THE DRINK ELEMENT IN THE COMMUNION, ""MUST"" IT BE STRONG WINE?

****

"WHAT SAITH THE LORD"?"TO THE LAW AND TO THE TESTIMONY",ISIAH 8:20.
MATTH 26:29;"BUT I SAY UNTO YOU,I WILL NOT DRINK HENCEFORTH OF THIS FRUIT OF THE VINE,UNTIL THAT DAY WHEN I DRINK IT NEW WITH YOU IN MY FATHER'S KINGDOM".
MARK 14:25;"VERILY I SAY UNTO YOU,I WILL NOT DRINK OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE,UNTIL THAT DAY THAT I DRINK IT NEW WITH YOU IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD".
LUKE 22:18;"FOR I SAY UNTO YOU,I WILL NOT DRINK OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE UNTIL THE KINGDOM OF GOD SHALL COME".

THE ABOVE SCRIPTURES SHOWS THAT THE DRINK ELEMENT MENTIONED WAS,"OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE". IT IS ADMITTED THAT THE EXPRESSION,"THE VINE", MEANS "THE GRAPE VINE". IF ANYONE DENIES IT;NUMBERS 6:1-4,PROVES IT BEYOND A DOUBT.
THIS BEING TRUE,WHERE IS THE MAN,THAT CAN WITH A STREIGHT FACE,DENY THAT THE JUICE PRESSED FROM GRAPES IS ""OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE""??

SINCE THESE PASSAGES ARE THE PLACES WHERE WE ARE TOLD WHAT THE DRINK ELEMENT IS; I WILL PAY ANY MAN A TWENTY DOLLAR BILL TO SHOW IN EITHER OR ALL OF THEM THE EXPRESSION,""MUST BE STRONG WINE"". STEP UP BOYS, DONT BE BASHFUL;GET THE MONEY AND MAKE A LOT OF CONVERTS.
BUT SAYS ONE,THE "CIRCUMSTANCES" SURROUNDING SHOWS IT HAD TO BE STRONG WINE AS THIS DID NOT TAKE PLACE IN THE SEASON OF GRAPES, AND THEY DID NOT THEN KNOW HOW TO PRESERVE THE JUICE FRESH. I ANSWER,IS THAT SO? PLEASE LOOK UP THE DEFINITIONS TO THE WORDS,"PRESUME",AND "PRESUMPTION", AND YOU WILL SEE ONE DEFINITION IS "PROVING CIRCUMSTANTIALLY, AND NOT WITH DIRECT EVIDENCE". THEREFORE,WE SEE THE ARGUEMENT WOULD BE A PRESUMPTION,AS IT IS BASED ON ""CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE,AND NOT ON A DIRECT STATEMENT". AND BESIDES THE STATEMENT,"THEY COULD NOT KEEP IT FRESH", IS "ASSUMED",AND TO "ASSUME" IS TO "PRESUME". LOOK IT UP BROTHER, AND THEN READ DEUT 18:20,AND YOU WILL SEE;TO SPEAK A THING IN GOD'S NAME WHICH HE HAS NOT COMMANDED US TO SPEAK,IS A PRESUMPTION.
NO WHERE IN ALL OF GOD'S HOLY WORD ARE WE COMMANDED TO SPEAK THAT STATEMENT,""IT MUST BE STRONG WINE"", IN THE NAME OF THE LORD. THEREFORE TO THUS SPEAK IS A "PRESUMPTION". DEUT 18:20. SEE ALSO NUMBERS 15:30; PSALMS 19:13; 2 PETER 2:10. IF ALL THE DEFINITIONS TO THE WORDS,"PRESUME" AND "PRESUMPTION",THAT COME FROM THE DICTIONARY WERE SET ASIDE,THIS ONE SCRIPTURE,DEUT 18:20, CONDEMNS SUCH ACTION IN NO UNCERTAIN WORDS,AND IT CANNOT BE SET ASIDE.

