One Cup, What is it

i Have Before Me The Reply Of A Bro, To A Leaflet, The Cup, Written By Me, i Do Not Have The Bo Brothers Name, But Before He Makes Eny Reply To The Cup Cup Or Leaflet The Cup. And Under The Above Heading, You Say And i Quote, Let Us Read The Bible Texts Of The Institation institution Of The Lord s Supper, To That i Reply, There is No Such Text in The Bible, That is Of Man And Not Of The inspired Word Of God, iBeg Of You Chapter And Verce Where Jesus instituted The Lords Supper Or Where He Or Eny Apostle Commanded Eny One To Observe The Lords Supper, But To The Contrary Paul in 1cor 1, Cor, 11, 20 When Ye Come Togather Therefore into One Place This is Not To Eat The Lord,s Supper, King,J, TR, Marginal, Or Ye Cannot Eat, Amarican, R, V, It is Not Possible To Eat The Lords,S Supper, Smith, Goodspeed, TR,It is Not The Lords Supper That Yu You Eat, Then You Try To Go All Through The Passover Feast,Ammediately After N Which He Instuted, What You Call The Lord,S Supper, And You Relate All The incidents, Along With The Nessairy Things And Want To Know Which is Nessairt And Which is incidental, i Ask Does Those incidental Things Prove A Pluriality Of Containers in The Communion Service? You Want To Know About Signing A Hym And A Dismissal Prayer, We Are Commanded To Sign Col, 3,16, Eph, 5, 19, Commanded To Pray, 1 Tim, 2, 8, i Will Therefore That Men Pray Evry Where, Would That Prove A Plurality Of Containers in The Communion Service, You Try To Get Just As Far From The issue As You Can, On Page,1, You Quote Luk 22, 17, And He Took The Cup, And Gave Thanks, And Said Take This And Divide it Among Yourselvs, Bro Cant You See The Dividing Was To Take Place After it Was Give To Them, Amarican R, V , And Some Of The Later Translations Say, A Cup, The Record Sais He Took The Cup, A Cup, Bound To Of Been Takable, Question Could He Of Taken The Fruit Of The Vine Without it Being in A Vessel That Was Takeble?

Since The Rest Of Page 1 Will Be Answerd in Answering The Remainder We Will Proced With Page Noe, One, At Top Of Page One, Let Us Carfully Examine The Above Text And See What They Say As Well As What They Do Not Say,Then You Start With NO 1, And Quote What They Do Not Say if You Mean What The Bible Text Say, And i Quote, Jesus instuted instituted The Supper Ammediately Following The Passover,

Now i Am Going To Keep Asking You For The Ch, And V, Where Jesus Instuted A Supper No 2, You State And i Quote, in None Of The Accounts Does it State Or Even imply That The Bread Jesus Took Was On A Plate Of Eny Kind Four Times it Simply Says He Took Bread, Bread Does Not Necessarily Require A Container, Fruit Of The Vine Does,To That i Say Amea,At The Bottom Of Page ~~One~~ One You Agree To Exsept ~~Mesaa~~ Necessary inferences A nd As You Say The Fruit Of The Vine Requires A Container, And Since There is Not One Thing Mentioned in All The Places Speaking Of Jesus Instuting, As You Say The Lords Supper That Could Have Posably Contained The Fruit Of The Vine Except Cup, The Cup A Cup You Have Proven By Necessary Infurence That The Cup Contained The Fruit Of The Vine, Thanks For That, We Have Scriptural Precedent, The Cup A Cup, Matt, 26, 27, Page 2, item,2, You Make it Clear That The Fruit Of The Vine Necessarily Requires A Container, If it Necessarily Requires A Container We Aneed it, Phil, 4, 19, But My God Shall Suply All Your Aneeds According To His Riches in Glory By Christ Jesus, You Say A Vessel Or Container is Necessary, Webster Def, Of Necessary, That Cannot Be Otherwese, Essential, indispensable,A Thing That Cannot Be Done Without An Essential/ Hence Something We Aneed, The Only Thing God Has Suplied in The Communion Service By Christ Jesus That Could Posably Contain The Fruit Of The Vine is, The Cup, A Cup Matt, 26, 27, Mark, 14, 23, Luke, 22, 17, 20, 1, Cor, 11, 25,

