IT IS WRITTEN

CAVE JUNCTION, OREGON, JAN. 15, 1947.

THE COMMUNION CONTINUED NO. 3.

THE CUP. 1.

AFTER HAVING DISCUSSED THE BREAD IN THE COMMUNION SERVICE, WE NOW COME TO THE CUP.

AFTER JESUS HAD DISPENSED WITH THE BREAD AS STATED IN MATTH. 26:26; "HE TOOK THE CUP, AND GAVE THANKS, AND GAVE IT TO THEM, SAYING, DRINK YE ALL OF IT; FOR THIS IS MY BLOOD OF THE NEW TESTAMENT, WHICH IS SHED FOR MANY FOR THE REMISSION OF SINS.

BUT I SAY UNTO YOU, I WILL NOT DRINK HENCEFORTH OF THIS FRUIT OF THE VINE, UNTIL THAT DAY WHEN I DRINK IT NEWW WITH YOU IN MY FATHER'S KINGDOM." MATTH. 26:27-29.

"AND HE TOOK THE CUP, AND WHEN HE HAD GIVEN THANKS HE GAVE IT TO THEM; AND THEYV ALL DRANK OF IT.

AND HE SAID UNTO THEM, THIS IS MY BLOOD OF THE NEW TESTAMENT, WHICH IS SHED FOR MANY. VERILY I SAY UNTO YOU, I WILL DRINK NO MORE OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE, UNTIL THAT DAY I DRINK IT NEW IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD." MARK 14:23-25.

"AND HE TOOK THE CUP, AND GAVE THANKS, AND SAID, TAKE THIS, AND DIVIDE IT AMONG YOURSELVES. FOR I SAY UNTO YOU, I WILL NOT DRINK OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE, UNTIL THE KINGDOM OF GOD SHALL COME."----------

------"LIKEWISE ALSO THE CUP AFTER SUPPER, SAYING, THIS CUP IS THE NEW TESTAMENT IN MY BLOOD, WHICH IS SHED FOR YOU." LUKE 22:17-18 AND 20.

" THE CUP OF BLESSING WHICH WE BLESS, IS IT NOT THE COMMUNION OF THE BLOOD OF CHRIST? 1 COR. 10:16.

"YE CANNOT DRINK THE CUP OF THE LORD, AND THE CUP OF DEVILS.." 1 COR. 10:21.

"AFTER THE SAME MANNER ALSO HE TOOK THE CUP, WHEN HE HAD SUPPED, SAYING. THIS CUP IS THE NEW TESTAMENT IN MY BLOOD: THIS DO YE, AS OFT AS YE DRINK IT, IN MEMORY OF ME.

FOR AS OFTEN AS YE EAT THIS BREAD, AND DRINK THIS CUP, YE DO SHOW THE LORD'S DEATH TILL HE COME.

WHEREFORE, WHOSOEVER SHALLC EAT THIS BREAD, AND DRINK THIS CUP OF THE LORD UNWORTHILY, SHALL BE GUILTY OF THE BODY AND BLOOD OF THE LORD.

BUT LET A MAN EXAMINE HIMSELF, AND SO LET HIM EAT OF THAT BREAD, AND DRINK OF THAT CUP.

FOR HE THAT EATETH AND DRINKETH UNWORTHILY, EATETH AND DRINKETH DAMNATION TO HIMSELF, NOT DESCERNING THE LORD'S BODY." 1 COR. 11:25-29.

FROM THE ABOVE SCRIPTURES, ALL ARE AGREED THAT THE DRINK ELEMENT IS, "OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE".

AND ALL ARE AGREED THAT THE PREPARITORY ACT INCLUDES THANKSGIVING.

BUT ALL ARE NOT AGREED AS TO HOW MANY CUPS A CHURCH OF CHRIST MAY USE IN SETTING APART A SUFFICIANT QUANTITY, PREPARITORY TO THANKSGIVING, TO BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG THE DISCIPLES; NOR ARE ALL AGREED AS TO HOW MANY CUPS MAY BE USED TO DISTRIBUTE IT AMONG THE ASSEMBLED DISCIPLES.

