers can only marry a person who has been found guilty of the same crime or an unbeliever in the religion or Islam (24:2,3). Unsupported Accusation Eighty Lashes If a person accuses a good woman of sexual misconduct and cannot produce four witnesses, that accuser must be flogged with eighty stripes and never again will their evidence be considered (24:4). Swear Four Times If a man accuses his wife without witnesses, he must swear four times calling down a curse on himself if he is lying. If his wife denies the allegations and does the same thing then there will be no punishment (24:6-9). Retaliation for Murder When a person is murdered then retaliation is allowed as long as it is "equitable." A free man is to be killed if a free man was murdered—a slave for a slave and a female for a female. If the wronged party forgives then a liberal fine should be paid (2:178).

Denying Islam If believers are forced to recant their Muslim faith but in their hearts they believe, they will be forgiven. Those who deny Islam, after being a Muslim, will suffer the wrath of Allah and be sternly punished (16:106).

Women Confined for Indecency If a woman is accused by four witnesses of indecency and she confesses to it, confine her to her home until she dies. If two men are convicted for the same thing they should both be punished but if they repent leave them alone (4:15,16).

Beating Rebellious Women Women who are suspected of disobedience must be scolded, made to sleep alone and beaten. When they return to obedience, no further punishment should be administered (4:34). The Qur'an says that the Biblical character, Job, was commanded to fulfill some unexplained vow by beating his wife (38:44).

Cut Off Hands of Thieves Men or women who steal must have their hands cut off as a reward for their deeds. This will be an example for others (5:38).

Behead and Maim The hearts of the infidels will be terrorized so Muslims should attack with courage and behead them and cut off all their fingers. Maiming your victims

will show that opposing Allah and Mohammed results in severe punishment. They are going to Hell (8:12-14).

Crucify or Maim Those who make war on Allah and Mohammed or strive to spread disorder in the land should be killed, crucified, have their hands and feet cut off on alternate sides or be exiled. They must be degraded in this world and doomed in the afterlife except those that repent before the Muslims capture them. In their case Allah will forgive them (5:33,34).

Islamic Sharia Law in Brief!

Saturday, 05 February 2011 00:26 Syed Kamran Mirza

Rowan Williams - Latest recipient of the Demented Priest Award. Archbishop of Canterbury Rowan Williams suggested that Great Britain accept aspects of Islamic law, or Sharia. Cynicism of the Islamists to promote barbaric "Sharia-based Islam" in the west is going on uninterrupted due to the utter ignorance of the westerners about Islamic ideology in general, democratic convenience, and also by the "politically correct nonsense" spewed by many western politicians by their selfishness and forceful ignorance about the inherent danger of Islamic Sharia. Many hypocritical western residing Islamists are trying relentlessly to soft-sell Islam through their speeches and write-ups and so called "interfaith nonsense" only to fool the westerners. All these Islamists are portraying Islam being compatible with western values. Using cynicism and soothsaying techniques, they are trying hard to convince the westerners that Islam is democratic, Islam is tolerant of other faiths, Islam encourages the pursuit of religious freedom, etc. They conveniently ignore/hide the fascism in Islam that originated from the primary (Quran) and secondary (Hadith) texts in the form of Sharia (Islamic law). The barbaric laws and rules of Sharia are causing the Muslims to suffer the most. Fact of the matter is, these so called Allah's laws are nothing but the ancient Arab Paganic ruthless tribal social justice which had been cleverly ingrained in Islam and now causing the humanity to suffer. These Islamists are often bluffing westerners by citing some non-Arab Muslim majority nations such as Bangladesh as the proof that there is no inherent discord between Islam and democracy. Many of these countries are nascent western style democracies and are not governed by Islamic Sharia law at all. Outside Middle East, the Islamic Republic of Pakistan has adopted partial Islamic laws and one can see the brutality and barbarism happening there in the name of Islam. To understand Islam, one of the important aspects is to understand the "Sharia law". I have written this piece to inform the readers about the Sharia law that can only generate brutality, hatred, and nothing but Islamic fascism. What is Sharia law? The term "Sharia" ("way" or "path") is the sacred law of Islam. Sharia law literally means religious code of life. It is used to refer both to the Islamic system of law and the totality of the Islamic way of life. Sharia guides all aspects of Muslim life including politics, daily routines, foods, clothing, amusements, sports, familial and religious obligations, and financial dealings. Traditional Muslims (all mullahs, Mawlanas, Muftis, and Islamic scholars) who understand the Quran and the Hadith believe that sharia (Islamic law) expresses the highest and best goals for all societies on Earth. It is the "law of Allah" and bound to be the best of all. Because of this ardent belief, devout and fanatical Muslims all over the world are in constant struggle (Jihad) with their ruling Government to establish "Islamic Sharia" as the country's only rule by replacing modern secular democracy (Laws made by human). Sharia and its origin and sources: The "sharia law" in all the four Madhabs are esentially the same. The principal sources of Sharia are: the Quran, Hadiths, and Sunnah—(the sayings, practices, and teachings of the Prophet Mohammed). The secondary sources are: Ijma (consensus), Kiyas (analogy), Ijtihad (responsible individual opinion), and Istihsan (Juristic references). There are four main schools of Sharia law:

Hanbali: This is the most conservative school of Shari'a. It is used in Saudi Arabia and

some states in Northern Nigeria. Maliki: This is based on the practices of the people of Medina during Muhammad's lifetime. Shafi'i: This is a conservative school that emphasizes on the opinions of the companions of the Prophet Muhammad. Hanifi: This is the most liberal school, and is relatively open to some limited modern ideas.
Brief History of Sharia compilations:
Caliph Abu Bakr (centre) Muslims consider that, Sharia law was founded on the words of Allah as revealed in the Qur'an, and traditions gathered from the life of the Prophet Muhammad. Sharia continued to undergo fundamental changes, beginning with the reigns of caliphs Abu Bakr (632-34) and Umar (634-44), during which time many questions were brought to the attention of Muhammad's closest comrades for consultation. Therefore, Quran and Sunnah were the principle guidance to formulate the core issues of "Islamic Sharia". Although, "Sharia law" principally derived from the emulations of early Islamic rules by Prophet Muhammad and his four rightly guided Caliphs , but written format (except some hand-written notes) was not available during the early period of Islamic Caliphates. However, hand-written Sharia formulation was started right from the time of Prophet and continued thereafter during four rightly-guided Caliphs, Umayyad and Abbasid Caliphs until the time of Ottoman Empire. Sharia developed during the long period of: 750-850 CE. Actual Hand-written "Sharia booklets" were formed and compiled by four schools of Islamic scholars and were used by various caliphs as the constitution of the court and final version of the Sharia was compiled after 150 years of the death of Prophet Muhammad in 632 CE. Modern-day Saudi Courts use most conservative Sharia formulated by Imam Hanbali (Hanibali booklet) and Imam Jafri booklet is used in Iran. (Al-Cistani is the guru of Shiite jurisprudence). Actual paper-backed Islamic Sharia books were prepared during 17th Century King Auwrangazev (Alamgir) of Mugal Empire in India.
Some common laws of "Islamic Sharia" which are regularly practiced in the Islamically ruled (Sharia-based) nations with some minor variations:
1- Jihad defined as "to war against all non-Muslims to establish the religion" is the duty of every Muslim and Muslim head of state (Caliph). Muslim Caliphs who refuse jihad are in violation of Sharia and unfit to rule.
2- A Caliph can hold office through seizure of power meaning through force.
3- The head of an Islamic State (Caliph) cannot be charged, let alone be punished for serious crimes such as murder, adultery, robbery, theft, drinking and in some cases of rape (Hudood cases) - Codified Islamic Law Vol 3 # 914C of and page 188 of Hedaya the Hanafi manual.
4- A percentage of Zakat (alms) must go towards jihad.
5- It is obligatory to obey the commands of the Caliph, even if he is unjust.
6- A caliph must be a Muslim, a non-slave and a male.
7- The Muslim public must remove the Caliph in one case, if he rejects Islam.
8- A Muslim who leaves Islam (apostate) must be killed immediately.
9- A Muslim will be forgiven for murder of : a) an apostasy b) an adulterer c) a highway robber. Making vigilante street justice and honor killing acceptable.
10- A Muslim will not get the death penalty if he kills a non-Muslim.
11- Sharia never abolished slavery and sexual slavery and highly regulates it. A master

will not be punished for killing his slave. Slavery still exists amongst Arab Muslims. Jihad defined as "to war against all non-Muslims to establish the religion" is the duty of every Muslim and Muslim head of state (Caliph). Muslim Caliphs who refuse jihad are in violation of Sharia and unfit to rule. Quran - 9:111 Lo! Allah hath bought from the believers their lives and their wealth because the Garden will be theirs: they shall fight in the way of Allah and shall slay and be slain. It is a promise which is binding on Him in the Torah and the Gospel and the Qur'an. Who fulfilleth His covenant better than Allah ? Rejoice then in your bargain that ye have made, for that is the supreme triumph.