Page,2, item4, Jesus Took Bread And Blessed it, And Brake it, This Simply Means That He Partook Of it, i Answer, That is Purily An Addition To The Bible Record, & No Where Does The Divined Record Say Jesus Ate Of The Bread Which He Said is My Body, its Your Job To Produce The Scriptuers That Says So, You have Left The Question Of The Cup And Got Off On Contention Of Those Brethern Who Say Each Disiple Must Break The Bread, Was Break Bread And Eat All They Done, Act 20, 7, No Paul Preached To Them Break Bread Does Not Always imply The Eat As you infured That it Did, Act, 20, 11, When He Therefore Was Come Up Again And Had Broken Bread And Had Eaten, The Break Dont Always Include The Eat Does it, Same Page Same item, And i Quote, We Know That Jesus Partook Of The Fruit Of The Vine, Mark, 14, 25, I Dont ~~Del~~ Denie That Jesus Ate Of The Passover, But Mark 14, 25, Dont Prove He Drank Of The Fruit Of The Vine He Said Was

His Blood, i Will Drink No More Dont Prove He Had At That instance Drank Joh, 8, 11 Go And Sin No More, Did She Sin Right There At That instance,John 5, 14, Sin No More Was The Man Comiting A Sin There As Jesus Said Sin No More, Mark 14, 23, And He Took The Cup And When He Had Given Thanks He Gave it To Them,That Settles The ida He Drank When He Had Given Thanks Is When He Gave it To Them And Excludes Him Drinking Betwen The Giving Of Thanks And The Giving Of it To Them Had He Drank After Giving Thanks He Would Of Give it To Them When He Had Drank, A Fourth Grader Ought To Know That Much, Had You Proved Jesus Both Ate And Drank, Would That Have Proved He Used A Plurality Of Cups You Know it Wouldent, Then Why Interduce it, Why Dont You Stay With The Question Under Consider Consideration,

Item,5, The Bread Was Emblematic Of His Body, To That i Agree

item,6, There is No indication Of How Many Peces Of Bread Were Used There Might Have Been Only One There Might Have Been More,

Your Might Might Have,S Prove Nothing, There is Not A Single Trace Of Evedence That There Was More Then One Piece Of Bread There Or That Jesus Took More Then One Piece But We Do Know One Piece Would Be Bread And The Record Sais He Broke it,Had He Taken More Then One Piece And Broken Them He Would Of Broken Them Instead Of it And Since Jesus Had Just One Body One Bread in One Pice Would Be A Fiting Emb, Emblement Of His Body , You Say Actually There is no Way Of Knowing How Many Pices Of Bread Were Used, Now Why Do You Bring Up Something That You Say There is No Way Of Knowing We Do Know He Took One Piece One Bread Or it Could Not Have Been Said He Took Bread One pice Or One Bread Would Harminize With All Scriptures Took Bread Blessed it Break it Gaveit Beside it is A Fiting Emblement Of His One Body Had We Kneeded More Then One Piece God Would Have Suplyed it Phil,4, 19, And We Wouldent Haft To use Mighthaves,

Page,2, item,7, And i Quote , And He Took The Cup, i Would Wast No Time Trying To Prove There Might Have Been A Number Of Cups,Bro Cant You Beat That , Trying To Prove THere Might Have Been A Number Of Cups,I Quote You Again, Nothing is Lost To GrantThat The Lord Made Use Of One Container, Bro Where Do You Get That Word Container, On Page 2, item