SOME BELIEVE IN THE ABOVE SCRIPTURES THE WORD, "CUP", IS USED LITERALLY A PART OF THE TIME, AND THE RESTV OF THE TIME IT IS USED FIGURATIVELY.

STILL OTHERS BELIEVE EVERY TIME THE WORD, "CUP", IS USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE COMMUNION SERVICE, IT IS USED FIGURATIVELY, BY METONYMY.

AND OTHERS BELIEVE, WHEN THE LORD SAID; "THIS IS MY BLOOD OF THE NEW TESTAMENT----", HE WAS DEFINING SOMETHING.

THEY INSERT THE WORD, "CUP", IM MATTH. 26:27, AFTER THE WORD, "THIS"; AND MAKE IT READ, "THIS (CUP) IS MY BLOOD OF THE NEW TESTAMENT----";

AND THEY CALL THAT THE LORD'S DEFINITION AS TO WHAT THE CUP IS.

I BELIEVE I HAVE STATED THE USUAL POSITIONS JUST AS THEY ARE; AND THE CASUAL OBSERVER CAN READILY SEE THE BATTLE BEGINS WITH GRAMATICAL TERMS AND RELATIONSHIPS (MOST OF THE TIME VERY UN-GRAMATICAL);

AND ENDS UP IN A SERIES OF TECHNICAL LOGICAL(USUALLY ILLOGICAL) DEDUCTIONS.
AND THE SAME CASUAL OBSERVER CAN READILY SEE WE POOR COMMON FOLKS.WHO ARE NOT TECHNITIONS,ARE LEFT OUT IN THE COLD,TO ACCEPT WHAT THE TECHNITIONS,AND SCHOLLARY SAYS ABOUT IT,OR GET OUT.
AND SINCE THE TECHNITIONS AND SCHOLLARY DISAGREE AMONG THEMSELVES AS TO THE GRAMATICAL STATUS OF THE WORDS,AND THE LOGICAL AND ILLOGICAL DEDUCTIONS DRAWN:WE ARE MADE TO WWONDER IF THERE IS NOT A SAFE PLAN BY WHICH THESE THINGS MAY BE DETERMINED.AND THERE IS,THE UNFAILING WORD OF GOD.
I AM NOT TRYING TO UNDERESTIMATE AN EDUCATION,FOR TRUTH DOES NOT CONTRADICT ITSELF.
WHEN I OR ANYONE ELSE PUTS A GRAMATICAL CONSTRUCTION,OR CLAIMS A GRAMATICAL RELATION,ON A WORD OR STATEMENT,THAT CONTRADICTS THE PLAIN WORD OF GOD;OUR GRAMMAR IS WRONG AND NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
AND WHEN WE FRAME UP A GRAMATICAL CONSTRUCTION,AND THEN WORK OVER THE WORD OF GOD TO MAKE IT FIT OUR CONSTRUCTION;OUR ERROR IS VERY GRAVE.
SO LIKEWISE WHEN WE DRAW A LOGICAL DEDUCTION THAT CONTRADICTS A PLAIN STATEMENT IN THE WORD OF GOD;OUR LOGIC IS VERY WRONG.

WE SHOULD NOT WORK OVER THE WORD OF GOD TO FIT OUR LOGIC:BUT WORK OVER OUR LOGIC TO FIT THE WORD OF GOD.THEN WE KNOW WE ARE RIGHTV BOTH BIBICALLY AND LOGICALLY.
WE SHOULD NEVER,NEVER, TAMPER WITH GOD'S HOLY WORD.
GOD KNEW HOW TO EXPRESS HIMSELF AND DID SO IN PLAIN UNMISTAKABLE LANGUAGE WE CAN ALL UNDERSTAND.HE NEVER,NEVER,REQUIRED ANYTHING OF US THAT IT TAKES A TECHNITION TO UNRAVEL.
NO BROTHER,NO SUCH FOG BANK OR SMOKE SCREEN EXISTS.

