Elk City. Okla.
Jan. 9th. 1939.

A.H Pinegar
Memphis Tenn

Dear Bro Pinegar I have Just Rcpt
of Your Good Letter of Wich I Very
Much Welcome I Want to Say First
That I am Not Seeking a Debate I do
Debate and Have Had Some on the
Exact Breaking Question But I
Will Publicaly Challenge for all
of them. Now as Your Request a
Personaly Letter stating Why I Believe
The Lord Meant Be Breaking the Two or
More Pieces Before Partaking of it.
The First Reason is Not Just
That Very Thing. in answering to the world-
as is stated Two or More I Just Believe
in Breaking The Loaf into Two Pieces
I Will Leave out Details Mat. 26.26. Mk 14 22-
Lk. 22.19. 1. Cor. 11.24. We Eat of his Body. But
it is Never Called Body Unless after it
Was Broken always Bread or Loaf
Before it Was Broken always Body after
The only Breaking That The Bible mentions
is The One That Gave Thanks. All Eat
That They Did in Eating is Not Called

Breaking and in Ever Case in
The Book one Man Gain Points and
Break all Eat This is None of the
Reason — Why I Believe The one Eat The
Table Shacked Often Thinks for all
and Break for all. But Each Single
Shared Eat and The Thing They Did in
Getting Their Part to Eat is Never
Called Break Look The Bible Do not
Say Break of and Eat. Now if This
Doctrine is an Unscriptural Tradition
or Just a Matter of Custom I Would
Be Very Glad to be Set Right - I am
Sorry for What I Can Read in The
New Testament I am very Much ashamed
and am Astonished With it. Let God be
True Rom. 3. 3-4 I W-O Be Glad to
Get a Personal letter from You and
Be Free to Shew any Error in My
Letter For I Want it. Do What Jesus
Wants Me to Do. Yours for The Whole Truth
Bro Mervyn

Yes if My former Letter is Worth Which
is The Truth I have No Objection
I Will be Glad if You Think it Good