7, The Cup is The Fruit Of The Vine, Brother You Sure Are Good At Adding i Want Ch, And V For That Statement, You Conterdicted, Both Jesus And Paul In That Statement, Jesus Said This Cup is The New Testament in My Blood Luke,22,20, 1,Cor,11,25, Smith, And Good Goodspeed, TR, I, Cor 11,25, This Cup is The New Agreement Ratified By My Blood, Neather Would i Contend That A Mixture Of Juices Was The Cup Of The Lord, You Say The Number Of Containers Has Nothing To Do With Whether Or Not You Have The One Cup, Answer i Dont Find The Word Container, Singler Or Plural, Eather Used in Conection With The Fruit Of The Vine The Cup, A Cup Matt,26, 27,Cant Be Plural Cups, Luke,22, 20 This Cup is The New Testament, Cant Be Plural Cups, Page,2, item,7,And i Quote, Whatever The Cup Was They Drank it, For As Often As Ye Eat This Bread And Drink This Cup I, Cor.11, 26, Answer, You Will Notice it Was Cup Not Cups Or Containers, But Cup Singler And Paul Tells Us in ,V,28, Just How They Drank This Cup, Drink Of That Cup, Amr R, V, Drink Of The Cup, There is No Difrence in Drink Of The Cup And Drink Of That Cup, Webster, Def, The, Pertaining To A Particular Object, Smith,Goodspeed,TR, I, Cor, II, 28 Drink From The Cup,You Continue by SayingThey Did Not Drink The Container Yet They Drank The Cup, WhichLeads To The Undeniable Conclusion That They Did Not Drink The Cup The ContainerWas Not The Cup, Answer, i Have Shown By Scriptur How They Drank The Cup Drink Of The Cup,1, Cor, 11,28, And Cup Was The Only Thing Mentioned in The Comunion Service That Could Contain Eny Thing, On Page,3,No,8,Whatever Cup Was it Was Emblemaatic Of The Blood Of Christ, Answer, No Bro, YYou Never Red That in Gods Book , Luke,22,20, And 1,Cor,11,25, Both Say This Cup is The New Testament in My Blood, You Also Added For, Just Before The,This Cup, Next Who is Willing To Contend That The Container is Emblematic Of The Blood Of Christ,Answer You Come The Nearest Of it Of Eny One i Know Of Since Cup Was The Only Thing Mentioned in The instuting Of The Comunion Cervice That Could Could Contain Eny Thing, And On Page,2,item,7, You Say Cup is The Fruit Of The Vine, And Page 3,No,8 You Say Whatever Cup Was it Was Emblematic Of The Blood Of Christ,You Said it Brother, Not Me Or The Bible Neither Eather,You Ask The Question What In The Lords Supper is The New Testament in My Blood, The Container Or The Fruit Of The Vine in The Container Answer,Bro You Are Doeing Fine,Your Job Now is To Prove They Had Other Containers There At The instuting Of, Asyou Say The Lords Supper, Or You Have Admited The Fruit Of The Vine

Vine Was in The Cup Since One Cup Was The Only Thing Mentioned That Could Contain The Fruit Of The Vine,And You Say The Fruit Of The Vine Was In The Container, MMatt,26,27, Sais Jesus Took A Cup, A Cup Can Contain Fruit Of The Vine,You Say The Fruit Of The Vine Was in The Container, Give MeCh, V, Where They Had Eny Other Thing other Them Cup That Could Contain The Fruit Of The Vine,

No Amount Of Quibbling Can Escape The ida That The Lord Said

This- -----iS--------My Body,--(Speaking Of The Bread

This--------is-- --- ---My Blood----(Speaking Of The Fruit Of The Vine

This Cup-- -- ----iS---------The New Testament

if One is An Emblement All Are Emblement, if One iS Not An Emblemet None Are Emblement So We See God Has One Volume Of Thought One New Testament One Spirit By And Through Which We Contact The Blood Of Christ, Them How Approperiate His words

This Cup-----is ----- - The New Testament

This ----- -----iS---- -----My Blood

This -------iS---- --- My Body

page,3,item,9,Drink YYe All Of it,,All The Disiples were To Partake Of The Cup,Answer Matt,26, 27, Drink Ye All Of it,But it is Singlar One Volume, Mark, 14, 23, They All Drank Of it,But it is Singlure One Volume, Mark Said They All Drank Of,Web,Of, From, Out Of, Belonging To, According To,Proceeding From, Weymouth, Translation Of The New Testament, in Modern Speech, Mark, 14, 23, And They All Of Them Drank From it,

item,10, i Dont Read Of The Lords Supper in The Church But i Do Read Of Communion I,Cor,10,16, Page 3, Nos, 11 And 12, Has Been Answerd,So Now To 13,

iAm Confident We Are in Harminy with The Scriptures As To Our Meeting Place But if You Prefur The Large Upper Room You Have No Proof Of A Plurality Of Containers in The Communion Service whether You Meet in A Small Room Large Room First Floor Second Third Or Twentith,--- 13,And 14 Kneeds No Coment As That is Demenstrated Publicly in Our Practis, But if it were Restricted it would Not Prove A Pluraility Of Containers in The Communion , Now To No, 16, What Did They Divide Among Themselvs, Answer You Will Notice it Was To Be Divided Among The Disiples Not At The Table, Mark 14, 23, Tells How They Divided it, They All Drank Of it, I, Cor 11,"28, Drink Of The Cup