FROM EVERY TEXT QUOTED ABOVE,IT IS STATED THAT JESUS "TOOK THE CUP". (REVISED VERSION, "A CUP").HE EITHER ACTUALLY TOOK SOMETHING TAKABLE, OR HE DID NOT.
WE ALL KNOW A LIQUID IS NOT TAKABLE;EXCEPT BY TAKING THE VESSEL THAT CONTAINS IT.
AND WE ALSO KNOW A VESSEL IS NOT DRINKABLE,EXCEPT WHEN WE DRINK THE LIQUID IT CONTAINS;BUT IT IS TAKABLE.HENCE COMMON SENSE TEACHES US HE TOOK THE TAKABLE AND DRANK THE DRINKABLE;HENCE,CUP WAS A VESSEL THAT CONTAINED THE LIQUID TO BE DRANK.
TAKE TWO GIRLS TWELVE OR THIRTEEN YEARS OLD AND SAY;JANE,YOU AND SUSAN GO GET THE MILK AND COFFEE.
THEY WILL BRING YOU THE MILK AND COFFEE BY BRINGING THE VESSELS THAT CONTAIN THEM.
AGAIN,YOU SAY;JANE,YOU AND SUSAN GO TO THE TABLE AND YOU WILL FIND A CUP,A BOTTLE,AND A JUG.JANE YOU DRINK THE BOTTLE SUSAN YOU DRINK THE CUP, AND BRING ME THE JUG.
JANE WILL DRINK THE BOTTLE,BY DRINKING WHAT THE BOTTLE CONTAINS.SO ALSO SUSAN WILL DRINK THE CUP,BY DRINKING WHAT THE CUP CONTAINS;AND I WILL GET THE JUG.
THEY WILL NOT HAVE A LONG WINDED ARGUEMENT ABOUT THAT WHICH EACH ARE TO DRINK.NAY,VERILY,THE MEANING OF THESE EXPRESSIONS ARE TOO WELL ESTABLISHED EVEN FROM ANTIQUITY.
WHY CAN'T WE RELIGIOUS PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THESE SIMPLE EXPRESSIONS AS WELL AS CHILDREN?THERE MUST BE SOMETHING WRONG.

BUT SAYS ONE,THE BLOOD ORIGINATES IN THE CUP AND RETAINS ITS NAME EVEN IF POURED INTO OTHER VESSELS.
IF THAT BE TRUE,IT IS ALL THE MORE EVIDENT WE MUST HAVE THE PROPPER VESSEL,"A CUP",FOR IT TO ORIGINATE IN.
AS TO "POUEING" IT INTO OTHER VESSELS;THERE ARE NO OTHERS MENTIONED IN THE WORD OF GOD IN CONNECTIO WITH THE COMMUNION SERVICE;AND THE ONLY WAY WE CAN HAVE THEM IS BY PRESUMPTION,AND WE MUST NOT DO THAT.NUMB.15:30.

WE MUST REMEMBER ,WINE BECOMES THE BLOOD OF THE LORD,BY OUR OBEDIENCE TO GOD'S LAW:BUT WINE BECOMES KNOWN AS CUP,BY THE PLAIN LAW OF LANGUAGE. BY BEING IN A CUP.
WE MAY DO A LOT OF THINGS IN OUR EVERY DAY LIFE,IF WE SHOULD DO IT IN SERVICE TO GOD,IT WOULD BE PRESUMPTION.DEUT.18:20.
OUR FAIT SHOULD NOT STAND IN THE WISDOM OF MEN BUT IN THE POWER OF GOD, 1 COR.2:3-4.THE WISDOM OF THE WORLD WILL COME TO NAUGHT.1 COR.2:6.

SINCE GOD SUPPLIES "ALL" OUR NEED,BY CHRIST JESUS (PHIL.4:19);IT IS NOW IN ORDER FOR SOME ONE TO SHOW WHERE HE SUPPLIED OTHER CUPS TO "POUR"THE THE WINE OF THE COMMUNION IN TO DISTRIBUTE IT TO THE AUDIENCE.
IF WE CAN'T DO THIS IT IS A PRESUMPTION.DEUT.18:20.
YOU MIGHT THINK THAT THIS "CUPS"IDEA IS VERY SMALL,EVEN AS SMALL AS "AUGHT";BUT READ NUMB. 15:30;" THE SOUL THAT DOETH AUGHT PRESUMPTEOUSLY - - - - - -REPROACHETH THE LORD - -".
THE "LEAST" THINGS COUNT WITH GOD.LUKE 16:10;"HE THAT IS FAITHFUL IN THAT WHICH IS LEAST,IS FAITHFUL IN THAT WHICH IS MUCH".