12- Sharia dictates death by stoning, beheading, for sins like killing, adultery, prostitutions; and other Quranic corporal punishments like: amputation of limbs (chopping hands and feet), floggings, beatings and other forms of cruel and unusual punishments even for the sins like: stealing, sexual promiscuity, robbery, burglary ect.
13- Non-Muslims are not equal to Muslims and must comply to Sharia (pay Zizzya: poll tax) if they are to remain safe. They are forbidden to marry Muslim women, publicly display wine or pork, recite their own religious scriptures, or openly celebrate their religious holidays or funerals. They are forbidden from building new churches or building them higher than mosques. They may not enter a mosque without permission. A non-Muslim is no longer protected if he commits adultery with a Muslim woman or if he leads a Muslim away from Islam.
14- It is a crime for a non-Muslim to sell weapons to someone who will use them against Muslims. Non-Muslims cannot curse a Muslim, say anything derogatory about Allah, the Prophet, or Islam, or expose the weak points of Muslims. However, Muslims can curse, criticize or say anything derogatory they like to the religions of others.
15- A non-Muslim cannot inherit from a Muslim.
16- Banks must be Sharia compliant and interest is not allowed.
17- No testimony in court is acceptable from people of low-level jobs, such as street sweepers or a bathhouse attendant. Women in such low level jobs such as professional funeral mourners cannot keep custody of their children in case of divorce.
18- A non-Muslim cannot rule even over a non-Muslims minority.
19- Homosexuality is punishable by death. (18 January 2011, 5:26pm) - Two young men who filmed themselves having sex with a 17-year-old have been sentenced to death by stoning in Iran (click on image for full story)

20- There is no age limit for marriage of girls under Sharia. The marriage contract can take place anytime after birth and consummated at age 8 or 9.
21- Rebelliousness on the part of the wife nullifies the husband's obligation to support her, gives him permission to beat her and keep her from leaving the home.
22- Divorce is only in the hands of the husband and is as easy as saying: "I divorce you" and becomes effective even if the husband did not intend it.
23- There is no common property between husband and wife and the husband's property does not automatically go to the wife after his death.
24- A woman inherits half what a man inherits. Sister gets half of what brother gets.
25- A man has the right to have up to 4 wives and wife has no right to divorce him even if he is polygamous.

26- The dowry is given in exchange for the woman's sexual organs.
27- A man is allowed to have sex with slave women and also with women captured in battle (concubines), and if the enslaved woman is married her marriage is annulled.
28- The testimony of a woman in court is half the value of a man; that is, two women equal to one man.

29- A woman looses custody if she remarries.
30- A rapist may only be required to pay the bride-money (dowry) without marrying the rape victim.
31- A Muslim woman must cover every inch of her body which is considered "Awrah," a sexual organ. Some schools of Sharia allow the face and some don't.
32- A Muslim man is forgiven if he kills his wife caught in the act of adultery. However, the opposite is not true for women since he "could be married to the woman he was caught with.
33-It is obligatory for a Muslim to lie if the purpose is obligatory and is known as Taqiyya (Islamic Deception). That means that for the sake of abiding with Islam's commandments, such as jihad, a Muslim is obliged to lie and should not have any feelings of guilt or shame associated with this kind of lying. The above are clear-cut laws in Islam decided by great Imams after years of examination and interpretation of the Quran, Hadith and Mohammed's life.
34. The perpetrators of genocide, mass rape and plunder will not be punished if they repent - Codified Islamic Law Vol 1 # 13.
35. To prove rape, a woman must have 4 male witnesses. Women's testimony is not accepted - Pakistan's Hudood ordnance 7 of 1979 amended by 8B of 1980. Thousands of raped women and girls in many countries have been charged with Zena (physical relations outside marriage) and punished by Sharia courts for want of witnesses.
36. All modern music including sexually explicit music of any kind is strictly prohibited and punishable by Islamic Sharia code of justice. Only Islamic songs are allowed.

Posted on April 11, 2013

A Guide to Shariah Law vs. the Constitution

In 2011, the Center for Security Policy assembled a 2 page flyer that succinctly explains the differences between the rights guaranteed in the US Constitution and Shariah. ‘A Guide to Shariah Law vs. the Constitution‘ is available as a downloadable PDF file. The text is provided below.

ARTICLE VI : THE CONSTITUTION IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND

CONSTITUTION: ARTICLE VI: “This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby”

SHARIAH: “The source of legal rulings for all acts of those who are morally responsible is Allah.” a1.1, UMDAT AL-SALIK or The Reliance of the Traveller, commonly accepted work of Sha- riah jurisprudence); “There is only one law which ought to be followed, and that is the Sharia,” SEYED QUTB; “Islam wishes to destroy all states and governments anywhere on the face of the earth which are opposed to the ideology and program of Islam regardless of the country or the nation which rules it. The purpose of Islam is to set up a State on the basis of its own ideology and program.”