Your Answer To Your Question What Did They Divide Among Themselvs The Cup, Next Question, Did They Divide The Literal Cup The Container, Solomon Said A Honest Confesion is Good For The Soul, And You Have Made The Confession The Literal Cup is The Container The Fruit Of The Vine Being The Only Thing In The Communion For it To Contain, Kills Your Argument Dead As A Nit That The Fruit Of The Vine is The Cup Or You Will Haft To Have A Cup in A Cup Dnd Drink The Cup Out Of A Cup, Which Will You Do? i Se e No Nessity Of Going Eny Farther, Since You Agree With Me That The Literal Cup is The Container, Since You Are So Set For divine Patterns, Give Us The Pattern In The New Testament Where They They Poured The Fruit Of The Vine into A Device Which Fills A Whole Tray Of Communion Cups And Dnd Then Passed it On To The Audience, Your Question, Would it BeAcceptable if A Large Container Were Set in The Center Of The Tray And Each Individual Poured His Own Drink As it Come To Him, Answer, i Dont Read Of Large Containersin The Middle Of The Tray Or Of Eny One Pouring His Drink As it Come To Him, in Apostles Days in Eny Of The Churches, But if You Will Give A Scriptural Precedent For Such We Will Exscept it,--Page, 4, item, 17, if One Contends That We Must Divide it Among Yourselvs With Our Mouths That is By Sipping Would it Not Be As Reasonable To Assume That We Must Seprate Our Portion Of Bread From The Loaf With Our Mouths, Answer, The Command Given By Jesus Was, Take Eat, Drink Ye All Of it, Mark Sais They All Drank Of it, Mark, 14, 23, Dont You Put The Cup Containing The Fruit Of The Vine To Your Mouth With Your Hand, Dont You Put Your Portion Of Bread To Your Mouth With Your Hand, Why All The Fuss About That, Does That Prove The Fruit Of The Vine Is The Cup, Does it Prove We Must Have A Plurality Of Cups

Now item, 18, Bro, By Infurence You Have Accused Us Of Geting The Pattern Of The Cup Of The Lord From The Cup Of Devils, Had i Accused You Of That Would You Consider it Due Respect, i Quote You, Are You willing To Contend That The Followers Of Devils All Drank in Common From Some Literal Container? if Not Why Contend That The Followers Of Christ Drink in Common From Some Literal Container? Answer, in That Statement You infure That The Devils Might Have Had A Plurility Of Cups, is That Where You Get Athoeity For Your Pluritality, You Are Paraleling The Cup Of The Lord With The Cups Of Devils, The Cup Of Devils Would Be No Pattern For The Cup Of The Lord, The Cup Of Devils Was Sacrificed To Devils, 1, Cor, 10, 20, A Man Must Be Hard Pressed For Evedence To Fall For That Kind Of Reasoning But They Will Do it Eny Way You Did

Now We Come To Your Reply To The Leaflet, The Cup,I Quote Your Answer,Certainly No One Would Contend That A Figurative Cup Would Contain A Literal Drink, However He is Mistaken When He Sais We Can Not Have A Figurative Cup And A Litural Drink, Because in This Case The Figurative Cup is The Literal Drink, The Cup Of Which The Lord Spoke is The Thing Which They Drank , Not What They Deank Out Of,Now if That isent Abuse Of Grammer i Would Not Know Where To Start in.It Takes Tow To Have A Figuer Must Both Exist And Be Simulair, You Say The Fruit Of The Vine is The Cup, Then By What Law Of Language Can You Have A Figuer Can A Thing Figuer its Self, Of Corse Not,You Know Better Then That if The Drink is Literal The Cup is Literal,But The Way You Have Your Wires Crossed Up You Are Liable To Get A Short Circut And Burn Up The Whole Individual Machine,You Are Arguing Here A Figurtive Cup, And On Page 3, item 16, You Say The Literal Cup The Container What Did That Literal Cup,Container Contain, Did it Contain The Figurtive Cup The Fruit Of The Vine Since You Say We Have A Literal Cup The Container, And i Agree With That, What Earthly Use Would One Have For A Figurtive Figurative Cup That Can Contain Nothing,Bro i Was Rating You Way Higher Then That And Now Here You Have Let Me Down,