THE SCRIPTURES THROUGHLY FURNISH US UNTO ALL GOOD WORKS.2 TIM.3:16-17.
IN OBSERVING THE COMMUNI N SERVICE,WE ARE DOING A GOOD WORK.
WHERE DID GOD FURNISH THIS PLURALITY OF CUPS TO "POUR" THE WINE,BLOOD, TO DISTRIBUTE IT?
THE PEDO BAPTIST BELIEVE THEY MAY "POUR"WATER ON AN INDIVIDUAL FOR BAPTISM;BECAUSE THE HOLY GHOST WAS POURED OUT IN THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST.ACTS 2:17; ACTS 1:5;ACTS 3:1-4; ACTS 10:44-48;ACTS 11:15-17.
CAN WE SHOW AS MUCH EVIDENCE FOR OUR PRESUMPTEOUS "POURING"INTO CUPS AS THEY CAN FOR THEIRS?
REMEMBER WITH WHAT JUDGMENT WE JUDGE WE SHALL BE JUDGED.MATTH 7:1-2; ROM.2:1-3.
WE ARE TO STAND PERFECT AND COMPLETE IN ALL THE WILL OF GOD.COL.4:12.
PLEASE SHOW ,BY THE WILL OF GOD,SOMETHING ABOUT THOSE PLURALITY OG CUPS WHETHER YOU USE THEM TO SET APART WINE FOR THANKSGIVING,OR TO "POUR"THE WINE INTO TO DISTRIBUTE IT.IF YOU CANT,IT IS PRESUMPTION.DEUT.18:20.
REMEMBER PERFECTION NOR COMPLETENESS CANNOT BE IMPROVED UPON.
"SEEMETH RIGHT"IS NOT ENOUGH PROV.14:12;IT MUST BE OF FAITH HEB.11:6. ROM.10:17;SHOWS US FAITH ENDS WITH TESTIMONY.AND WHATSOEVER IS NOT OF FAITH IS SIN,ROM.14:23.
THE BIBLE NOWHERE GIVES EVIDENCE OF A PLURALITY OF CUPS BEING USED BY A CHURCH OF GOD ASSEMBLED FOR WORSHIP. HENCE,THE CONSEQUENCE WILL BE GRAVE IF WE USE THEM OR FORCE THEIR USE ON OTHERS.

SAYS ONE,LUKE 22:17 SHOWS "IT" WAS TO BE DIVIBED,AND DIVIDE MEANS TO SEPARATE INTO PARTS;HENCE "THE CUP,IT" WAS THE WINE OF COMMUNION..
VERY WELL WE WILL T Y IT THAT WAY AND SEE IF THAT MAKES THE BIBLE SAY "CUPS".
REMEMBER THE COMMAND TO "DIVIDE IT" WAS GIVEN AFTER HE HAD GIVEN "IT" TO THEM.HENCE, "IT"WAS STILL ONE VOLUME OF WINE.SEE LK.22:17.
THIS WAS THE SAME "GIVING"MENTIONED IN MATTH.26:27;AND MARK 14:23;AND THE SAME "IT"
HENCE WE HAVE LUKE 22:17;SAYING,"DIVIDE IT".(ONE VOLUME).
AND MATTH 26:27 TELLS HOW THEY WERECTO "DIVIDE IT ",(ONE VOLUME).
THEY WERE TOLD TO "DRINKK YE ALL OF IT" (ONE VOLUME).
BROTHER DID THEY OBEY THAT COMMAND?THEY MOST CERTAINLY DID.
SEE MARK 14:23; "-----AND THEY ALL DRANK OF IT".(ONE VOLUME)
SO WE SEE INSTEAD OF THIS BEING EVIDENCE FOR "CUPS"IT SUBSTANTUATES ONE,
WE ARE TO KEEP THE COMMANDS AS WE HAVE HEARD IN THE BEGINNING.2 JNO.6.
HERE IS THE BEGINNING AND ONE CUP CONTAINING ONE VOLUM OF WINE.