SEYED ABUL A’ALA MAUDUDI

FIRST AMENDMENT: FREEDOM OF RELIGION

CONSTITUTION: FIRST AMENDMENT: “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.”

SHARIAH: “Those who reject Islam must be killed. If they turn back (from Islam), take hold of them and kill them wherever you find them.” QURAN 4:89 “Whoever changed his [Is- lamic] religion, then kill him,” SAHIH AL-BUKHARI, 9:84:57. In historic and modern Shariah states, Shariah law enforces dhimmi status (second-class citizen, apartheid-type laws) on non-Muslims, prohibiting them from observing their religious practices publicly, building or repairing churches, raising their voices during prayer or ringing church bells; if dhimmi laws are violated in the Shariah State, penalties are those used for prisoners of war: death, slavery, release or ransom. o9.14, o11.0--o11.11, UMDAT AL-SALIK

FIRST AMENDMENT : FREEDOM OF SPEECH

CONSTITUTION: FIRST AMENDMENT: Congress shall not abridge “the freedom of speech.”

SHARIAH: Speech defaming Islam or Muhammad is considered “blasphemy” and is punishable by death or imprisonment.

FIRST AMENDMENT : FREEDOM TO DISSENT

CONSTITUTION: FIRST AMENDMENT: “Congress cannot take away the right of the people to pe- tition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

SHARIAH: Non-Muslims are not to harbor any hostility toward the Islamic state or give com- fort to those who disagree with Islamic government.

SECOND AMENDMENT : RIGHT TO SELF-DEFENSE

CONSTITUTION: SECOND AMENDMENT: “The right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.”

SHARIAH: Under historic and modern dhimmi laws, non-Muslims cannot possess swords, firearms or weapons of any kind.

FIFTH, SIXTH & SEVENTH AMENDMENTS : RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND FAIR TRIAL

CONSTITUTION: FIFTH AMENDMENT: "no person shall be held to answer for a capital or other- wise infamous crime... without due process of law."

SIXTH AMENDMENT: guarantees a "public trial by an impartial jury."

SEVENTH AMENDMENT: "the right of trial by jury shall be preserved."

SHARIAH: "Muhammad said, 'No Muslim should be killed for killing a Kafir (infidel).'" HADITH SAHIH AL-BUKHARI Non-Muslims are prohibited from testifying against Muslims. A woman's testimony is equal to half of a man's.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT : NO CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

CONSTITUTION: EIGHTH AMENDMENT: "nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."

SHARIAH: Under Shariah punishments are barbaric: "Cut off the hands of thieves, whether they are male or female, as punishment for what they have done - a deterrent from Allah." QURAN 5:38 A raped woman is punished: "The woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication - flog each of them with a hundred stripes" SURA 24:2

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT : RIGHT TO EQUAL PROTECTION AND DUE PROCESS

CONSTITUTION: FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

Shariah: Under dhimmi laws enforced in modern Shariah states, Jews, Christians and other non-Muslims are not equal to Muslims before the law. Under Shariah law, women, girls, apostates, homosexuals and "blasphemers" are all denied equality under the law.

The U.S. Constitution vs. sharia law Posted by PatriotUSA at 12:02 AM Labels:
The US Constitution vs. Sharia law.
A very compelling argument for the US Constitution, against Islam and sharia law.
Sharia Law and the US Constitution By Louis Palme Oct 14, 2009
Americans are among the most tolerant and patriotic people in the world. As a nation of immigrants, there is a certain appeal to the idea of multiculturalism where people of different backgrounds are accepted in our communities. Our nation was founded on the principles of equality and freedom, and we have invested our resources and blood over and over again to defend those principles. Our constitution guarantees not only the freedom of speech, but also the freedom to practice our religion of choice. So it is not surprising that many Americans see Sharia Law as a Muslim religious prerogative which we should support or at least tolerate. Banks have rushed to provide Sharia-compliant banking, and public institutions like universities and airports have spent taxpayer dollars to help Muslims comply with their religious requirements, providing special foot-baths and prayer rooms for them. If anyone speaks out against Sharia Law, there is often a strong reaction within the Muslim community. This month, Dalia Mogahed, President Obama's advisor on Muslim affairs, complained on British television that the Western view of Sharia was "oversimplified" and misunderstood. While acknowledging that even Muslims associate Sharia with draconian criminal punishments and laws that seem unequal for women, she stated, "Part of the reason there is this perception of Sharia is because Sharia is not well understood and Islam as a faith is not well understood." The London-based Islam Channel panel she was on made repeated attacks against secular "man-made Law" and the West's "lethal cocktail of liberty and capitalism." Ms. Mogahed described her government role as "to convey . . to the President and other public officials what it is Muslims want." (Source: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/northamerica/usa/barackobama/6274387/Obama-adviser-says-Sharia-Law-is-misunderstood.html)
A similar reaction took place in a recent US Congressional hearing on the dangers posed by political Islam. Dr. Zuhdi Jasser, one of the most prominent Muslim reformers in the United States, testified, "I think if Muslims want credibility and we want to be respected equally, we need to stand for reform within our faith of [Sharia] laws that are still in the 15th and 16th Century." He explained that the jihadists will not be defeated until Muslims start to recognize that their ideology is on a slippery slope toward radicalism. In response to this testimony, Muslim Congressman Keith Ellison (D, Mn) delivered a verbal tirade that accused Dr. Jasser of encouraging anti-Muslim bigotry and attempting to censor Islamists. Ellison said to Dr. Jasser, "I think you give people license for bigotry. I think people who engage in nothing less than Muslim-hating really love you a lot because you give them freedom to do that. You say, 'yeah, go get after them.' . . Now is somebody going to snatch my 13-year-old daughter's hijab off, call her a horrible name, and spit on her because of something you said, Dr. Jasser? I worry about that." (Source: http://www.investigativeproject.org/1448/jasser-challenges-congressman-on-**reforms**-value)
So, to better understand whether Sharia Law is desirable (or even legal) in the United States, it might be instructive to compare it with the US Constitution. This isn't too