item,2, And i Quote Your Reply, i Could Take The Two Dozen Eggs To The Store in Which Case i Certanly Would Have To Use A Container, But Who Would Affirm That i Did Not Take The Eggs But Solemnly Declair He Took The Carton He Could Not Have Taken The Eggs, Answer i Donot Know Of Eny One That Contends Jesus Took A Empty Cup, Your Parlell Of The Eggs And Carton Fits Perfictly, You You Took The Untakable Eggs By Taking The Takable Carton That Cons Contained The Untakable Eggs, Just As Jesus Took The Untakable Fruit Of The Vine By Taking The Takable Cup That Contained The Untakable Fruit Of The Vine, Mark, 14, 23, They All Drank Of it,You Admit On Page 3, item,16,To A Literal Cup The Container, You Also Say The Fruit Of The Vine Requires A Container,Page,3 item,8 You Say Fruit Of The Vine in The Container,item,16, You State The Literal Cup The Container And You Say The Fruit Of The Vine is in The Container, Bro You Have Proved Beyound A Shadow Of A Doubt A Literal Cup Containing The Fruit Of The Vine,And That Fits Your Carton Of Eggs Perfectly Jesus Took The Untakable Fruit Of The Vine By Taking The Takable Literal Cup Container,

Page 5,At Top Of Page, And i Quote You, Let Us Notice A Proper Use Of it, if Someone Should Ask if i Like Coffee i Could Truthfully Reply Yes i Drink it Evry Morning For

Breakfast, Certanly That Does Not Mean That i Drank From The Same Container Evry Morning And Defintely That i Do Not Drink From The Same Portion Of Coffee,Bro if You Call That A Proper Use Of it, The Boys That Wrote The Grammers, Is Ignort As i Am, Coffee Is As You Know , The Noun, it The Pronoun,And Refurs To The Noun The Species Which is Coffee , Kind Or Sort, The Kind Of Beverage, And Not To The Pectular Cups Of it You Dident DRINK All The Coffee Iin The World,You Know Better Than That, Why Try To Pull That Old Stuff,

Item,4, And i Quote Your Answer, How Did They Divide it i Do Not Know Neather Does He Yet He Makes A Law As To How it Must Be Done,Answer, From Your Statement You Must Be Doeing Something You Do Not Know How To Do,We Learn How Things Are To Be Done By Going To The Divined Record Of How They Were Done Under Divined Guidences,Petter Preached Babtisu, Babtisum For The Remission Of Sin,If i Do That Have i Made A Law? We Learn From Divined Athority People were Added To The Church,If i Tell People The Lord Ddds Them To The Church Have i Made A Law,Without The Divined Record Of How Things Were Done Under The Guidence Of The Inspired Apostles We Would Not Know How To Carry On Eny Services,Without Services, Without The Record Of When The Disiples Come Togather We Would Know Nothing Of Coming Togather On The First Day Of The Week Or Whatn It Was For,Yet We Are Not Making A Law,If We Divide it As The Disiples Did Are We Making A Law? Mark 14, 23, They All Drank Drank Of it, Twentieth Centuary Tr Mark 14, 23, And They All Drank From it,I, Cor,II,28 Drink Of That Cup,If We Divide it That Way Have We Made A Law,When We Here At Broken,Bow All Drink Drink The Fruit Of The Vine From A Literal Cup Have We Divided it Among Our Selvs, Have We Done Wrong in So Doeing, Did We Vilate Eny Scriptuer in So Doeing , Will You Affairm, We Never Divided it Among Our Selvs, You Say The Literal Cup Contained The Fruit Of The Vine,