SUCH EVIDENCE AS THIS BROTHER,IS WHAT MAKES ME AFFIRM ONE CUP AND DENY A PLURALITY.THE PLURALITY JUST ISN'T MENTIONED.

. ON PAGE 22 OF "CUP QUESTION", J.L.MUSGRAVE SAYS; "WHY DO THEY OBJECT THE CUP OF MATTH.26:27 AS A METONYMY? BECAUSE IT RUINS THEM. METONYMY IS A FIGURE OF SPEECH BY WHICH AN OBJECT (NOT OBJECTS) IS PRESENTED TO THE MIND, NOT BY NAMING IT (THE OBJECT), BUT BY NAMING SOMETHING ELSE THAT READILY SUGGESTS IT (THE OBJECT-NOT OBJECTS). IN OTHER WORDS, ONE THING IS SAID, BUT ANOTHER IS MEANT! MATTH 26:27, "AND HE TOOK THE CUP ((NAMING THE VESSEL, BUT MEANING ITS CONTENTS-THE FRUIT OF THE VINE), AND GAVE THANKS, AND GAVE IT (NAMING THE VESSEL, BUT SUGGESTING ITS CONTENTS) TO THEM, SAYING, DRINK YE ALL OF IT". SEE ALDO DEF. OF METONYMY ON PAGE 20 OF SAME TRACT.

DEAR READER, IF YOU WILL NOTICE LOUIS' APPLICATION OF THE ABOVE DEFINITION TO METONYMY TO MATTH.26:27, HE SAYS-"AND HE TOOK THE CUP (NAMING THE VESSEL, BUT MEANING ITS CONTENTS-THE FRUIT OF THE VINE)".
NOTICE ABOVE HE SAYS "C-U-P" WAS NAMING THE VESSEL. THEN THE VESSEL WAS NAMED "CUP", JUST AS WE HAVE CONTENDED ALL OF THE TIME. AND IT WAS SINGULAR IN NUMBER-ONE.
NOTICE FURTHER, HE SAYS; "BUT MEANING ITS CONTENTS". WHATS CONTENTS DID HE SAY? "ITS", THAT SINGLE "CUP", ITS CONTENTS. NOT SOME OTHER VESSELS OR CONTAINERS, BUT "ITS" CONTENTS.
HEAR HIM AS HE PROCEEDS. "AND GAVE THANKS, AND GAVE "IT" (NAMING THE VESSEL BUT SUGGESTING ITS CONTENTS)", TO THEM "HE IS STILL SAYING, "IT", "CUP" WAS THE VESSEL NAMED; AND THE ELEMENT SUGGESTED WAS "ITS", CONTENTS; AND WE ALL KNOW FOR AN ELEMENT TO BE THE CONTENTS OF A VESSEL IT MUST BE IN THAT VESSEL. IN OTHER WORDS THAT WINE WAS IN THAT CUP NAMED.

HE QUOTES THE COMMAND BUT FAILS TO APPLY THE RULE, WHICH WE WILL DO JUST AS HE HAS TOO THE REST OF THIS VERSE,
""--SAYING, DRINK YE ALL OF IT." (NAMING THE VESSEL TO SUGGEST ITS CONTENTS. EVEN HERE IN THE COMMAND, "TO DRINK", THAT SAME VESSEL-CUP, IS NAMED TO SUGGEST "ITS", (NOT SOME OTHER VESSELS), CONTENTS. AND NOTICE; ABSOLUTELY NOTHING IS SAID ABOUT THOSE OTHER CUPS TO "POUR" THE WINE IN, THAT IT MIGHT BE DISTRIBUTED TO THE DISCIPLES. NO BROTHER, WE GET THOSE BY PRESUMPTION.