BUT SAYS ONE,THERE WAS STRONG WINE IN THE SET FEASTS,AND THE PASSOVER WAS A SET FEAST. HENCE,JESUS TOOK A CUP OF "STRONG WINE" FROM THE PASSOVER TO ESTABLISH THE LORD'S SUPPER. IF THERE REALLY HAD BEEN STRONG WINE IN THE PASSOVER BY THE AUTHORITY OF GOD'S WORD;WHEN THEY "ASSUME" JESUS TOOK STRONG WINE OR ANYOTHER KIND OF WINE FROM THE PASSOVER SUPPER TO ESTABLISH THE LORD'S SUPPER;IT IS A ""PRESUMPTION"";FOR TO "ASSUME" IT,IS TO "PRESUME" IT. BESIDES GOD NEVER ANYWHERE "COMMANDED" ANY ONE TO SPEAK SUCH A THING IN HIS NAME;HENCE,A ""### PRESUMPTION"" DEUT. 18:20.THERE IS NOT ONE SCENTILLA OF EVIDENCE IN GOD'S HOLY WORD WGERE GOD GAVE AUTHORITY FOR WINE OF ANY KIND IN THE PASSOVER SUPPER.
ONE MIGHT SAY,WHERE THEN DID HE GET IT IF NOT OUT OF THE PASSOVER SUPPER? MY ANSWER IS,IF WE CANT READ IT IN GOD'S GOOD BOOK WHERE THEY GOT IT;IT IS NONE OF OUR BUSINESS;FOR GOD HAS MADE IT PLAIN,THAT THE SECRET THINGS BELONG TO THE LORD OUR GOD;BUT THOSE THINGS WHICH ARE REVEALED BELONG TO US AND OUR CHILDREN FOREVER---. DEUT.29:29.

1---JUICE PRESSED FROM GRAPES IS ""OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE"".

2---BUT JESUS USED AN ELEMENT CALLED," "OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE"" TO ESTABLISH THE COMMUNION.

3---THEREFORE,JUICE PRESSED FROM GRAPES IS THE ELEMENT TO USE IN THE COMMUNION. Q.E.D.

I AM NOT SAYING THAT JUICE PRESSED FROM GRAPES AND ALLOWED TO FERMENT ACCORDING TO GOD'S LAW OF NATURE, IS NOT "OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE". HOWEVER, I DO SAY, IF YEAST, SUGAR, OR ANY OTHER ELEMENT IS ADDED, YOU HAVE NOT GOT THE ELEMENT, "OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE".
GRAPE JUICE ALONE ALLOWED TO FERMENT WILL NOT PRODUCE "STRONG WINE". HENCE, TO HAVE STRONG WINE, YOU MUST HAVE A MIXTURE; AND WHEN YOU HAVE THAT YOU HAVE NOT GOT THE SIMON PURE ELEMENT, ""OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE"".

THIS WITH THE FOREGOING ARGUEMENTS KILLS THEOR THEORY EVEN IF EVERY SET FEAST WAS LITERALLY DRIPPING WITH "STRONG WINE" WHICH IS NOT TRUE. HOWEVER, LEST SOME ONE THINK THOSE BOYS HAVE SOMETHING TANGABLE, I SHALL ANSWER THEOR MAIN ATTEMPTS AT AN ARGUEMENT.

THEY ASSERT SINCE THE CORINTHIANS GOT DRUNK (1 COR 11:21); THEREFORE, "STRONG WINE" IS THE PROPER ELEMENT TO USE IN THE COMMUNION. THAT IS FUNNY LOGIC. IF THAT BE TRUE, IF I WERE GOING DOWN THE STREET IN THE AFTER NOON OF THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK AND MEET A MAN DRUNK ON "STRONG WINE" I WOULD BELIEVE HE HAD BEEN TO THE COMMUNION. THAT IS AS PLAUSIBLE AS THE ABOVE ARGUEMENT. 1 COR 11:20 SHOWS THE ASSEMBLY THEY HAD, WAS NOT TO EAT THE LORD'S SUPPER, AND GAVE TWO REASONS WHY IT WAS NOT.
1----EVERYONE TOOK BEFORE THE OTHER HIS OWN SUPPER.
2------ ONE WAS HUNGRY AND ANOTHER WAS DRUNKEN. 1 COR 11:21.
QUESTION, WOULD UNFERMENTED GRAPE JUICE HAVE PRODUCED THE RESULTS MENTIONED IN NUMBER TWO? VIZ., "ONE IS HUNGRY AND ANOTHER DRUNKEN??NAY VERILY.

1- --IN 1 COR 10:16, PAUL SAYS; "THE CUP OF BLESSING WHICH WE BLESS, IS IT NOT THE COMMUNION OF THE BLOOD OF CHRIST?"
2- -BUT ISIAH SHOWS THE NEW WINE IN THE CLUSTER IS A BLESSING. IS 65:8
3--THEREFORE, A CUP OF WINE AS IT IS IN THE CLUSTER, WHEN BLESSED BY THE CHURCH, IS THE COMMUNION OF THE BLOOD OF CHRIST. Q.E.D.