5, No Bro i Dont Have Ary Em Emblem in The Communion, Jesus Put Them There And if One is Emblem All Are Emblem, if One is Not An Emblem None Are emblem, Jesus was The One That Made The Cup An Emblem Of The New Testament, i Had Nothing To Do With That,

1 Cor,11, 28 Tell How They Drank it, Drink of The Cup, Mark, 14, 23 And They All Drank Of it, ---Of,Web, From, Out Of, Belonging To, Proceding From, Smith Goodspeed,TR,Mark 14,23, And He Took Te Wine Cup And Gave Thanks And Gave it To Them And They All Drink From it

Your Argument One Emblem For The Whole World Dont Harminize With Your Practis Or Your Contention Mather,You Say The Fruit Of The Vine is The Cup And You Say The Fruit Of The Vine is Emblem Of Christ Blood,Allright, Les See How it Works, Christ Had Only One Blood For The Whole World, Just As God Had One Volum Of Thought,The New Testament For The Whole World, Now Dont You Have The Fruit Of The Vine in Each Congeration, Which You Say is Emblem Of One Blood For The Whole World,THen Why All The Howel About An Emblem Of Gods Will in Each Congeration,? Just As Each Congeration Has The One Covenant They Have One, Only One Emblem Of That One Covenant, Two Or More Would Not Emblematic One, Page,6,item6 6 Your Parallel All The New Testament Books in The World, And All The Individual Containers in The World Dont Work,The New Testament Contains One Volum Of Though Each Individual Container Would Contain A Volum You Would Have Just As Many Volums As You Had Containers, Now, item,7,You Try To Make Alltogather A Difrent Picture Of What i Said in That You Try To Make it Apear i Had Said We Must Drink The Last Drop, What i Did Bring Out Was That Individual Containers Did Not Corspond To Our individual New Testament Book, item,8, Since You Dont Like The, That Cup, King James, TR, We Will Read The Revised standard Version, 1,Cor, 11, 28, Drink Of The Cup,Webester,Def, Of, The Word The,Pertaining To A Particular Object Person Or Class, That Particular Object Was This Cup is The New Testament in My Blood, Drink Of,From, Out Of, Belonging To, Proceding From, item,9,YYou Made An Awful Efert Here To Dodge,i Refure You To The Leaflt The Cup , Now i Quote You, A Lady Might Tell Me She Put A Cup Of Buttermilk in The Biscuit Dough, But No One Would Expect To Look in The Dough And Find A Cup Containing Buttermilk. Thanks iam Glad You Called My Atention To That, The Lady Put The Contents Of A Cup Which Was Buttermilk And That Was Why it Was A Cup Of Buttermilk, In Her Biscuit Dough But What Was it Then,? it Was no More Called, A Cup Of Buttermilk. But Biscuit Dough The Thing That Contained it,That Brought Out Very Clear We Sugest The Contents By Naming The Container, Sugest The Contents Fruit Of The Vine, By Naming The Thing That Gets Contains it, Cup, The Cup, A Cup, Dodge, No 2, Would it Be Wrong To Give That Person Two Cups Of Watter Or Six Or Eight, Now The Question is Not Whether Or Not its Wrong To Give A Person A Cup Or A Dozen Cups Of Watter, But What is A Cup Of Watter What is A Cup Of Juice

A Cup Of Cold Watter,Matt,10,42,----------A Cup Containing Cold Watter

The Cup Of Juice,- ----------A Cup Containing Juice

The Cup Of Blessing --- -------

i Have Defined The First Two Will You Define The Third One

item,10, Now For What Does He Give Thanks,Answer, Paul Said it Was The Cup Of Blessing W. Which We Bless,Could You Give Thanks For The Cup Of Blessing If There Was No Cup There Isa,65,8 Thus Saith The Lord As The New wine is Found in The Cluster And One Saith Destroy it Not For A Blessing is in it,The Cup Contains The Fruit Of The Vine Isa Said There Was A Blessing in it, And Paul Said The Cup Of Blessing Which We Bless,