BY APPLYING THIS RULE FURTHER, DID THEY OBEY THIS COMMAND? YES, INDEED, THEY DID: MARK 14:23; "---AND THEY ALL DRANK OF IT" (NAMING THE VESSEL BUT SUGGESTING ITS CONTENTS). THEY NAMED THE VESSEL OF WHICH THEY HAD DRANK, TO SUGGEST WHAT? GET IT BROTHER, AND DON'T FORGET IT: "ITS"-THE CUP OF WHICH THEY HAD DRANK-"ITS" CONTENTS.
O! THAT AWFUL SIN OF PRESUMPTION--WHERE WE PRESUME SOMETHING GOD HAS NOT SPOKEN; AND THAT IS THE WAY WE GET THOSE OTHER CUPS TO "POUR" THE WINE IN TO DISTRIBUTE IT TO THE ASSEMBLED AUDIENCE.

APPLY THIS RULE OF METONYMY WHICH J.L.MUSGRAVE GAVE TO EVERY PASSAGE IN THE BIBLE WHERE THE COMMUNION IS UNDER CONCIDERATION; AND YOU WILL SEE THE VESSEL-CUP, IS THE THING MENTIONED EVERY TIME-THE CONTENTS OF THAT CUP NAMED, IS SUGGESTED.
IF THE WORD "CUP" WAS USED LITERALLY, THE VESSEL WOULD BE NO MORE LITERAL THAN THE VESSEL-CUP, WOULD BE IN A METONYMY: FOR THE VESSEL THAT CONTAINED A LITERAL LIQUID WOULD HAVE TO BE LITERAL.
DON'T FORGET; THE VESSEL IS NAMED-THE VESSEL IS NAMED TO SUGGEST ITS CONTENTSL.
SO, WE SEE WHETHER LITERAL OR A METONYMY; THE VESSEL IS WHAT IS NAMED, AND A VESSEL IS A REAL LITERAL SOMETHING --IN THIS CASE A "C-U-P".
WHY WILL BRETHREN ADMIT A THING, "A CUP", IS NAMED; AND THEN WANT TO SET IT ASIDE FOR WHAT THEY CALL CONTAINERS; SOMETHING THEY THEMSELVES ADMIT IS X NO WHERE NAMED IN THE BIBLE.
WHY NOT STAY ON THE "NAMED" GROUNDS, THE ONLY GROUNDS FOR UNITY; AND LEAVE OFF ALL THIS PRESUMPTION ABOUT "CONTAINERS"; SOMETHING THEY ADMIT IS NOT NAMED?

WE ARE A PEOPLE THAT CLAIMS TO THE RELIGIOUS WORLD THAT WE CAN READ WHAT WE TEACH AND PRACTICE;AND WE STOUTLY DEMAND OF OTHERS THAT THEY ALSO "READ" WHAT THEY TEACH AND PRACTICE.
BUT HOW,O HOW,DO OUR BRETHREN TRY TO GET ALL THOSE CUPS TO "POUR" THE WINE IN TO DISTRIBUTE IT TO THE ASSEMBLY?IT WOULD BE FUNNY IF IT WERE NOT SO SERIOUS,AND SUCH A CONTRADICTION TO OUR BOASTFULNESS,OF READING ALL WE TEACH AND PRACTICE.
THEY FIRST TRY TO PROVE THAT ONE CUP IS NOT EVEN MENTIONED:AND OF COURSE THEY CAN USE ALL THEY WANT.YES SIR! MUSIC,SUNDAY SCHOOL,AND OTHER SOCIETIES ARE NOT MENTION:SO ACCORDING TO THAT LOGIC WE CAN USE ALL OF THEM WE WANT AND STILL READ ALL WE TEACH AND PRACTICE.
ANYTIME BROTHER,YOU FIND CUPS IN THE COMMUNION SERVICE,IN THE BIBLE; I WILL FIND ALL THOSE OTHER THINGS TOO.