difficult for the layman because the US Constitution is only 17 pages long, including its 27 amendments. Sharia Law is well-documented in the 1,200 page Classic Manual of Islamic Sacred Law, "The Reliance of the Traveler" by Ahmad ibn Naqib al-Misri, and published in the United States by Amana Publications. While the original document dates to the late 14th Century, it has been updated in the 1990's and bears the approval of the Fiqh Council of North America as well as the authoritative Al-Azhar Islamic Research Academy in Egypt. The introduction to this manual states, "The four Sunni schools of Islamic Law . . are identical in approximately 75 percent of the legal conclusions. . . [T]he authors of the present volume and their positions do represent the orthodox Muslim intellectual and spiritual heritage that has been the strength of the Community for over a thousand years . . to the present day." While this volume of Sharia Law is primarily about the religious practice of Islam, the 800 pages of the manual devoted to rules and regulations also include sections on Trade, Inheritance, Marriage (suitable partners, legal rights, custody), Divorce, and Justice which would fall under civil law in the United States. Those sections comprise 35% of the manual, and are among the most controversial because they impose draconian punishments, authorize jihad, and sanction discrimination on the basis of religion and gender. The summary below highlights the serious disconnects between the provisions of the US Constitution and those of Sharia Law. LEGISLATIVE POWERS

US Constitution

Article I - All legislative Powers shall be vested in the Congress. Sharia Law

The source of legal rulings for all acts of those who are morally responsible is Allah. (a1.1) It is not a sin to comply with man-made laws that require buying auto insurance or having a photo ID because "the authorities are responsible for the sin, not the individual forced to comply." (w42.3 and w50.4)

POWER TO DECLARE WAR

US Constitution

Section 8 - Powers of Congress include to levy taxes, to make laws, and to declare war.

Sharia Law

It is obligatory to obey the commands and interdictions of the caliph or his representative in everything that is lawful, even if he is unjust . . because the purpose of his authority is Islamic unity, which could not be realized if obeying him were not obligatory. (o25.5)

The caliph or his representative have the duty of undertaking jihad if their territory borders on enemy lands, of dividing the spoils of battle, and of remitting a fifth for "deserving recipients." (o25.9(8))

Jihad is obligatory for everyone when the enemy has surrounded the Muslims. (o9.3) It is permissible in jihad to cut down the enemy's trees and destroy their dwellings. (o9.1)

PRESIDENT (CALIPH)

US Constitution

Article II, Section I -- Qualifications of a President - He must be a natural born citizen, thirty-five years old, and a resident for fourteen years. The President is elected by ballot by the people (via the Electors) and shall serve for no more than 2 four-year terms. No religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States.