AGAIN, THESE BRETHREN GOES TO YOUNG'S ANALYTICAL CONCORDANCE, PAGE 785 AND FIND THE HEBREW WORD, "CHEMER", MEANS FERMENTED IN DEUT 32:14; BUT IT IS TRANSLATED "PURE", IN THE KING JAMES VERSION.
HENCE, THEY CONCLUDE THAT THE FERMENTATION PURIFIES IT. NOW GO TO PAGE 12 OF YOUNG'S "INDEXED LEXICON TO THE OLD TESTAMENT", SAME BOOK; AND FIND THE WORD "CHEMER", AND YOU WILL NOTE WHERE IT IS TRANSLATED, "PURE", YOU WILL SEE A STAR THAT REFERS YOU TO A FOOTNOTE WHICH TELLS US THAT "PURE" IS AN INEXACT TRANSLATION.
NOW TURN TO PAGE 1058, SAME BOOK, AND LOOK UNDER "WINE", AND YOU WILL SEE THAT ""CHEMER"" IS A THICK STICKEY SYRUP, AND AS WE HAVE ALREADY LEARNED, IT IS ALSO ""FERMENTED"" AND IN SOME SENSE "PURE".
LOOK AT THE NEXT WORD, "CHAMAR"; (NOTE THE DIFFERNCEE IN THE SPELLING OF THE TWO WORDS), AND YOU WILL SEE "CHAMAR" IS A THICK STICKEY(MIXED) SYRUP. AND IS ALSO A WINE.
NOW, WE CAN SEE THE " "PURITY"" OF THE ELEMENT, ""CHEMER""; IT IS UNMIXED, BUT THE PURE BLOOD OF GRAPES. WHILE ""CHAMAR"" WAS ""MIXED"". GRAPE JUICE AND BLACKBERRY JUICE MIXED, WOULD BE NEITHER THE PURE BLOOD OF GRAPES, NOR PURE BLACKBERRY JUICE BUT WOULD BE MIXED. HENCE WINE MADE OF THAT MIXTURE WOULD BE DESIGNATED AS ""CHAMAR""; WHILE A WINE WHICH WAS A THICK STICKEY SYRUP, MADE FROM THE PURE BLOOD OF GRAPES WOULD BE ""CHEMER"".

""PURE"" RYE WHISKEY HAS NO CORN WHISKEY IN IT. ""PURE"" CORN WHISKEY MIGHT BE EVER SO NASTY, BUT HAVING NO OTHER KIND OF SPIRITS IN IT, WOULD BE CALLED, ""PURE"" CORN WHISKEY. THEE " "PURITY"" BEING IN THAT IT IS NOT MIXED WITH ANY OTHER ELEMENT.
SO, AWAY GOES THAT BUBBLE.
IT IS PECULIAR HOW MEN WILL TAKE UP SUCH POSITIONS WHICH ARE WHOLLY UNTENABLE, AND WITHOUT EVEN A CRUTCH, MUCH LESS A LEG TO STAND ON, AND DIVIDE THE CHURCH.

AGAIN, IN ISIAH CHAPTER 25 WE HAVE A STATEMENT OF THINGS WHICH WERE TO COME TO PASS IN THE END AS IS SHOWN BY THE FACT, DEATH IS SWALLOWED UP AND TEARS WIPED AWAY. VERSE 8. BUT BECAUSE WINE ON THE LEES WELL REFINED IS MENTIONED IN VERSE 6, THESE BOYS WANT TO PUT THAT "WINE" IN THE COMMUNION. HOWEVER IF YOU WILL ONLY NOTICE ALONG WITH THAT "WINE ON THE LEES", IS ALSO MENTIONED, "FAT THINGS FULL OF MARROW". SINCE BOTH ARE MENTIONED TO BE IN THAT FEAST WHENEVER IT WAS; IF THE WINE ON THE LEES WAS IN THE COMMUNION, SO ALSO, WAS THE "FAT THINGS FULL OF MARROW". SO THEY WOULD ALSO HAVE TO HAVE THE "FAT THINGS FULL OF MARROW ALSO IN THEIR COMMUNION. VERILY, THE LEGS OF THE LAME ARE UNEQUEL.