Page,7, First,item,Certanly Paul Was Not At Corenth, But He Addressed His Letter To The Church Of God Which is At Corinth,The Body is The Church,Col,I,18, Then The Body Was At Corinth, Each Local Congeration At Whatever Place They May Be is The Church The one Body At That Pectular Place, Each Local Congeration Must Observe The Communion They Are To Eat Of One Bread The Emblem Of Christ Body, One Bread Used In Observing The Communion By A Local Congeration is Emblem Of Christ Body, If As You Say it Took All The Bread in The World To Constute Or Be An Emblement Of His Body, No Local Congeration Could Partake For They Would Have Only A Part And it Couldent Be The Body,What Are You Trying To Do? Make Us Believe That The Church The World Over Is The unit Of Worship, It Takes Certain Bibical Requsites Nor The Bread To Qualify As The Lords Body, One Of Them is An Assembly Of The Disiples, And Properly Prepaired By Them, Can A Local Congeration Have A Bread The Emblem Of The Body Of Christ,? If Yess, Then What Goes With Your Bread All Over The World That You Say Each Local Congeration Partakes Of, if No Then How Can They Have it? if it Takes The Church The world Over To Be The Thurch The One Body, in A Local Senc, Then By What Rule Or Logic Of Reason Can we Be The Church At All The Difrent Localitys,? Now item,12, Here You Try To Make A Difrent Comparison i Brought Out Just What Paul Brought Out, That Those That Eat Of The Sacfrice, Had Fellowship, Communia At, With The Altar Partake Of The Altar i Refure You To The Leaflet And Ask You To Read it Just As it is Written,

Page 8, Top Of Page, In Trying To Get Away From The Fact That Jesus Made The Altar And Gift Of

Of Equal Importance You Miss Quote Jesus By Saying Jesus Said The Gift Sanctifieth The Gift Turn To Yoyr Bible And Read it Brother,Whether is Greater The Gift Or The Altar That Sanctifir Sanctifieth The Gift, Brother Dident You Think We Had Eny Bible And Wou ld Haft To Take Just Whatever Was Said? Now item, 13, Bro Why Did You Not Quote All The Leaflet, 1,Cor, 11, 28, Where Paul Shows How They were To Drink The Cup Of The Lord Drink Of That Cup, Drink Of The Cup,i Have Shown And Laws Of Language Proves A Liquad Must Be in A Cup To Be Suggest By The Word Cup, You Would Not Drink The Cup Or Of The Cup By Drinking The Contents Of A Bottle, Jug Or Other Containers,And You Admit Your Self The Fruit Of The Vine Requires A Container,we Willrry Will Try Your Contention And See How it Works On Page, 6,item item,6, You Would Gather All The individual Containers in The World But Their Contents would Still Be Just The One Cup,Note Would Still Be Be J U S T, The ONE CUP, if As You Say The Contents Of All The Containers Are One Cup, No Congeration Could Drink The Cup Of The Lord For They Would Not Have The Contents Of All The Containers There, Question, Can A Local Congeration Have The Cup Of The Lord, If You Answer Yes, Down Goes Your Theory That The Contents Of All The Containers is The One Cup,if You Say No Then How Could The Corinth Church Had it,

No i Never Said We Drank The Cup Of The LORD Just By Drinking A Liquid Or By Just Mearly Drinking A Or Eny Liquid Or Of Just Eny Container Or Containers, it Takes Certan Bible Qulifications Before A Thing Can Be Rightly Called The Cup Of The Lord, item,14,Your Parllel Dont Get You Eny Where, Parllelis Parallel isR is Resemblance,Likeness Equal, Eat, To Chew And Swallow As Food, Did They Chew When They Drank Of The Cup You Said To Start With That The Fruit Of The Vine Required AS A Container, Fine,,isa, 65,8 Said There Was A Blessing in it, Question Are We To Drink The Fruit Of The Vine That The Blessing is in, 1, Cr Cor,10,16, The Cup Of Blessing Which We Bless,Which We Bless, The Blessing Was in The New Wine, The Fruit Of The Vine, Cup Of Blessing is A Cup Containing A Blessing, Then The Fruit Of The Vine Was in The Cup, Rember You Said The Fruit Of The Vin Vine Required A Container,There We Have The Container, The Blessing, The Fruit Of The Vine To Drink The Fruit Of The Vinr Vine, You Would