Sharia Law
A caliph must be a Muslim, a non-slave, a male, of the Quraysh tribe, etc. (o25.0)
The Caliph appoints a group to select his successor among themselves. There is no a term of office. However, the caliphate of someone who seizes power is considered valid, even though his act of usurpation is disobedience, in view of the danger from anarchy and strife that would otherwise ensue. (o25.4(3)) (Note: The Islamic Caliphate was disbanded the Turkish Parliament in 1924.)
REMOVAL OF GOVERNMENT OFFICERS
U.S. Constitution
Section 4 - The President and all civil Officers of the United States shall be removed from office if found guilty of treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors.
Sharia Law
(No provision for removal from office.)
LEGAL AUTHORITY
US Constitution
Article VI - This Constitution and the laws of the United States which shall be made under it shall be the supreme law of the land, and judges in every state shall be bound by them. Senators and Representatives, legislative officers, all executive and judicial officers both of the United States and the several states shall be bound by a Oath or Affirmation to support the Constitution.
Sharia Law
The source of legal rulings for all acts of those who are morally responsible is Allah. (a1.1)
CIVIL AND RELIGIOUS RIGHTS
US Constitution
Amendment 1 - Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or the press, or the right of the people to peaceably assemble, and to petition the Government for redress of grievances.
Sharia Law
Non-Muslims are obliged to comply with Islamic rules that pertain to the safety and indemnity of life, reputation, and property. Non-Muslims are forbidden to openly display wine or pork, recite their scriptures, or make a public display of feast days or funerals. Non-Muslims are forbidden to build new churches. A non-Muslim may not enter a mosque without permission. The protection for non-Muslims is withdrawn if a non-Muslim commits adultery with a Muslim woman or marries her, leads a Muslim away from Islam, kills a Muslim, or says anything derogatory about Allah, the Prophet, or Islam. (o11.5 through o11.10)
US Constitution
Amendment 2 - The right of people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.
Sharia Law
It is a condition that someone buying weapons be of a people who are not at war with Muslims. (k1.2(f)) Enormities (sins) include selling weapons to non-Muslims who will use them against us. (w52.1(192))

US Constitution
Amendment 3 – No soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house, without the consent of the owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner prescribed by law.
Sharia Law
(Not covered.) However, the Pact of Omar (636 AD) imposed on Christians in Syria, "We shall keep our gates wide open for passersby and travelers. We shall give board and lodging to all Muslims who pass our way for three days."
US Constitution
Amendments 4 – 8 – These amendments prohibit unreasonable searches, require due process according to the law, provide for confrontation of witnesses, impose jury trial on all matters involving over $20, and prohibit excessive fines and cruel and unusual punishments. Amendment 14 provides for equal protection of the laws for all citizens.
Sharia Law
No testimony may be made by people who have lowly jobs, such as a street sweeper or a bath house attendant, or non-Muslim. (o24.2-3)Testimony regarding fornication or sodomy requires four male eye-witnesses to the act. (o24.9)
A woman's testimony is worth only half that of a man. (o24.10)(There is no provision for a jury trial under Sharia Law.) Cruel and unusual Islamic punishments include 1) stoning for adultery (o12.2); 2) scourging 40 lashes with hands, shoes, ends of clothes, or a whip for drunkenness (o16.3); 3) severing the right hand for theft of over $36 and the left foot for a repeat offense (o14.1); and 4) death for apostasy from Islam. (o8.2)
Indemnity for accidentally killing a male Muslim is 100 camels or 4,235 grams of gold. (Current value: $144,000.) Indemnity for killing a woman is half that of a man, for killing a Jew or a Christian is one-third of the indemnity paid for a Muslim. The indemnity paid for a killing Zoroastrian is one-fifteenth of that of a Muslim. The indemnity for causing a miscarriage is one slave. (o4.9) There is no indemnity for a killing a non-Muslim at war with Muslims, an apostate, or someone sentenced to death by stoning. (o14.17)Jews and Christians are subject to a "poll tax" not less than 1 dinar (Current value: $144) per adult male per year. No maximum is stipulated. (o11.4) This is a penalty for remaining in their ancestral religion instead of embracing the "religion of truth." (o9.8) A husband may beat a "rebellious" wife for 1) not allowing immediate sexual intercourse when he asks for it, at home, and if she can physically endure it; 2) answering him coldly; or 3) being averse when she was previously kind. (m5.1 and m10.12) The only limitation is that he may not break her bones, wound her, or cause bleeding.
US Constitution
Amendment 13 Slavery and involuntary servitude are abolished.
Sharia Law
The section on Slavery (k32.0) is not translated into English. The provisions remain in Arabic. The editor of "The Reliance of the Traveler" claims that these provisions are no longer applicable, yet they remain in there in the text of Sharia Law. Elsewhere, the manual states, "Originally the status of slave was simply the outcome of having been taken as a prisoner of war. A captive who could not buy his own freedom by means of ransom remained in the possession of the captor until he had earned his freedom by work

or until he was granted liberty by his master.” (w13.1)

US Constitution

Amendment 21 repealed “prohibition,” thereby allowing manufacture, sale, and transport of alcoholic beverages.

Sharia Law

It is unlawful to sell grapes to someone who will make wine from them. (k4.9) “Allah cursed whoever drinks wine, gives it to others to drink, sells it, buys it, presses it for another, transports it, receives it, or eats its price.”