BUT SAYS ONE THE LORD DEFINED "CUP". HE SAID IN MATTH 26:27, THIS CUP IS MY BLOOD--. NO BROTHER,THE LORD NEVER SAID THAT.IF YOU THINK THOSE ARE DEFINITIONS,WHY DID YOU NOT QUOTE THE LORD CORRECTLY AS TO WHAT THE CUP IS? GO TO LUKE 22:20 AND YOU WILL SEE THE LORD SAID, "--THIS CUP IS THE NEW TESTAMENT--".
GET THIS BROTHER,JESUS SAID;
THIS-------IS--------- MY BLOOD-".MAT.26:27;MK.14:24.
THIS ------IS -- ----MY BODY--".MATTH.26:26;MK.14:22; 1 COR.11:24.
THIS CUP --IS------ -THE NEW TESTAMENT--". LUKE 22:20;1 COR.11:25.
BROTHER IF ONE OF THESE IS A DEFINITION,THEY ARE ALL DEFINITIONS;THEY ARE ALL THE SAME FORM OF EXPRESSIONS.HE DIDN'T MEAN TWO OF THEM AND JOKE ABOUT THE OTHER.NO,THE LORD DOES NOT DEAL IN THAT CHEAP STUFF.
IF LANGUAGE CONVEYS THOUGHTS;EACH OF THE ABOVE EXPRESSIONS CONVEY SIMELAR THOUGHTS,FOR THEY ARE PARALEL EXPRESSIONS. IN SPEAKING OF THE BREAD THE LORD SAID ;THIS---IS---MY BODY--".IN SPEAKING OF THE WINE ,HE SAID, THIS ---IS ----MY BODY.BUT HE WAS MORE EXPLICIT IN SPEAKING OF THE CUP. HE SAID;"THIS CUP-----IS-----THE NEW TESTAMENT---".
AH! MY BROTHER,WHEN WE MEDDLE WITH MATTH 26:27;AND MAKE IT READ;"THIS CUP IS MY BLOOD--";WE MAKE IT CONTRADICT JESUS WHEN HE SAID,"THIS CUP IS THE NEW TESTAMENT;THEN WHAT DO WE GENERALLY DO? WE USUALLY "FIX" LUKE 22:20 AND 1 COR.11:25;TO FIT THE WAY WE HAVE "FIXED" MATTH 26:27.
O,NO,WE CAN'T BE WRONG HENCE WE GO AHEAD IN THE "FIXING" BUSINESS IN A WAY THAT WOULD MAKE ANY "FIXER" BLUSH BECAUSE OF OUR PRESUMPTION.

DON'T FORGET IT WAS MAN WHO MADE MATTH.26:27,CONTRADICT LUKE 22:20, AND 1 COR.11:25;BY MAKING IT READ,"THIS CUP IS MY BLOOD--".
THE LORD REALLY SAID OF THE WINE WHICH HE HAD PREVIOUSLY BLESSED;
THIS - - - - - - - -IS - - - - - - - --MY BLOOD.OF THE BREAD,HE SAID;
THIS - - - - - - - -IS - - - - - - - -MY BODY".OF THE CUP ,HE SAID;
THIS CUP - - - - -IS - - - - - - - THE NEW TESTAMENT--".
WHY NOT LEAVE THEM AS THE LORD SAID THEM;IN THAT WAY THEY ARE IN PERFECT HARMONY,AND WE DO NOT HAVE TO "FIX" EITHER OF THEM.
O,YES,WE CAN BY GOOD WORDS AND FAIR SPEACHES FIND FAULT WITH ALMOST EVERYTHING THE LORD HAS SPOKEN;THAT IS WHAT THE DEVIL DID IN EDEN,AND THE GAME WILL STILL WORK IF WE DO NOT WANT TO TAKE THE LORD AT HIS WORD.

BRETHREN LETS "READ LIKE IT IS",BELIEVE IT LIKE IT IS",OBEY IT LIKE IT IS";AND WHEN OUR WORK ON EARTH IS OVER,AND OUR RACE IS RUN,WE CAN GO HOME TO GOD AND ENJOY THE PLACE HE HAS PREPARED FOR US,"AS IT IS".

MAY OUR HEAVENLY FATHER BLESS US ALL IN ATTAINING TO THAT UNITY FOR WHICH JESUS PRAYED;AND MAY WE ALSO MAINTAIN IT;IS MY PRAYER.

YOURS IN CHRIST

J.S.BEDINGFIELD.