Of The Cup is To Drink What The Cup Contains, Were The Corinthiens To Drink Of The Cup Of Blessing, If No, Then Wy Why Bless it,item,15, You Know i Were Not Using Useing A Symbol But Showing A Law Of Language Where By Cup is Used To Sugest its Contents, Your Poisoned Coffee, Does Not Answer Or Have Eny Compairson , To Statement i Made i Have Just Drank A Cup,in My Statement Coffee was Under Stood To Be The Thing Spoken Of, And Was Sucgested By The Word Cup Which Contained it, The Men You Mentioned Knew Nothing Of The Poison,

item,17,Here You Kinda Back Fire On Your Self,All i Ask Of You There is Just Define The Cup Of Blessing,

item 18, A Man Must Be Short Of Evedence To Parallel The Fellowship Of Devils With That Of God And The Brotherhood, Paul Said Those That Eat The Sacsfrice Had Communion, Fellowship At The Altar,And So You Want A Scripture That We Have Fellowship With Each Other So Here it is,1,John,1,7, But if We Walk ~~in~~ in The Light As He is in The Light We Have Fellowship One With Another, is That Good Enouf,Ps,119,105,Thy Word is A ~~Light~~ Lamp Unto My Feet And A Light Unto My Path,Can You Walk in The Light And Not Commune, if Yess Give Proof, if No, You Admit We Have Fellowship With Oneanother in The Communion?

item,16,The Use Of Wells And Cups Are Not The Same,You Would Not Try Pumping Watter Out Of Your Cup into Your Well To Use The Well For A Drinking Vessel But Such Would Haft To Be Permisable , if We Drank The Well And Drank The Cup Alike,If Well Watter Was In A Cup And You Drank it You Would Drink Of The Well in The Scene That You Drank The The Product Of The Well, But You Would Also Drink Of The Cup From The Fact You Drank The Contents Of Out Of A Cup, And The Contents Of The Cup Could Be Properly Suggested By Naming its Com Container, The Cup, But Could Only Be Sugested By The Name Of The Container That Contained it,If in Bottle Jug Or Bucket, it Could Not Logicial Be Suggested By The Word Cup Cup Or Or if In Cups, Could Not Logicaly Be Sugested By The Word Cup,

Page,10,item,19,,No i Never Swith Switched Meanings, it Takes Two Of Eny Thing To Parallel Eny Thing, it is Just Cold Facts, But Too Much Facts Facts But Too Too Fit Your Theory You Know i Were Not Refuring To The Congerations Of The Church Of Christ, But You Thought You Had A Get By, By Switching To Them And in Doeing So You Make The Congerations Of The Church Of Christ A Part Of The Demo Denominational Churches Churches Of The World,

item,20,Now if Were Not Confident You Knew Better Ide Feel Sorry For You There is Not One Word in The Statement You Refure To That Even implys There is A Christ For Each Congeration Neather Does The Full Statement Produce Such Thought,And How Well Dou Do You Know it You Saw Clearly That it Killed Your Plurality Of Containers And You Had No Other Corse To Take,Question Can A Local Congeration Have The Cup Of The Lord,?If Yess Then We Are Rig Right, if no , Then How Could The Conthrians Drank it? All Through You Have Put General Turms For Local Turms And Local Turms For General Turms, When Speaking Of A Local Congeratim It is Spoken Of By The Church, When Speaking Of A Number Of Congerations in Diffrent Localit Localitys We Say The Churches Meaning The Local Congeration Of Diffrent Localitys,But in Speaking Of The Church in A General Scence, It Is Said The Church, But No One Would Contend It Dident Include All The Local Congerations Of The Church Of Christ, Each Local Congeration ~~the Cup Of The Lord, (Cup) (Cups) Cannot Be (Cup)~~

Has The Cup Of The Lord (Cup)Not (Cups) Nowhere Do We Read In Gods Word Of The Cups Of The Lord, If in A Local Congeration It is The Cup Of The Lord, if Speaking in A ~~General~~ General Scenc it is The Cup Of The Lord, (The Chureh) The Cup)

In Conclusion, will You Give Me The Scriptuer That Sais,(Cups(~~No~~(Containers) Or ~~In~~ Individual Cups, in Conection With The Communion?

May God Help us All To Come To The ~~Ko~~ Knolage Of The Truth,

Yours in Christ,---- W, E, Daniels

Broken,Bow----OKLA