Enormities (sins) include drinking wine in any form or other intoxicant, even if only a drop as in medicine; pressing out the juice to make wine or other intoxicant; carrying it for purposes of drinking, or having it carried; serving it to others or having it served; selling it; buying it; having it bought or sold; consuming proceeds from selling it; or keeping wine or other intoxicant. (o16.6 and w52.1(350-361))

So, the next time someone suggests that we should be more understanding of Sharia Law, it would be fair to ask him/her what parts of our US Constitution would he/she be willing to abandon in order to accommodate Sharia Law.

Wednesday, 24 September 2014 Written by Raven Clabough
Letter From Hillary Clinton to Saul Alinsky Reveals Close Relationship
For anyone who bothered to properly vet Hillary Clinton during her campaign for New York Senate and for the Democratic presidential nomination, Clinton's allegiance to radical Chicago community organizer Saul Alinsky (shown) is not new information. The recent publication of Clinton's correspondence with the left-wing organizer, however, may finally propel that relationship into national attention as it makes a strong statement about her true ideology.

The late Alinsky was a self-proclaimed radical who advocated socialism as a means to improve the disparity between the "haves," as he dubbed the middle class and wealthy, and the "have-nots," referring to the poor. He was a supporter of the philosophy that the ends justify the means, and applied that philosophy to his ideas for community organizing.

Alinsky is perhaps best known for his influential book Rules for Radicals, a virtual manifesto for organizers on the Left. A quick perusal of this work provides significant insight into the type of man Alinsky was, the ideas he advocated, and ultimately just how influential he has been on the Obama administration in particular.

In his dedication at the beginning of that book, Alinsky pays homage to one particular idol, "Lest we forget, at least an over the shoulder acknowledgement to the very first radical: from all of our legends, mythology, and history ... the first radical known to man who rebelled against the establishment and did it so effectively that he at least won his own kingdom." That radical was Lucifer. Alinsky was a proponent of fanning the flames of hostility. He wrote in Rules for Radicals that the organizer must "rub raw the resentments of the people of the community; fan the latent hostilities of many of the people to the point of overt expression."

He must make the people "feel so frustrated, so defeated, so lost, so futureless in the prevailing system that they are willing to let go of the past and chance the future."

In the same book, Alinsky contends that a true community organizer "does not have a fixed truth; truth to him is relative and changing; everything to him is relative and changing." He is a political relativist. (Some say this may be where Obama's former regulatory czar Cass Sunstein's philosophy on conspiracy theories is grounded.) Critics note that it sounds like a page right out of George Orwell's 1984 — the idea that fixed truths are a danger and must be eliminated.

Quoting Lenin, Alinsky wrote in Rules for Radicals, "They have the guns and therefore we are for peace and for reformation through the ballot. When we have the guns then it will be through the bullet."

Alinsky also observed, "Utilize all events of the period for your purpose," an idea reminiscent of Obama's former Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel's remark "You never want a serious crisis to go to waste."

Alinsky's chilling rules outlined in Rules for Radicals can be found here. Alinsky's theories espouse Marxist and socialist ideologies, and this is the man on whom

Hillary Clinton wrote her Wellesley College thesis. While writing her thesis at Wellesley on Alinsky's theory of community organizing, Clinton met with Alinsky to have what she would later refer to as "biennial conversations."

In her thesis, Hillary attempted to portray Alinsky as a mainstream American icon, writing, "His are the words used in our schools and churches, by our parents and their friends, by our peers. The difference is that Alinsky really believes in them."

In the conclusion to her thesis, Clinton attempts to paint a rosier view of the ideologies Alinsky espoused:

Alinsky is regarded by many as the proponent of a dangerous socio/political philosophy. As such, he has been feared — just as Eugene Debs [the five-time Socialist Party candidate for U.S. president] or Walt Whitman or Martin Luther King has been feared, because each embraced the most radical of political faiths — democracy.

Her thesis showcases what is clearly a reverence for Alinsky and his views. Still, Clinton did her best to downplay her relationship with Alinsky. In her memoir Living History, she references Alinsky just once when she indicates that she rejected a job offer from him in 1969 so that she could attend law school instead. She writes in that memoir that her intent was to follow a more conventional path.

Likewise, evidence that Clinton wanted to disconnect herself from Alinsky became clear when the White House had requested that Wellesley College seal her 1968 thesis from the public. But the publication of a 1971 letter from Clinton to Alinsky, and the response she received from Alinsky's secretary, undermine any assertion that Clinton was not faithful to Alinsky and his ideology.