AGAIN, THEY BRING UP SUCH SCRIPTURES AS LEVITICUS 30 CHAPTER AND THE 28 AND 29 CHAPTERS OF NUMBERS, WHERE THE LAW IS GIVEN FOR SPECIAL BURNT OFFERINGS FOR SPECIAL OCCASIONS AS ALL THE SET FEASTS; AND THEY FIND LIKE ALL OTHER BURNT OFFERINGS SHERE IS A DRINK OFFERING OF WINE. AND SINCE THE PASSOVER WAS A SET FEAST, THEY TRY TO MAKE A POINT THAT THE DRINK OFFERING WAS DRANK IN THE PASSOVER FEAST. EVERY BIBLE STUDENT KNOWS THOSE DRINK OFFERINGS WERE NOT DRANK BUT POURED OUT. SEE NUMB. 28:7,8; 2 KINGS 16:13-16.
THE ONLY PLACE WE FIND A DRINK OFFERING BEING DRANK IS IN DEUT. 32:37, 38; WHERE HEATHEN GOD'S WERE SAID TO HAVE DONE THE DRINKING. I DONT ENVY THEM THEIR EXAMPLE. WE ALSO FIND IN ISIAH 5:12-14; WHERE THOSE JEWS HAD INTRODUCED MUSIC AND ""WINE"" IN THEIR FEASTS, AND HELL WAS ENLARGED TO RECIEVE THEM. HERE IS THEM ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THEIR PRACTICE, BUT THE RESULTS LOOKS AWFULLY BAD TO ME.
THEY ALSO GO TO: 14:25,26; AND DEUT 12:14 ← TO TRY TO GET STRONG WINE IN THE PASSOVER; BUT YOU WILL NOTICE IN THESE SCRIPTURES THEY COULD HAVE ANYTHING THEIR SOUL "LUSTED"" AFTER OR ""DESIRED"". DO THEY MEAN TO TELL US THAT THE JEWS COULD HAVE POSSUM AND POTATOES IN THE PASSOVER? AND ALSO THEY COULD TRANSFER IT TO THE LORD'S SUPPER AS THEY WISH TO THE "STRONG WINE". WHAT LETS IN ONE LETS IN THE REST BOYS.

THERE IS NO LIVING MAN THAT CAN PROVE BY THE WRITTEN WORD OF GOD THERE WAS WINE IN THE PASSOVER SUPPER. BUT EVEN IF IT WERE THERE IN QUANTITIES THERE IS NO STATEMENT THE LORD TOOK THE ELEMENT, "OF THE FRUIT OF THE VINE", FROM THE PASSOVER SUPPER TO ESTABLISH THE LORD'S SUPPER. HENCE IT IS A ""PRESUMPTION"". FOR MOSES SHOWED BEYOND A DOUBT WHEN WE SPOKE A WORD IN GOD'S NAME WHICH HE HAD NOT COMMANDED US TO SPEAK, IT WAS A PRESUMPTION. DEUT. 18:20.

PRIESTS WERE NOT PERMITTED TO DRINK "STRONG WINE" AND ENTER THE "TABERNACLE OF THE CONGREGATION" TO OFFICIATE ON THE PENALTY OF DEATH. SEE EZEK 44:21 ; LEVITICUS 10:8,9. THE "TABERNACLE OF THE CONGREGATION", WAS A SHADDOW OF THE CHURCH. WE ARE PRIESTS IN GOD'S "TABERNACLE OF THE CONGREGATION"(THE CHURCH). SEE REV. 1:6; REV 5:10; 1 PET. 2:5,9. SO WE CAN SEE THEIR "STRONG WINE" MAKES THE "TABARNACLE OF THE CONGREGATION (THE CHURCH) CAST A FALSE "SHADDOW". HENCE, THEIR POSITION IS WRONG AND NOT THE CHURCH NOR ITS SHADDOW.

MAY GOD BLESS US ALL TO ACKNOWLEDGE HIS TRUTH AS IT IS WRITTEN IN HIS WORD IS MY P RAYER. AS EVER, YOUR'S IN CHRIST,
J.S.BEDINGFIELD. BOX 767, BRYTE CALIFORNIA.

PS. ARE YOU READING THE CHURCH BULITIN PUT OUT BY BROTHER LEONARD PAMPLIN, OF 1711 BUSH STREET, SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA? IF YOU ARE NOT YOU ARE MISSING SOMETHING. IT IS CHUCK FULL OF MEAT. IF YOU WRITE HIM HE PERHAPS WILL PUT YOU ON THE MAILING LIST.

J.S.B.