The letters were obtained by the Free Beacon news website and are part of the archives for the Industrial Areas Foundation, a training center for community organizers founded by Alinsky. According to the letters, Alinsky's Rules for Radicals was earnestly anticipated by a young Clinton, who reached out to Alinsky in the summer of 1971 to ask,

When is that new book [Rules for Radicals] coming out — or has it come and I somehow missed the fulfillment of Revelation? I have just had my one-thousandth conversation about Reveille [for Radicals] and need some new material to throw at people. You are being rediscovered again as the New Left-type politicos are finally beginning to think seriously about the hard work and mechanics of organizing. I seem to have survived law school, slightly bruised, with my belief in and zest for organizing intact.

She added: "The more I've seen of places like Yale Law School and the people who haunt them, the more convinced I am that we have the serious business and joy of much work ahead — if the commitment to a free and open society is ever going to mean more than eloquence and frustration."

Despite Clinton's decision to attend law school rather than accept the job offer from Alinsky, she still remained a dutiful Alinskyite. Yet Clinton's memoir claims

that she and Alinsky somewhat parted ways over disagreements about how to bring about change, because her belief was that “the system could be changed from within.” But that very notion is touted in Alinsky’s Rules for Radicals. “As an organizer I start from where the world is,” Alinsky wrote. “That means working in the system.” He added: “If the real radical finds that having long hair sets up psychological barriers to communication and organization, he cuts his hair.” And even though Clinton rejected a job with Alinsky’s Industrial Areas Foundation, she continued to endorse the organization’s efforts. According to a March 2007 Washington Post report: "As first lady, Clinton occasionally lent her name to projects endorsed by the [IAF].... She raised money and attended two events organized by the Washington Interfaith Network, an IAF affiliate."

Muslims Hack Off Christian Man's Head After Forcing Him to Deny Jesus Christ and Salute Mohammed as 'Messenger of God'
By Leonardo Blair , Christian Post Reporter
August 4, 2014|3:33 pm
Syria, Christian man, beheading
A Christian man is beheaded in Syria.
image: http://graphic.christianpost.com/images/homepage11/enlarge4.png
A Christian man in Syria recently had his head brutally hacked off by Islamic militants after being forced to deny his faith and salute Mohammed as "the messenger of God".
The incident was caught on video for the world to see and broadcast as a warning to "everyone like him".
In the video that was posted to YouTube with translated captions, the helpless Christian man is surrounded by armed militants wearing masks and he is heard reciting as instructed: "There is no God but God and I testify that Mohammed is the messenger of God."
An apparent leader in the group of militants is then heard instructing the group: "No one will shoot him now, do you understand? He will not be killed by shooting because it is merciful for him."
"He will be beheaded because he is Kaffir, non-Muslim, sided [with] the government and was not praying at all. Everyone like him will have the same end, beheading," said the militant.
A militant armed with a machete then grabs the defenseless man by the hair and begins to cut his head from his body as the group cries "Allahu Akbar…there is no God but God."
The 2014 report from the United States Commission on International Religious Freedom highlights Syria among several countries where being a Christian can be extremely hazardous due to terrorist and extremist Muslim threats.
"Extremist groups and terrorist organizations, including al-Qaida and ISIL, also are perpetrating egregious religious freedom violations. They espouse violence and the creation of an Islamic state with no space for diversity, and have carried out religiously-motivated attacks and massacres against Alwaite, Shi'a, and Christian civilians," explained the document in highlighting conditions in Syria.
"NGO's report that several different anti-regime opposition groups have established Shari'ah courts in areas they control. Recently ISIL, a terrorist organization not aligned with the internationally-recognized opposition, announced that the approximately 3,000 Christians in Raqqa province must either face death, convert to Islam, or be treated as dhimmis (non-Muslim citizens of an Islamic state) who must pay a tax for their 'protection' and obey serious restrictions on their religious practices," it continued.
The report further noted that Bishop Boulos Yazigi of the Greek Orthodox Church and Bishop John Ibrahim of the Assyrian Orthodox Church are still missing since they were kidnapped by unknown assailants in the Northern

province of Aleppo in April 2013.
Some 13 nuns and three workers from a Greek Orthodox monastery in the Christian village of Maaloula, who were kidnapped by the al-Nustra Front in late November 2013, were finally freed on March 9, 2014.
Al-Nusra took over Maaloula in September 2013 and their fighters reportedly attacked Christian homes killing at least a dozen people, and burning down a church. Those who remained were forced to convert to Islam according to the report.
Read more at
http://www.christianpost.com/news/muslims-hack-off-christian-mans-head-after-forcing-him-to-deny-jesus-christ-and-salute-mohammed-as-messenger-of-god-124300/#mSyzRkZXt8BWdwV9.99

The message; 'The Beast' Revised; 01202018
This message presented to;
PleasentViewOk-Oct2016/PineMtAr-Oct2016/